arXiv:math/0703721v1 [math.DG] 24 Mar 2007

Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica

Positive Self Dual Einstein Orbifolds with One-Dimensional Isometry Group

Luca Bisconti

Università degli Studi di Roma
"Tor Vergata"
Dipartimento di Matematica

XVIII Ciclo del Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica

Università degli Studi di Roma ”Tor Vergata”

Relatore di Tesi :	Coordinatore del Dottorato :
Prof. Paolo Piccinni	Prof. Mauro Nacinovich
(Dipartimento di Matematica,	(Dipartimento di Matematica,
Università di Roma ”La Sapienza”)	Università di Roma ”Tor Vergata”)

Tesi di Dottorato preparata per conseguire
il titolo di Dottore di Ricerca in Matematica

Contents

Introduction **III**

Aknowledgements **XVII**

1 The Quotient Orbifolds $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$ **1**

The Quotient Orbifolds $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$ **1**

1.1 The action of $Sp(1) \times T^3_\Theta$ on $N(\Theta)$ . . . 3

2 The Singular Locus **12**

The Singular Locus **12**

2.1 The Twistor Space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ . . . 16

2.1.1 $\tilde{G}-$strata $\tilde{S}_\alpha$ characterized by quaternionic pairs $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ of type ${}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^\alpha$ . . . 31

2.1.2 $\tilde{G}-$strata $\tilde{S}_\alpha$ characterized by quaternionic pairs $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ of type ${}^\pm V_1^\alpha$, ${}^\pm V_2^\alpha$, V_4^α and V_5^α . . . 41

2.2 The 3−Sasakian Orbifolds $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ . . . 57

2.2.1 Some computations on the singular $G-$strata of $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ . . . 62

3 The Quotient Orbifolds $\mathcal{O}^4(\Omega)$ **70**

The Quotient Orbifolds $\mathcal{O}^4(\Omega)$ **70**

3.1 The Twistor Space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega)$ . . . 75

3.1.1 The action of $\tilde{G}$ on S_0 . . . 76

3.1.2 The action of $\tilde{G}$ on S_1 82
3.2 The 3−Sasakian Orbifolds $\mathcal{M}^7(\Omega)$ 89
3.3 Directions of future research 93

Bibliography **94**

Introduction

Oriented Riemannian geometry in dimension 4 has some special features coming from the action of the Hodge operator. The consequent decomposition of the Weyl conformal curvature tensor gives rise to the notion of self-dual Riemannian 4-manifolds, and this allows to establish the Penrose twistor construction with its remarkable link with 3-dimensional complex geometry [1]. The twistor correspondence has been extended to quaternion and quaternion Kähler manifolds $\mathcal{M}^{4n}$, $n \geq 2$ [29], [23], and several motivations lead today to recognize that self-dual Einstein metrics (SDE) are for $n = 1$ the most appropriate choice for the quaternion Kähler condition. One of these motivations comes from the Galicki - Lawson quotient construction in [13] and [14]. Namely the reduction procedure of a quaternion Kähler metric leads automatically to a SDE metric when the quotient has real dimension 4. The classical theorem by N. Hitchin in [17] reduced to S^4 and $\mathbb{CP}^2$ the only compact SDE smooth Riemannian manifolds with positive scalar curvature $s > 0$. However, there are several examples of $4-$dimensional SDE orbifolds $\mathcal{O}^4$ with $s > 0$. In order to construct these examples, quaternion Kähler and $3-$Sasakian reduction techniques are currently the most powerful tools.

For any quaternion Kähler manifold $\mathcal{M}^{4n}$ of positive scalar curvature $s > 0$, the following diagram of fibrations is consistent with the reduction construction:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \textit{HyperK\"ahlerian}-\textit{Cone} & & \\
 & & \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{S}^{4n+3}) \arrow[dl, "\mathbb{C}^*"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathbb{R}^*"] \arrow[dd, "\mathbb{H}^*"] & & \\
\textit{Twistors} & \mathcal{Z}^{4n+2} \arrow[dr, "S^2"'] & & \mathcal{S}^{4n+3} \arrow[ll, "S^1"'] \arrow[dl, "SO(3)"] & 3-\textit{Sasakian} \ \textit{Bundle} \\
 & & \mathcal{M}^{4n} & & \\
 & & \textit{Quaternion}-\textit{K\"ahler} & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Consider an isometric action of a group G on the 3−Sasakian manifold $\mathcal{S}^{4n+3}$, then we can define the 3−Sasakian moment map $\mu_{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S}^{4n+3} \to (Lie\, G)^* \times \mathbb{R}^3 \cong \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathbb{R}^3$. If we assume both that 0 is a regular value of $\mu_{\mathcal{S}}$ and G acts freely on $\mu_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(0) \subset \mathcal{S}^{4n+3}$ then the quotient $\mu_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}(0)/G$ is a smooth 3−Sasakian manifold of dimension $4(n - dim\, \mathfrak{g}) + 3$. Under some technical hypotheses we can reproduce this kind of construction on each space involved in the fibrations

$$\mathcal{S}^{4n+3} \to \mathcal{Z}^{4n+2} \to \mathcal{M}^{4n}, \tag{1}$$

then, the mentioned reduction construction can be carried out.
Now, we give an example of $U(1)$−quotient reduction. The diagram below describes all the homogeneous circle quotients given by the $U(1)$ left multiplication on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ ($U(1) \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \ni (\rho, \boldsymbol{u}) \mapsto \rho\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$). Then we have

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathbb{H}^{n+1}\setminus\{0\} \arrow[dl, "\mathbb{R}^+"'] \arrow[dr, "\mathbb{C}^*"] \arrow[dd, "\mathbb{H}^*"] \arrow[rrr, Rightarrow, "U(1)"] & & & \mathcal{N} \arrow[dl, "t(\mathbb{C})"'] \arrow[dr, "t(\mathbb{R})"] \arrow[dd, "t(\mathbb{H})"] & \\
S^{4n+3} \arrow[dr] & & \mathbb{CP}^{2n+1} \arrow[dl] \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "U(1)"] & \mathcal{Z} \arrow[dr] & & \mathcal{S}, \arrow[dl] \\
 & \mathbb{HP}^n \arrow[rrr, Rightarrow, "U(1)"] & & & Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2)

where $\mathcal{S} = \frac{U(n+1)}{U(n-1)\times U(1)}$, $\mathcal{N} = \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{S})$, $\mathcal{Z} = \frac{U(n+1)}{U(n-1)\times U(1)\times U(1)}$ and $t(\mathbb{F}) := \frac{F^*}{\mathbb{Z}_2}$,$\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$,$\mathbb{C}$,$\mathbb{H}$.

Actions of tori $T_\Theta^{n-1} \subset Sp(n+1)$, with weight matrix Θ, on the Hopf fibration

$$S^{4n+3} \to \mathbb{CP}^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{HP}^n, \tag{3}$$

produce quotients like:

$$\mathcal{S}^7 \to \mathcal{Z}^6 \to \mathcal{O}^4, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{O}^4$ is a compact SDE orbifold with positive scalar curvature $s > 0$. Now, we give some details about this kind of actions and the related reduction constructions, then we state two remarkable results which involve these facts. By varying the integer matrix of weights

$$\Theta := \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 & a_2^1 & \dots & a_{n+1}^1 \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 & \dots & a_{n+1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1^{n-1} & a_2^{n-1} & \dots & a_{n+1}^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{n-1 \times n+1}(\mathbb{Z}), \tag{5}$$

we get different actions of tori $T_\Theta^{n-1} \cong \overbrace{S^1 \times S^1 \times \dots S^1}^{n-1 \text{ times}}$ on $\mathbb{HP}^n$. In particular, one can act with T_Θ^{n-1} by left multiplication on the quaternionic vector column $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ by a diagonal matrix

$$B(\Theta) := \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \tau_\alpha^{a_1^\alpha} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \prod_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \tau_\alpha^{a_{n+1}^\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \in S^1 \times \dots \times S^1 \cong T_\Theta^{n-1}$. Choices of admissible weight matrices Θ produce quotient of S^{4n+3} that are smooth $7-$dimensional $3-$Sasakian manifolds $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$. The corrisponding quaternion Kähler quotients give orbifolds $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$. This costruction allows to prove:

Theorem 0.1 (Boyer-Galicki-Mann-Rees, 1998) *There exist compact, T^2- symmetric, self dual Einstein orbifolds $(\mathcal{O}^4, h)$ of positive scalar curvature $s > 0$ with arbitrary second Betti number.* $\square$

The following inverse statement holds:

Theorem 0.2 (Bielawski, 1999; Calderbank-Singer, 2006) *Let* $(\mathcal{O}^4, g)$ *be a compact SDE orbifold with positive scalar curvature* $s > 0$ *and two commuting Killing vector fields. Then* $(\mathcal{O}^4, g)$ *can be obtained as quotient of* $\mathbb{HP}^n$ *by the action of a torus* T^{n-1}_{Θ}.$\square$

Let us consider another interesting weighted action of the torus $T^k_\Theta \subset SO(n+1) \subset Sp(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, where $k := [\frac{n+1}{2}]$, defined by a 2×2 block diagonal matrix $A(\Theta)$

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} A(\theta_1) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A(\theta_2) & \dots & 0 \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \dots & A(\theta_k) \end{array}\right) \quad \mathit{or} \quad \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A(\theta_1) & \dots & 0 \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \dots & A(\theta_k) \end{array}\right). \tag{7}$$

(according to wheter n is even or odd), and $A_\alpha := A(\theta_\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} cos\,\theta_\alpha & sin\,\theta_\alpha \\ -sin\,\theta_\alpha & cos\,\theta_\alpha \end{pmatrix}$, where $\theta_\alpha = \sum_{l=1}^{n} a^\alpha_l t_l$, $t_l \in [0, 2\pi)$, and the integer weights a^α_l are contained in Θ.

Moreover, we can obtain another natural quotient by using the action of $Sp(1)$ via left multiplication on $S^{4n+3} \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{HP}^n$:

$$\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \supseteq S^{4n+3} \overset{Sp(1)}{\Longrightarrow} \frac{SO(n+1)}{SO(n-3)\times Sp(1)} \overset{SO(3))}{\longrightarrow} \overbrace{\frac{SO(n+1)}{SO(n-3)\times SO(4)}}^{Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}):=}. \tag{8}$$

As we will see in a moment, these quotients can be used to obtain 4−dimensional SDE orbifolds. In fact, if we assume $n = 6$, the $Sp(1)$−quotient is the 12−dimensional $Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^7)$, and a further quotient is provided by the following statement.

Theorem 0.3 (Kobak-Swann, 1993) *The diagonal subgroup*

$$U(1) \subset U(3) \subset SO(7), \tag{9}$$

acts on $Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^7)$ *and the quaternionic* Kähler *is an* 8−*dimensional, compact, SDE orbifold* $\mathbb{Z}_3 \backslash G_2/SO(4)$. $\square$

Together with the corrisponding $3-$Sasakian quotient, this gives [20]:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{27} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "Sp(1)"] \arrow[d, "S^3"] & \overbrace{\frac{SO(7)}{SO(3)\times Sp(1)}}^{S^{15}\cong} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "U(1)"] \arrow[d, "SO(3)"'] & \mathbb{Z}_3\backslash G_2/Sp(1). \arrow[d, "SO(3)"'] \\
\mathbb{HP}^6 \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "Sp(1)"] & \underbrace{\frac{SO(7)}{SO(3)\times SO(4)}}_{\cong Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^7)} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "U(1)"] & \mathbb{Z}_3\backslash G_2/SO(4)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{10}$$

The above quotient construction admits interesting generalizations. In fact, the construction in (10) can be "deformed" by introducing weights for the $U(1)-$action in theorem 0.3 [19], and these weighted actions provide new examples of quotient orbifolds. Namely, consider the weighted action of $T^1_\Omega \cong U(1) \subset SO(7)$ or $T^2_\Omega \cong U(1)\times U(1) \subset SO(7)$ on $S^{27} \subset \mathbb{H}^7$, where Ω is either:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{1\times 3}(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2\times 3}(\mathbb{Z}). \tag{11}$$

Then, according to the definitions given in (5) and (7), we have:

$$A_\alpha := A(\omega_\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} cos\,\omega_\alpha & sin\,\omega_\alpha \\ -sin\,\omega_\alpha & cos\,\omega_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \in U(1), \tag{12}$$

where ω_α is either $p_\alpha t$ or $p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s$ with $t, s \in [0, 2\pi)$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and the matrix:

$$A(\Omega) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A(\omega_1) & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & A(\omega_2) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & A(\omega_3). \end{array}\right) \in SO(7), \tag{13}$$

acts on $S^{27} \subset \mathbb{H}^7$ by rotating pairs of quaternionic coordinates $(u_{2\alpha}, u_{2\alpha+1})$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The following result holds

Theorem 0.4 (Boyer-Galicki-Piccinni, 2002) *Consider the sphere $S^{27} \subset \mathbb{H}^7$, then we have:*

a) for any non zero triple of weights $\Omega = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ *there is an isometric action of* $Sp(1) \times T^1_\Omega$ *on the sphere* $S^{27} \subset \mathbb{H}^7$. *If* $0 < p_1 < p_2 < p_3$ *with* $gcd(p_1 \pm p_2, p_1 \pm p_3) = 1$, *then the Hopf fibration* $S^{27} \to \mathbb{HP}^6$ *gives as quotient*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{27} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, Downarrow] & \mathbb{CP}^{13} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, Downarrow] & \mathbb{HP}^6 \arrow[d, Downarrow] \\
\mathcal{M}^{11} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{Z}^{10} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{O}^8(\Omega)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{M}^{11}$ is a smooth $3-$Sasakian manifold and $\mathcal{O}^8(\Omega)$ its quaternion Kähler leaf orbifold.

b) Let $\Omega \in M_{2\times 3}(\mathbb{Z})$ *be such that each of its* 2×2 *minor determinants* $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$ *does not vanish. Assume their sum does not vanish and that none of these three determinants is equal to the sum of the other two. Then the quaternionic Kähler quotient of* $\mathbb{HP}^6$ *by* $G = Sp(1) \times T^2_\Omega$ *is a compact SDE* $4-$*dimensional orbifold with positive scalar curvature* $s > 0$ *and with a* $1-$*dimensional group of isometries.* $\square$

We denote by $\mathcal{O}^4(\Omega)$ the orbifolds obtained as quotient by the action of $G = Sp(1) \times T^2_\Omega$ on $S^{27} \subset \mathbb{H}^7$.

First examples of compact self-dual Einstein $4-$orbifolds of positive scalar curvature were constructed by Galicky and Lawson via quotient reduction similarly to those obtained as symplectic quotients in [14]. Currently, known examples of such orbifolds can be divided in three groups:

(a) The $SO(3)$-invariant, cohomogeneity one orbifold metric on S^4 discovered by Hitchin [18].

(b) T^2-invariant toric orbifolds metrics constructed by Boyer, Galicki, Mann and Rees [10] (Theorems 0.1, 0.2) as quaternionic Kähler quotients

(c) S^1-invariant orbifold metrics of: Galicki-Nitta [15], Boyer-Galicki-Piccinni [9] and the ones we describe in this thesis.

We indicate as compact positive toric self-dual $4-$orbifolds $(\mathcal{O}, g)$ the orbifolds obtained as a quaternion-Kähler reduction of some $\mathbb{HP}^n$ via an action of some $(n-1)$-torus subgroup of $Sp(n+1)$. Note that, the orbifolds mentioned in theorem 0.1 and 0.2 are toric, instead the ones in theorem 0.3 and 0.4 are not toric. Galicki and Nitta [15] gave the first examples of compact positive self-dual $4-$orbifolds which are not toric. In particular, they constructed one of these examples for each non-Abelian subgroup of $Sp(1)$. The examples provided by theorem 0.4 are not toric but they are very different from those one of Galicki and Nitta [15], in fact in the former case all the orbifold uniformazing groups are Abelian.

The starting point of this thesis is to note that actions of the type described in theorem 0.4 can be considered on any quaternion-Kähler Grassmannian (look at diagrams (8) and (10))

$$Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cong \frac{SO(n+1)}{SO(n-3)\times SO(4)}, \tag{15}$$

whose real dimension is $4(n-3)$. Therefore to end up in dimension 4 a $(n-4)-$dimensional torus is needed. Since the maximal torus in $SO(n+1)$ has $dim = [\frac{n+1}{2}]$, in order to be able to consider such an action with weights we need

$$n-4 < \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]. \tag{16}$$

This gives, according to whether $n+1$ is even or odd

$$n-4 < \frac{n+1}{2} \qquad \text{or} \qquad n-4 < \frac{n}{2},$$

i. e.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} n < 9 & & n < 8. \\ & \text{or} & \\ (n+1 \text{ even}) & & (n+1 \text{ odd}) \end{array} \tag{17}$$

Thus the only possible cases are

$$Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^6) \cong Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^4), \qquad Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^7), \qquad \text{and} \qquad Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^8). \tag{18}$$

The first two cases in (18) have been examined in [10] and [9], respectively.

The present thesis is essentially devoted to the third case and to its comparison with the orbifolds construction in [9].

We describe now the main results obtained. Consider the Grassmannian $Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^8)$, acted on isometrically by a $3-$ torus $T^3_\Theta \subset T^4 \subset SO(8) \subset Sp(8)$, where Θ is a weight matrix of the type defined in (7). Equivalently, consider the action of $G := Sp(1) \times T^3_\Theta \subset Sp(8)$ on the the sphere $S^{31} \subset \mathbb{H}^8$, where $Sp(1)$ acts by left multiplication and the $T^3_\Theta-$action is defined via the matrices

$$A(\Theta) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} A_1(t,s,r) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A_2(t,s,r) & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & A_3(t,s,r) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & A_4(t,s,r) \end{array}\right) \in T^4,$$

where

$$A_\alpha = A_\alpha(t,s,r) = \begin{pmatrix} cos(p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s + l_\alpha r) & sin(p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s + l_\alpha r) \\ -sin(p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s + l_\alpha r) & cos(p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s + l_\alpha r) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

and the weights are collected in the matrix

$$\Theta := \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4 \\ l_1 & l_2 & l_3 & l_4 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{20}$$

We prove in this thesis the following statements, referred to as theorems $A, \ldots, F$.

Theorem A *Let $\Theta \in M_{3\times 4}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a non null integral matrix, such that each of its 3×3 minor determinants*

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \begin{vmatrix} p_\alpha & q_\alpha & l_\alpha \\ p_\beta & q_\beta & l_\beta \\ p_\gamma & q_\gamma & l_\gamma \end{vmatrix}, \quad 1 \le \alpha < \beta < \gamma \le 4, \tag{21}$$

does not vanish. Moreover, suppose that these minor determinants $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ *are such that, the further determinants*

$$ {}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm4}_{1\pm3} := \begin{vmatrix} p_1 \pm p_2 & q_1 \pm q_2 & l_1 \pm l_2 \\ p_1 \pm p_3 & q_1 \pm q_3 & l_1 \pm l_3 \\ p_1 \pm p_4 & q_1 \pm q_4 & l_1 \pm l_4 \end{vmatrix} , \tag{22} $$

are all non zero. Then for each such a matrix Θ *which satisfies these properties, there exists a compact SDE* $4-$*dimensional orbifold* $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$ *with positive scalar curvature and a* $1-$*dimensional group of isometries.*$\square$

Recall that the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ is the S^2 bundle over the riemannian orbifold $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$ that parametrizes its local almost complex structures. Consider the group $\tilde{G} := G \times U(1) = T^3_\Theta \times Sp(1) \times U(1)$, which is a subgroup, up to the central $\mathbb{Z}/2$, of $Sp(8) \cdot Sp(1) \subset SO(32) = Isom(S^{31})$. Then, look at the action of $\tilde{G}$ on $N(\Theta) = \mu^{-1}(0) \cap \nu^{-1}(0)$ (where μ and ν are the moment maps of $Sp(1)$ and T^3_Θ, respectively)

$$ \begin{aligned} \Phi :\, & T^3_\Theta \times Sp(1) \times U(1) \times N(\Theta) \longrightarrow N(\Theta), \\ & \big((A(\Theta), \lambda, \rho); (\underline{z}, \underline{w})\big) \longmapsto \Phi\big((A(\Theta), \lambda, \rho)\big)\big((\underline{z}, \underline{w})\big), \end{aligned} $$

where

$$ \Phi\big((A(\Theta), \lambda, \rho)\big)\big((\underline{z}, \underline{w})\big) := A(\Theta)\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \underline{z} \\ \underline{w} \end{pmatrix} \rho. \tag{23} $$

Here we have identified $\mathbb{C}^8 \times \mathbb{C}^8 \cong \mathbb{H}^8$ by using the relation $u_\alpha = z_\alpha + j w_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2$ for each $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$ and $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) := \boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_7, u_8) \in \mathbb{H}^8$. The twistor space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ will be thought as the leaf space of the $\tilde{G}-$action on the manifold $N(\Theta)$. By considering together the singular locus of the $3-$*Sasakian* orbifold $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ and the singular locus of the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$, we give the following descriptions of the singularities of the quaternion Kähler orbifold $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$.

Theorem B *Let* $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ *be the twistor space over the SDE orbifold* $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$. *Then, depending on the minor determinants* $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ *and* ${}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}$, *the singular locus* $\Sigma(\Theta)$ *of* $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ *consists of*

1) one isolated $2-$sphere S^2, whose isotropy, up to the non effective subgroup, only depends on one of the determinants ${}^{1\pm2}\Box^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}$;

2) eleven disjoint $2-$spheres S^2. These are obtained from the following $\tilde{G}-$strata (with respect to the action of $\tilde{G}$ on $\mathbb{H}^8$)

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\textit{a)} & \overline{\overline{S}}^{123}_{4} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
\textit{b)} & \overline{\overline{S}}^{124}_{3} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_1 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & w_6 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
\textit{c)} & \overline{\overline{S}}^{134}_{2} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & w_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
\textit{d)} & \overline{\overline{S}}^{234}_{1} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1 & w_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}. \\
\textit{e)} & \overline{\overline{S}}^{12}_{34} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & w_6 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
\textit{f)} & \overline{\overline{S}}^{14}_{23} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & w_4 & w_5 & w_6 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
\textit{g)} & \overline{\overline{S}}^{12}_{34} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & z_5 & z_6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & w_4 & 0 & 0 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array}\right)\right\}.
\end{array} \tag{24}$$

Each of these strata intersects $N(\Theta)$ and $\overline{\overline{S}}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{\delta}\cap N(\Theta)$ is formed by two connected components which gives two $\widetilde{S}$ strata for the $\tilde{G}-$action on $N(\Theta)$. Instead, each of the $\overline{\overline{S}}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta}\cap N(\Theta)$ is connected and provides exactly one strata $\widetilde{S}$ of $N(\Theta)$. Moreover, we have that $\widetilde{S}/\tilde{G}\cong S^2$ and for each of the $2-$ spheres linked to one of $\overline{\overline{S}}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{\delta}$ the isotropy only depends on one of the minor determinants $\pm\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, for the remaining cases the isotropy dipends on a linear combination of $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$;

3) three sets of points such that, for each of them, the respective points are joined by one of the $2-$spheres $S^2\cong\overline{\overline{S}}^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha\gamma}\cap N(\Theta)/\tilde{G}$. Each of these sets consists at most of four points.

In the case when some of the minor determinants $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ *and* ${}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}$ *assumes values* ±1 *or when the isotropy, associated to some of the points mentioned in* 3)*, vanishes, then the singular locus* $\Sigma(\Theta)$ *is obtained by removing from the above list the sets whose isotropy depends on the mentioned determinants.* $\square$

Theorem C *The singular points of the* $3-$*Sasakian orbifold* $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ *come from the singular strata* $\widetilde{S}$ *of* $N(\Theta)$*, which are obtained by intersecting* $N(\Theta)$ *with the following* $G-$*strata* $\overline{S}$ *of* $\mathbb{H}^8$*, and the ones which can be obtained by changing* (w_1', w_2') *with* (w_1'', w_2'')*:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a)\quad \overline{S}^{1234} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1' & w_2' & w_3' & w_4' & w_5' & w_6' & w_7' & w_8' \end{array} \right) \right\},\\
&b)\quad \overline{S}^{123}_{4} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1' & w_2' & w_3' & w_4' & w_5' & w_6' & w_7'' & w_8'' \end{array} \right) \right\},\\
&c)\quad \overline{S}^{124}_{3} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1' & w_2' & w_3' & w_4' & w_5'' & w_6'' & w_7' & w_8' \end{array} \right) \right\},\\
&d)\quad \overline{S}^{134}_{2} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1' & w_2' & w_3'' & w_4'' & w_5' & w_6' & w_7' & w_8' \end{array} \right) \right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&e)\quad \overline{S}^{12}_{34} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1' & w_2' & w_3' & w_4' & w_5'' & w_6'' & w_7'' & w_8'' \end{array} \right) \right\},\\
&f)\quad \overline{S}^{13}_{24} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1' & w_2' & w_3'' & w_4'' & w_5' & w_6' & w_7'' & w_8'' \end{array} \right) \right\},\\
&g)\quad \overline{S}^{14}_{23} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1' & w_2' & w_3'' & w_4'' & w_5'' & w_6'' & w_7' & w_8' \end{array} \right) \right\},\\
&h)\quad \overline{S}^{1}_{234} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1' & w_2' & w_3'' & w_4'' & w_5'' & w_6'' & w_7'' & w_8'' \end{array} \right) \right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$

where

$$(w'_{2\alpha-1}, w'_{2\alpha}) := \frac{e^{i\delta}}{sin\,\varphi}\big(- z_{2\alpha} + iz_{2\alpha-1}\cos\,\varphi,\; z_{2\alpha-1} + iz_{2\alpha}\cos\,\varphi\big),$$

and

$$(w''_{2\alpha-1}, w''_{2\alpha}) := \frac{e^{i\delta}}{sin\,\varphi}\big(- z_{2\alpha} - iz_{2\alpha-1}\cos\,\varphi,\; z_{2\alpha-1} - iz_{2\alpha}\cos\,\varphi\big), \tag{26}$$

we can suppose $sin\,\varphi \neq 0$. *Here, the element* $\lambda = \epsilon + j\sigma \in Sp(1)$ *is written as* $\epsilon = cos\,\theta + i(sin\,\theta cos\,\varphi)$ *and* $\sigma = sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi cos\,\delta + i(sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi sin\,\delta)$. *Then, each stratum* $\overline{S}$ *in (25) generates a singular point* $\big(\overline{S} \cap N(\Theta)\big)/G \in \mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ *whose isotropy only depends on one of the minor determinants* ${}^{1\pm2}\Box^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}$. $\Box$

By referring to the orbifolds $\mathcal{O}^4(\Omega)$ constructed in [9] we prove also:

Theorem D *Let* $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega)$ *be the twistor space over the SDE orbifold* $\mathcal{O}^4(\Omega)$. *Then, depending on the minor determinants* $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$ *and* $\Box^{1\pm2}_{1\pm3}$ *the singular locus* $\Sigma(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega)$ *consists of*

1) one isolated $2-$*sphere* S^2, *whose isotropy, up to divide by the non effective sugroup, only depends on one of the determinants* $\Box^{1\pm2}_{1\pm3}$;

2) six points. These come from the following strata (respect to the action of $\tilde{G}$ *on* $\mathbb{H}^7$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a) \quad {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{12}_{3} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_6 & iw_6 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&b) \quad {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{12}_{3} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_6 & -iw_6 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&c) \quad {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{13}_{2} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 & 0 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w_4 & iw_4 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&d) \quad {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{13}_{2} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 & 0 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w_4 & -iw_4 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&e) \quad {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{23}_{1} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & w_2 & iw_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&f) \quad {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{23}_{1} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & w_2 & -iw_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{27}
$$

Each of these strata intersects the submanifold $N(\Omega)$*. Then, the* $\tilde{G}-$*strata of* $N(\Omega)$ *are given by* $\widetilde{S}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} := {}^{\pm}\overset{=}{S}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} \cap N(\Omega)$ *and each of the quotients*

$$
\frac{{}^{\pm}\overset{=}{S}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} \cap N(\Omega)}{\tilde{G}}, \tag{28}
$$

is a point (here $\tilde{G} = Sp(1) \times T^2_{\Omega} \times U(1)$ *). Moreover, for each of these points the isotropy only depends on one of the the minor determinants* $\pm\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$*.*

In the case when some of the minor determinants $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$ *and* $\square^{1\pm2}_{1\pm3}$ *assume values* ±1*, the singular locus* $\Sigma(\Omega)$ *can be obtained by removing from the above list the singular sets whose isotropy depends on one of the mentioned determinants.* $\square$

Theorem E *The singular points of the* $3-$*Sasakian orbifold* $\mathcal{M}^7(\Omega)$ *come from the singular strata* $\widetilde{S}$ *of* $N(\Omega)$*, which are obtained by intersecting* $N(\Omega)$ *with the following* $G-$*strata* $\overline{S}$ *of* $\mathbb{H}^7$ *(here* $G = T^2_{\Omega} \times Sp(1)$*)*

$$a)\quad \overline{S}^{123} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$b)\quad \overline{S}^{12}_{3} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$c)\quad \overline{S}^{13}_{2} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$d)\quad \overline{S}^{23}_{1} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$e)\quad \overline{S}^{1}_{23} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$f)\quad \overline{S}^{2}_{13} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$g)\quad \overline{S}^{3}_{12} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$h)\quad \overline{S}_{123} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \tag{29}$$

where

$$(\widetilde{w}_{2\alpha}, \widetilde{w}_{2\alpha+1}) := \frac{e^{i\delta}}{sin\,\varphi}\Big(- z_{2\alpha+1} + i z_{2\alpha} \cos\, \varphi, z_{2\alpha} + i z_{2\alpha+1} cos\, \varphi\Big),$$

and

$$(\overset{\approx}{w}_{2\alpha}, \overset{\approx}{w}_{2\alpha+1}) := \frac{e^{i\delta}}{sin\,\varphi}\Big(- z_{2\alpha+1} - i z_{2\alpha} cos\, \varphi, z_{2\alpha} - i z_{2\alpha+1} cos\, \varphi\Big), \tag{30}$$

with $sin\,\varphi \neq 0$. *Moreover, the isotropy associated to the* $G-$*strata in* (*29*) *only depends on the determinants* $\square^{1\pm2}_{1\pm3}$. □

By comparing theorems B,C,D and E we conclude:

Theorem F *The SDE orbifolds* $\mathcal{O}^4(\Omega)$ *and* $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$ *give rise to distinct families at the twistor and* $3-$*Sasakian level.* □

Aknowledgements

Before I proceed to expose the present work, I would like to express my gratitude to all of the people who played an important role during the period of my Ph.D.

First of all I am deeply grateful to my advisor Paolo Piccinni. He introduced me to the study of $3-$Sasakian and quaternion Kähler Geometry and I wish to thank him for his constant support and his continuous help during the development of this thesis.

Last year I met in Rome Krzysztof Galicki and I started to talk with him about my project. This opportunity has been fundamental on the way of writing this thesis. I want to thank him for his precious advices, his valuable comments and all of the time he dedicated to me.

I wish to express my gratitude also to Maurizio Parton for his assistance and encoragement.

Felt thanks go to my fiancée Gabrielle and to all of my friends, in particular Leonardo, who shared with me the most important moments of this part and, in general, all of my life.

Roma
settembre 2006

Luca Bisconti

Chapter 1

The Quotient Orbifolds $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$

As mentioned in the introduction, this thesis presents new examples of compact orbifolds $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$ which admit a self-dual Einstein metric of positive curvature $s > 0$ with a one-dimensional group of isometries. We refer to the articles by S.Salamon, C. Boyer and K. Galicki, C. Le Brun [24] for all preliminary notions on quaternionic Kähler, $3-$Sasakian and $4-$dimensional Einstein Manifolds and for the related quotient constructions we are going to use throughout the present work.
In this chapter we construct these examples as quaternionic Kähler reduction of the Grassmannian $Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^8)$ by an isometric action of a $3-$torus $T^3_\Theta \subset T^4 \subset SO(8) \subset Sp(8)$ where Θ is a weight matrix. The starting point is to revisit a quotient construction by Kobak-Swann in the context of $3-$Sasakian geometry. We are going to examine the action of $T^3_\Theta \times Sp(1) \subset Sp(8)$ on the sphere $S^{31} \subset \mathbb{H}^8$ via left multiplication. Then we get the following 3-Sasakian and quaternion Kähler quotients

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{31} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "Sp(1)"] \arrow[d, "S^3"'] & \overbrace{\dfrac{SO(8)}{SO(4)\times Sp(1)}}^{\mathcal{S}^{15}\cong} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "T^3_\Theta"] \arrow[d, "SO(3)"'] & \mathcal{M}^7(\Theta). \arrow[d, "SO(3)"'] \\
\mathbb{HP}^7 \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "Sp(1)"] & \underbrace{\dfrac{SO(8)}{SO(4)\times SO(4)}}_{\cong Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^8)} \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "T^3_\Theta"] & \mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.1}$$

We will prove the following statement (Theorem A of the introduction).

Theorem 1.1 *Let* $\Theta \in M_{3\times 4}$ *be integral* 3×4 *matrix* Θ *such that each of its four* 3×3 *minor determinants*

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \begin{vmatrix} p_\alpha & q_\alpha & l_\alpha \\ p_\beta & q_\beta & l_\beta \\ p_\gamma & q_\gamma & l_\gamma \end{vmatrix}, \quad 1 \le \alpha < \beta < \gamma \le 4, \tag{1.2}$$

does not vanish. In addition we suppose that the $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ *are such that also the minor determinants*

$$^{1\pm 2}\square^{1\pm 4}_{1\pm 3} := \begin{vmatrix} p_1 \pm p_2 & q_1 \pm q_2 & l_1 \pm l_2 \\ p_1 \pm p_3 & q_1 \pm q_3 & l_1 \pm l_3 \\ p_1 \pm p_4 & q_1 \pm q_4 & l_1 \pm l_4 \end{vmatrix}, \tag{1.3}$$

do not vanish. Then for any such Θ *there exist a compact* 4*-dimensional orbifold* $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$ *which admits a self-dual Einstein metric of positive scalar curvature with a one-dimensional group of isometries. Moreover , this metric can be constructed explicitly as quaternionic-Kähler reduction of the symmetric quaternion Kähler metric on the Grassmannian* $Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^8)$ *by an isometric action of the* $3-$*torus* T^3_Θ *defined by the weights matrix* Θ*.*

As already mentioned in the introduction, actions of this type can be considered on any quaternion-Kähler Grassmannian

$$Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \cong \frac{SO(n+1)}{SO(n-3)\times SO(4)}, \tag{1.4}$$

whose real dimension is $4(n-3)$. Therefore to end up in dimension 4 a $(n-4)$-dimension torus is needed. Since the maximal torus in $SO(n+1)$ has $dim = [\frac{n+1}{2}]$, in order to be able to consider such an action with weights we need

$$n - 4 < \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]. \tag{1.5}$$

This gives, according to whether $n+1$ is even or odd

$$n-4<\frac{n+1}{2}\qquad\text{or}\qquad n-4<\frac{n}{2},$$

i. e.

$$\begin{matrix} n<9 \\ (n+1 \text{ even}) \end{matrix}\qquad\text{or}\qquad\begin{matrix} n<8. \\ (n+1 \text{ odd}) \end{matrix}\tag{1.6}$$

Thus the only possible cases are

$$Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^6)\cong Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^4),\qquad Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^7),\qquad\text{and}\qquad Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^8).\tag{1.7}$$

The first two cases in (1.7) have been examined in [10] and [9], respectively. The present work is essentially devoted to the third case and to its comparison with the orbifolds construction in [9].

1.1 The action of $Sp(1)\times T^3_\Theta$ on $N(\Theta)$

Consider the group $G=Sp(1)\times T^3_\Theta$ as a subgroup of the 3-Sasakian isometries of the sphere S^{31}. For any triple of weight vectors $\Theta:=(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{l})\in\mathbb{Z}^4_+\oplus\mathbb{Z}^4_+\oplus\mathbb{Z}^4_+\cong M_{3\times4}(\mathbb{Z}_+)$, define the action of the 3-torus $T^3_\Theta=U(1)\times U(1)\times U(1)$ on S^{31} in the following way

$$f:[0,2\pi)^3\to T^4\subset SO(8),$$

$$f(t,s,r):=\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} A_1(t,s,r) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A_2(t,s,r) & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & A_3(t,s,r) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & A_4(t,s,r) \end{array}\right)\in T^4,\tag{1.8}$$

where

$$A_\alpha(t,s,r) = \begin{pmatrix} cos(p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s + l_\alpha r) & sin(p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s + l_\alpha r) \\ -sin(p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s + l_\alpha r) & cos(p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s + l_\alpha r) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.9}$$

and $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. Consider the moment map $\mu : S^{31} \to \mathfrak{sp}(1) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3 \cong \mathbb{R}^9$ associated with the diagonal action on the left of $Sp(1)$ on $S^{31} \subset \mathbb{H}^8$ and the moment map $\nu : S^{31} \to \mathfrak{u}(1)^3 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ associated with the action of $T^3_\Theta \cong U(1)^3$ just described.
The moment map μ is given by

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{u}) = (\sum_{\alpha=1}^{8} \overline{u}_\alpha i u_\alpha,\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{8} \overline{u}_\alpha j u_\alpha,\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{8} \overline{u}_\alpha k u_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{sp}(1) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\boldsymbol{u} \in S^{31} \subset \mathbb{H}^8$, and

$$\nu(\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} p_\alpha(\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}u_{2\alpha} - \overline{u}_{2\alpha}u_{2\alpha-1}) \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} q_\alpha(\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}u_{2\alpha} - \overline{u}_{2\alpha}u_{2\alpha-1}) \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} l_\alpha(\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}u_{2\alpha} - \overline{u}_{2\alpha}u_{2\alpha-1}) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}(1)^3 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{1.11}$$

Remark 1.1 The submanifold $\mu^{-1}(0)$ can be identified with the Stiefel manifold of oriented orthonormal 4-frames in $\mathbb{R}^8$. Accordingly, we describe the elements of $N(\Theta)$ $:= \mu^{-1}(0) \cap \nu^{-1}(0)$ as 4×8 real matrices $\boldsymbol{u} := (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_7, u_8)$ whose columns u_i can be thought as the coefficients of a quaternion respect to the base $\{1, i, j, k\}$. Of course the matrix $\boldsymbol{u}$ has $rank = 4$. $\square$

Definition 1.1 *Any pair* $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha}) \in \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$, $\alpha \in \{1,2,3\}$ *of quaternionic coordinates of* $\boldsymbol{u} \in S^{31} \subset \mathbb{H}^8$ *will be breafly called a* quaternionic pair.

Lemma 1.1 *Suppose that all the minor determinants*

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \begin{vmatrix} p_\alpha & q_\alpha & l_\alpha \\ p_\beta & q_\beta & l_\beta \\ p_\gamma & q_\gamma & l_\gamma \end{vmatrix}, \qquad 1 \le \alpha < \beta < \gamma \le 4, \tag{1.12}$$

do not vanish. Then there is no element $\boldsymbol{u}$ *of* $N(\Theta)$ *with a null quaternionic pair.*

Proof. It is sufficient to assume (u_7,u_8) as a null quaternionic pair on some point of $N(\Theta)$. Let Θ be the integral 3×4 matrix of the weights $(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q},\mathbf{l})\in\mathbb{Z}^4_+\oplus\mathbb{Z}^4_+\oplus\mathbb{Z}^4_+$. Let $x_\alpha:=\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}u_{2\alpha}-\overline{u}_{2\alpha}u_{2\alpha-1},\ \alpha\in\{1,2,3,4\}$, and rewrite $\nu(\boldsymbol{u})$ in the following way

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} & p_4\\ \mathbb{A} & q_4\\ & l_4\end{array}\right):=\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4\\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 & q_4\\ l_1 & l_2 & l_3 & l_4\end{array}\right)}_{=\Theta}\Rightarrow\ \nu(\boldsymbol{u})=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} & p_4\\ \mathbb{A} & q_4\\ & l_4\end{array}\right)\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ x_2\\ x_3\\ x_4\end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.13}$$

Thus

$$\nu(\boldsymbol{u})=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} & 0\\ \mathbb{A} & 0\\ & 0\end{array}\right)\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ x_2\\ x_3\\ 0\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}p_4x_4\\ q_4x_4\\ l_4x_4\end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.14}$$

Since $det\,\mathbb{A}=det\,{}^T\mathbb{A}=\Delta_{123}$, the equation $\nu(\boldsymbol{u})=0$ can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\ x_2\\ x_3\end{pmatrix}=-\mathbb{A}^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}p_4x_4\\ q_4x_4\\ l_4x_4\end{pmatrix}:=\begin{pmatrix}c_1x_4\\ c_2x_4\\ c_3x_4\end{pmatrix},\quad c_\alpha\in\mathbb{Q}. \tag{1.15}$$

Hence the intersection $N(\Theta)\cap\{u_7=u_8=0\}$ is described by the following equations

$$\begin{cases}\overline{u}_1u_2-\overline{u}_2u_1=c_1(\overline{u}_7u_8-\overline{u}_8u_7)=0,\\ \overline{u}_3u_4-\overline{u}_4u_3=c_2(\overline{u}_7u_8-\overline{u}_8u_7)=0,\\ \overline{u}_5u_6-\overline{u}_6u_5=c_3(\overline{u}_7u_8-\overline{u}_8u_7)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^6\overline{u}_\alpha\sigma u_\alpha=0,\end{cases}\quad\Rightarrow\quad\begin{cases}\overline{u}_1u_2=\overline{u}_2u_1,\\ \overline{u}_3u_4=\overline{u}_3u_4,\\ \overline{u}_5u_6=\overline{u}_6u_5,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^6\overline{u}_\alpha\sigma u_\alpha=0,\end{cases} \tag{1.16}$$

where $\mu(\boldsymbol{u})=(\sum_{\alpha=1}^6\overline{u}_\alpha\sigma u_\alpha)|_{\{\sigma=i,j,k\}}$, is the moment map of the $Sp(1)$ action. From equations (1.16) we get $Im(\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}u_{2\alpha})=0$, $\alpha\in\{1,2,3\}$, and hence $\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}u_{2\alpha}\in\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, from equations (1.16) we see that we can always assume, up to a scale, that $u_\alpha={}^T(u^0_\alpha,u^1_\alpha,u^2_\alpha,u^3_\alpha)$ belongs to $Sp(1)$. The maps

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\alpha:&Sp(1)\times Sp(1)\longrightarrow Sp(1),\qquad\alpha\in\{1,2,3\},\\ &(u_{2\alpha-1},u_{2\alpha})\longmapsto\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}u_{2\alpha}\in\mathbb{R}\end{aligned} \tag{1.17}$$

are well defined by the previous facts. Thus we have that the real numbers $\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}u_{2\alpha}$ belong to the sphere $S^3 \cong Sp(1)$ so that the only possibility to have $\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}u_{2\alpha} = \pm 1$ is $u_{2\alpha-1} = \pm u_{2\alpha}, \ \ \alpha \in \{1,2,3\}$. It follows that a real 4×8 matrix $\boldsymbol{u} \in N(\Theta)$ cannot satisfy at the same time all the equations (1.16), because the first three equations give us that the columns $u_{2\alpha-1} = {}^T(u^0_{2\alpha-1}, u^1_{2\alpha-1}, u^2_{2\alpha-1}u^3_{2\alpha-1})$ and $u_{2\alpha} = {}^T(u^0_{2\alpha}, u^1_{2\alpha}, u^2_{2\alpha}u^3_{2\alpha})$ of each quaternionic pair are proportional to each other:

$$ {}^T(u^0_{2\alpha-1}, u^1_{2\alpha-1}, u^2_{2\alpha-1}u^3_{2\alpha-1}) = d_\alpha \, {}^T(u^0_{2\alpha}, u^1_{2\alpha}, u^2_{2\alpha}u^3_{2\alpha}), \quad d_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}, \, \forall \, \alpha \in \{1,2,3\}. \tag{1.18}$$

Then the matrix $\boldsymbol{u}$ has at most $rank = 3$ and it does not describe any 4-frame in $\mathbb{R}^8$. The consequence is that the $N(\Theta) \cap \{u_7 = u_8 = 0\}$ is empty. $\square$

Proposition 1.1 *The action of $G = Sp(1) \times T^3_\Theta$ on $N(\Theta)$ is locally free if and only if all the followings determinants*

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \begin{vmatrix} p_\alpha & q_\alpha & l_\alpha \\ p_\beta & q_\beta & l_\beta \\ p_\gamma & q_\gamma & l_\gamma \end{vmatrix}, \qquad 1 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma \leq 4,$$

and

$${}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4} := \begin{vmatrix} p_1 \pm p_2 & q_1 \pm q_2 & l_1 \pm l_2 \\ p_1 \pm p_3 & q_1 \pm q_3 & l_1 \pm l_3 \\ p_1 \pm p_4 & q_1 \pm q_4 & l_1 \pm l_4 \end{vmatrix},$$

do not vanish.

(1.19)

Proof. By lemma 1.1 the condition $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \neq 0$ insures that $N(\Theta)$ has no points with a null quaternionic pair. Then the fixed point equations can be written as

$$A_\alpha(p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s + l_\alpha r) \begin{pmatrix} u_{2\alpha-1} \\ u_{2\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_\alpha & b_\alpha \\ -b_\alpha & a_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{2\alpha-1} \\ u_{2\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} u_{2\alpha-1} \\ u_{2\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.20}$$

$\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$, where $a_\alpha = cos\,\theta_\alpha,\ b_\alpha = sin\,\theta_\alpha,\ \theta_\alpha = p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s + l_\alpha r,\ t,s,r \in [0,2\pi)$ and $\lambda \in Sp(1)$. For each $\alpha = 1,2,3,4$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_\alpha u_{2\alpha-1} + b_\alpha u_{2\alpha} &= \lambda u_{2\alpha-1}, \\ -b_\alpha u_{2\alpha-1} + a_\alpha u_{2\alpha} &= \lambda u_{2\alpha}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.21}$$

and by multipling on the right the first equation by $\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}$ and the second by $\overline{u}_{2\alpha}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_\alpha |u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + b_\alpha u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1} &= \lambda |u_{2\alpha-1}|^2, \\ -b_\alpha u_{2\alpha-1}\overline{u}_{2\alpha} + a_\alpha |u_{2\alpha}|^2 &= \lambda |u_{2\alpha}|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

Then

$$a_i(|u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |u_{2\alpha}|^2) + b_i(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1} - u_{2\alpha-1}\overline{u}_{2\alpha}) = \lambda(|u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |u_{2\alpha}|^2),\ \alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}. \tag{1.23}$$

Under our assumptions there are no null quaternionic pairs, and the term multiplying λ on the right-hand side of this equation never vanishes. This gives

$$Re(\lambda) = a_\alpha, \quad Im(\lambda) = b_\alpha \frac{(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1} - u_{2\alpha-1}\overline{u}_{2\alpha})}{(|u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |u_{2\alpha}|^2)}, \tag{1.24}$$

for each $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. The first of these equation gives

$$a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4, \tag{1.25}$$

and combining this with $a_\alpha^2 + b_\alpha^2 = 1$ we get

$$b_1 = \pm b_2 = \pm b_3 = \pm b_4. \tag{1.26}$$

These conditions give

$$\begin{cases} e^{i(p_1 t + q_1 s + l_1 r)} = e^{\pm i(p_2 t + q_2 + l_2 r)}, \\ e^{i(p_1 t + q_1 s + l_1 r)} = e^{\pm i(p_3 t + q_3 + l_3 r)}, \\ e^{i(p_1 t + q_1 s + l_1 r)} = e^{\pm i(p_4 t + q_4 s + l_4 r)}. \end{cases} \tag{1.27}$$

Let us rewrite these equations in the following way

$$\begin{cases} (p_1 \pm p_2)t + (q_1 \pm q_2)s + (l_1 \pm l_2)r = 2h^{\pm}_{12}\pi, \\ (p_1 \pm p_3)t + (q_1 \pm q_3)s + (l_1 \pm l_3)r = 2h^{\pm}_{13}\pi, \\ (p_1 \pm p_4)t + (q_1 \pm q_4)s + (l_1 \pm l_4)r = 2h^{\pm}_{23}\pi, \end{cases} \tag{1.28}$$

where $h_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The equations (1.28) describe eight systems in the (t, s, r) variables. We want all eight of them to have at most discrete solutions. This requires that all the eight determinants

$${}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4} = \begin{vmatrix} p_1 \pm p_2 & q_1 \pm q_2 & l_1 \pm l_2 \\ p_1 \pm p_3 & q_1 \pm q_3 & l_1 \pm l_3 \\ p_1 \pm p_4 & q_1 \pm q_4 & l_1 \pm l_4 \end{vmatrix}, \tag{1.29}$$

do not vanish. Then our assumptions insure that the fixed point equations (1.20) admit at most a finite number of solutions. Moreover, the equations (1.25) and (1.26) give

$$\frac{u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1} - u_{2\alpha-1}\overline{u}_{2\alpha}}{(|u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |u_{2\alpha}|^2)} = \pm\frac{u_2\overline{u_1} - u_1\overline{u}_2}{|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2}, \ \ \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}. \tag{1.30}$$

Now, under the assumption ${}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4} \neq 0$, it holds that $sin\,\theta_1$ assume only a finite number of values, hence the equation $Im(\lambda) = sin\,\theta_1 \frac{u_2\overline{u_1} - u_1\overline{2}}{|u_1|^2+|u_2|^2}$ can be rewritten as system of three equations in three non known parameters. Then the fixed point equations (1.21) admit only discrete solutions. $\square$

Example 1.1. Assume $m := {}^{1+2}\square^{1+3}_{1+4} \neq 0$, we get

$$t = \frac{2\pi}{m} \begin{vmatrix} h^{+}_{12} & q_1 + q_2 & l_1 + l_2 \\ h^{+}_{13} & q_1 + q_3 & l_1 + l_3 \\ h^{+}_{14} & q_1 + q_4 & l_1 + l_4 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{2\pi}{m} \underbrace{(h^{+}_{12}{}^{ql}\Delta^{2+3}_{3+4} - h^{+}_{23}{}^{ql}\Delta^{1+2}_{3+4} + h^{+}_{34}{}^{ql}\Delta^{1+2}_{2+3})}_{\in\mathbb{Z}},$$

where

$${}^{ql}\Delta^{\gamma\pm\delta}_{\alpha\pm\beta} := \begin{vmatrix} q_\alpha \pm q_\beta & l_\alpha \pm l_\beta \\ q_\gamma \pm q_\delta & l_\gamma \pm l_\delta \end{vmatrix}. \tag{1.31}$$

Since $0 \leq \frac{t}{\pi} = \frac{(h^{+}_{12}{}^{ql}\Delta^{2+3}_{3+4} - h^{+}_{23}{}^{ql}\Delta^{1+2}_{3+4} + h^{+}_{34}{}^{ql}\Delta^{1+2}_{2+3}}{m} < 1$ all the possible solutions t of the system (1.28) belong to $H := \{0, \frac{\pi}{|m|}, \dots, \frac{\pi(|m|-1)}{|m|}\}$. Similarly also s, r belong to H. Then each solution (t, s, r) for the equation (1.28) is a triple whose elements are in H. We can use a similar argument for the other non null determinants ${}^{1\pm2}\Box^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}$ and also in these cases we get discrete solutions. Moreover, by using a short argument linked to the *gcd* of the minor determinants ${}^{ql}\Delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}$ we can prove that the cardinality of the subgroup of solutions is exactly equal to $m = {}^{1+2}\Box^{1+3}_{1+4}$. $\Box$

Proposition 1.2 *There is no weight matrix Θ such that the action of $G = Sp(1) \times T^3_\Theta$ on $N(\Theta)$ is free.*

Proof. From the previous proof we see that there is a unique solution for the fixed point equations (1.28) if and only if ${}^{1\pm2}\Box^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4} = \pm1$. We have the identities

$$\begin{cases} {}^{1+2}\Box^{1+3}_{1+4} = \Delta_{123} - \Delta_{124} + \Delta_{134} + \Delta_{234}, \\ {}^{1+2}\Box^{1-3}_{1+4} = -\Delta_{123} - \Delta_{124} - \Delta_{134} - \Delta_{234}, \\ {}^{1+2}\Box^{1+3}_{1-4} = \Delta_{123} + \Delta_{124} - \Delta_{134} - \Delta_{234}, \\ {}^{1+2}\Box^{1-3}_{1-4} = -\Delta_{123} + \Delta_{124} + \Delta_{134} + \Delta_{234}, \\ {}^{1-2}\Box^{1+3}_{1+4} = -\Delta_{123} + \Delta_{124} + \Delta_{134} - \Delta_{234}, \\ {}^{1-2}\Box^{1-3}_{1+4} = \Delta_{123} + \Delta_{124} - \Delta_{134} + \Delta_{234}, \\ {}^{1-2}\Box^{1+3}_{1-4} = -\Delta_{123} - \Delta_{124} - \Delta_{134} + \Delta_{234}, \\ {}^{1-2}\Box^{1-3}_{1-4} = \Delta_{123} - \Delta_{124} + \Delta_{134} - \Delta_{234}. \end{cases} \tag{1.32}$$

that can be solved with respect to the $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. In fact note that the system

$$\begin{cases} X := {}^{1+2}\Box^{1+3}_{1+4} = \Delta_{123} - \Delta_{124} + \Delta_{134} + \Delta_{234}, \\ Y := {}^{1+2}\Box^{1-3}_{1+4} = -\Delta_{123} - \Delta_{124} - \Delta_{134} - \Delta_{234}, \\ Z := {}^{1-2}\Box^{1+3}_{1-4} = -\Delta_{123} - \Delta_{124} - \Delta_{134} + \Delta_{234}, \\ W := {}^{1+2}\Box^{1-3}_{1-4} = -\Delta_{123} + \Delta_{124} + \Delta_{134} + \Delta_{234}, \end{cases} \tag{1.33}$$

has determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 8,$$

and its solutions are

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{123} = -\frac{Y+W}{2}, \\ \Delta_{124} = -\frac{X+Y}{2}, \\ \Delta_{134} = \frac{X+Y-Z+W}{2}, \\ \Delta_{234} = \frac{Z-Y}{2}, \end{cases} \tag{1.34}$$

that are also solutions of the remaining identities in (1.32)

$$\begin{cases} \pm 1 = {}^{1+2}\square^{1+3}_{1-4} = -(X+Y+W), \\ \pm 1 = {}^{1-2}\square^{1-3}_{1+4} = Z - X - 2Y - W, \\ \pm 1 = {}^{1-2}\square^{1+3}_{1+4} = Y + Z + W, \\ \pm 1 = {}^{1-2}\square^{1-3}_{1-4} = X + Y - Z. \end{cases} \tag{1.35}$$

But since $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ and $X = \pm 1$, $Y = \pm 1$, $Z = \pm 1$ and $W = \pm 1$ we would have

$$X = Y = W = -Z, \tag{1.36}$$

and hence (X, Y, Z, W) is either $(1, 1, -1, 1)$ or $(-1, -1, 1, -1)$ and $(\Delta_{123}, \Delta_{124}, \Delta_{134}, \Delta_{234})$ is either $(1, 1, -2, 1)$ or $(-1, -1, 2, -1)$. It is now easy to check that neither of the possible two values of (X, Y, Z, W) is a solution for the system of equations above. Then the action of G on $N(\Theta)$ is never free. $\square$

Using all of these facts we get

Theorem 1.2 *The action of* $Sp(1) \times T^3_\Theta$ *on* $N(\Theta) = \nu^{-1}(0) \cap \mu^{-1}(0)$ *is locally free if and only if the following conditions hold*

1) *all the determinants* $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \neq 0, \ \ \forall\, (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ *admissible triple of indices.*

2) $\Delta_{\alpha\gamma\delta}$ *are such that all the minor determinants* ${}^{1\pm 2}\square^{1\pm 4}_{1\pm 3}$ *in equations (1.32) do not vanish.*

In such a case the quotient

$$\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta) = \frac{N(\Theta)}{Sp(1) \times T^3_\Theta}, \tag{1.37}$$

is a compact $7-$*dimensional* $3-$*Sasakian orbifold. Moreover for any integral* 3×4 *matrix* Θ *which satisfies the assumptions* 1) *and* 2) *there exists a* 4*-dimensional orbifold* $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$ *which admits a self-dual Einstein metric of positive scalar curvature with a* $1-$*dimensional group of isometries.*

The orbifold family $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ cannot be toric, this fact can be seen by having a careful analysis of the associated foliations. Note that, $N(\Theta)$ is a compact submanifold of the sphere $S^{31} \subset \mathbb{H}^8$ given by the zero locus of two quadratic functions(the moment maps μ and ν). Then, by using a similar argument like that one in [9] pag 97, we can generalize the analysis of [7], and conclude that all the isometries of $N(\Theta)$ come from the restriction of the isometries of S^{31} (or the Euclidean space $\mathbb{H}^8 \cong \mathbb{R}^{32}$ in which this sphere is embedded). Then, we get that the group of isometries associated to $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$ is $1-$dimensional.

Example 1.2. The 3×4 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.38}$$

satisfies all the requirements of theorem 1.2. □

Chapter 2

The Singular Locus

Recall that the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ is the S^2 bundle over the riemannian orbifold $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$ that parametrizes its local almost complex structures. Consider the group $\tilde{G}$ $:= G \times U(1) = T^3_\Theta \times Sp(1) \times U(1)$, which is a subgroup, up to the central $\mathbb{Z}/2$, of $Sp(8) \cdot Sp(1) \subset SO(32) = Isom(S^{31})$. Then, we can define the action of $\tilde{G}$ on $N(\Theta) = \mu^{-1}(0) \cap \nu^{-1}(0)$ (where μ and ν are the moment maps of $Sp(1)$ and T^3_Θ, respectively) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi :\ & T^3_\Theta \times Sp(1) \times U(1) \times N(\Theta) \longrightarrow N(\Theta), \\ & \big((A(\Theta), \lambda, \rho); (\underline{z}, \underline{w})\big) \longmapsto \Phi\big((A(\Theta), \lambda, \rho)\big)\big((\underline{z}, \underline{w})\big), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi\big((A(\Theta), \lambda, \rho)\big)\big((\underline{z}, \underline{w})\big) := A(\Theta)\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \underline{z} \\ \underline{w} \end{pmatrix} \rho, \tag{2.1}$$

and we have identified $\mathbb{C}^8 \times \mathbb{C}^8 \cong \mathbb{H}^8$ by $u_\alpha = z_\alpha + jw_\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ for each $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, 8\}$. Thus $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) := \boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_7, u_8) \in \mathbb{H}^8$. In the following we will use both the notations $\boldsymbol{u}$ and $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$. Here, the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ will be thought as the leaf space of the $\tilde{G}-$action on the manifold $N(\Theta)$. There is a natural stratification of the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ defined by the action of $\tilde{G}$, and the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ is the union of its stata.

Definition 2.1 *We say that two points* $(\underline{z},\underline{w})$, $(\underline{z}_1,\underline{w}_1) \in \mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ *are in the same stratum* S *if their corresponding isotropy subgroups* $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})}$ *and* $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z}_1,\underline{z}_2)}$ *are conjugate.*

The strata S, with respect to the action of $\tilde{G}$ on $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$, can be descibed by studying the action of $\tilde{G}$ on $N(\Theta)$ and the respective stratification. We denote with $\widetilde{S}$ the strata yielded by the $\tilde{G}-$action Φ on $N(\Theta)$. The smooth locus $\mathcal{Z}^6_0(\Theta)$ is the dense open subset of points in $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ whose isotropy subgroup is the identity. Instead, $\Sigma(\Theta)$ denotes the singolar locus of $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ and we have that $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta) = \mathcal{Z}^6_0(\Theta) \cup \Sigma(\Theta)$. In the case of a $4-$dimensional ”*good orbifold*” $\mathcal{O}^4$, that is when the $3-$Sasakian bundle $\mathcal{M}^7$ is smooth, the singularities of $\mathcal{O}^4$ come from those of its twistor space $\mathcal{Z}^6$ [4]. However, when the $3-$Sasakian bundle $\mathcal{M}^7$ is not smooth, this fact does not hold, and in order to study the singularities of $\mathcal{O}^4$ a different approach is needed. As we have seen in chapter 1, the $3-$Sasakian bundle $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ necessarely has orbifold singularities which pass to the $SO(3)-$quotient space $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$. Then, with the purpose of describing the singular locus of the quaternion Kähler orbifold $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$, we will study both the singular loci of $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ and $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$.

In order to understand the 3-Sasakian and quaternion Kähler reduction we are dealing with, look at the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\dfrac{SO(8)}{SO(4)\times Sp(1)} \arrow[r, "T^3_\Theta"] \arrow[dd, "SO(3)"'] & \mathcal{M}^7(\Theta) \arrow[dr, "U(1)"] \arrow[dd, "SO(3)"'] & \\
& & \mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta) \arrow[dl, "S^2"] \\
Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^8) \arrow[r, "T^3_\Theta"] & \mathcal{O}^4(\Theta) &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mu^{-1}(0)/Sp(1) \cong SO(8)/SO(4) \times Sp(1)$. In this chapter we prove the following statements mentioned in the introduction as Theorems B and C.

Theorem 2.1 *Let* $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ *be the twistor space of the SDE orbifold* $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$*. Then, depending on the minor determinants* $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ *and* ${}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}$ *the singular locus* $\Sigma(\Theta)$ *consists of*

1) one isolated $2-$*sphere* S^2*, whose isotropy only depends on one of the determinants* ${}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}$*;*

2) eleven disjoint $2-$*spheres* S^2*. These are obtained from the following strata (with respect to the action of* $\tilde{G}$ *on* $\mathbb{H}^8$ *)*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
a) & \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
b) & \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{124}_{3} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_1 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & w_6 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
c) & \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{134}_{2} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & w_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
d) & \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{234}_{1} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1 & w_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}. \\
e) & \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & w_6 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
f) & \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{14}_{23} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & w_4 & w_5 & w_6 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
g) & \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & z_5 & z_6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & w_4 & 0 & 0 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array}\right)\right\}.
\end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

Each of these strata intersects $N(\Theta)$ *and* $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{\delta}\cap N(\Theta)$ *is formed by two connected components which gives two* $\widetilde{S}$ *strata for the* $\tilde{G}-$*action on* $N(\Theta)$*. Instead, each of the* $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta}\cap N(\Theta)$ *is connected and provides exactly one strata* $\widetilde{S}$ *of* $N(\Theta)$*. Moreover, we have that* $\widetilde{S}/\tilde{G}\cong S^2$ *and for each of the* $2-$ *spheres linked to one of* $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{\delta}$ *the isotropy only depends on one of the minor determinants* $\pm\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$*, for the remaining cases the isotropy dipends on a linear combination of* $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$*;*

3) three sets of points such that, for each of them, the respective points are joined by one of the $2-$*spheres* $S^2\cong\big(\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\alpha\gamma}\cap N(\Theta)\big)^{\circ}/\tilde{G}$*. Each of these sets consists at most of four points.*

In the case when some of the minor determinants $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ *and* ${}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}$ *assumes values* ±1 *or when the isotropy, associated to some of the points mentioned in* 3)*, vanishes, then the singular locus* $\Sigma(\Theta)$ *is obtained by removing from the above list the sets whose isotropy depends on the mentioned determinants.* $\square$

Theorem 2.2 *The singular points on the* $3-$*Sasakian orbifold* $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ *come from the* $G-$*strata* $\widetilde{S}$ *of* $N(\Theta)$*, which are obtained by intersectig* $N(\Theta)$ *with the following strata* $\overline{S}$ *of* $\mathbb{H}^8$*, and the ones which can be obtained by changing* (w'_1, w'_2) *with* (w''_1, w''_2)*:*

$$\begin{array}{ll}
a) & \overline{S}^{1234} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w'_3 & w'_4 & w'_5 & w'_6 & w'_7 & w'_8 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
b) & \overline{S}^{123}_{4} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w'_3 & w'_4 & w'_5 & w'_6 & w''_7 & w''_8 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
c) & \overline{S}^{124}_{3} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w'_3 & w'_4 & w''_5 & w''_6 & w'_7 & w'_8 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
d) & \overline{S}^{134}_{2} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w''_3 & w''_4 & w'_5 & w'_6 & w'_7 & w'_8 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
e) & \overline{S}^{12}_{34} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w'_3 & w'_4 & w''_5 & w''_6 & w''_7 & w''_8 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
f) & \overline{S}^{13}_{24} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w''_3 & w''_4 & w'_5 & w'_6 & w''_7 & w''_8 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
g) & \overline{S}^{14}_{23} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w''_3 & w''_4 & w''_5 & w''_6 & w'_7 & w'_8 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
h) & \overline{S}^{1}_{234} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w''_3 & w''_4 & w''_5 & w''_6 & w''_7 & w''_8 \end{array} \right) \right\},
\end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$(w'_{2\alpha-1}, w'_{2\alpha}) := \frac{e^{i\delta}}{sin\,\varphi}\big(- z_{2\alpha} + iz_{2\alpha-1}\cos\,\varphi,\, z_{2\alpha-1} + iz_{2\alpha}\cos\,\varphi\big),$$

and

$$(w''_{2\alpha-1}, w''_{2\alpha}) =: \frac{e^{i\delta}}{sin\,\varphi}\big(- z_{2\alpha} - iz_{2\alpha-1}\cos\,\varphi,\, z_{2\alpha-1} - iz_{2\alpha}\cos\,\varphi\big). \tag{2.5}$$

with $sin\,\varphi \neq 0$. *Here, the element* $\lambda = \epsilon + j\sigma \in Sp(1)$ *is written as* $\epsilon = cos\,\theta + i(sin\,\theta cos\,\varphi)$ *and* $\sigma = sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi cos\,\delta + i(sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi sin\,\delta)$. *Then, each* $\overline{S}$ *in (2.4) generates a singular point* $\big(\overline{S} \cap N(\Theta)\big)/G \in \mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ *whose isotropy only depends on one of the minor determinants* ${}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}$. $\square$

2.1 The Twistor Space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$

By looking at the action of $\tilde{G} = Sp(1) \times T^3_\Theta \times U(1)$ on $\mathbb{H}^8$, let $\boldsymbol{u}$ be a non null point in $\mathbb{H}^8$. Then for each quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$, the fixed point equations can be written as:

$$A(\theta_\alpha)\begin{pmatrix} u_{2\alpha-1} \\ u_{2\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda u_{2\alpha-1}\rho \\ \lambda u_{2\alpha}\rho \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $A(\theta_\alpha) := \begin{pmatrix} cos\,\theta_\alpha & sin\,\theta_\alpha \\ -sin\,\theta_\alpha & cos\,\theta_\alpha \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda = \epsilon + j\sigma \in Sp(1)$, $\rho \in U(1)$, $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha}) \in \mathbb{C}^4 \times \mathbb{C}^4$ and $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

Proposition 2.1 *Let* $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ *be a point in* $N(\Theta)$*.Then, up to* $\tilde{G}-$*conjugation, we have that* $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})} \subset T^3_\Theta \times \{\lambda \in Sp(1) \mid \sigma \equiv 0\} \times U(1)$.

Proof. For each quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ the fixed point equations in (2.6) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{cases} u_{2\alpha-1} cos\,\theta_\alpha + u_{2\alpha} sin\,\theta_\alpha = \lambda u_{2\alpha-1}\rho, \\ -u_{2\alpha-1} sin\,\theta_\alpha + u_{2\alpha} cos\,\theta_\alpha = \lambda u_{2\alpha}\rho, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

where $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. Now, by multiplying the first equation in (2.7) by $cos\,\theta_\alpha$, the second one by $sin\,\theta_\alpha$, and by considering the sum and the difference of these two equations, we get

$$\begin{cases} u_{2\alpha-1} cos\,2\theta_\alpha + u_{2\alpha} sin\,2\theta_\alpha = \lambda(u_{2\alpha-1} cos\,\theta_\alpha + u_{2\alpha} sin\,\theta_\alpha)\rho, \\ u_{2\alpha-1} = \lambda(u_{2\alpha-1} cos\,\theta_\alpha - u_{2\alpha} sin\,\theta_\alpha)\rho. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Then, by multiplying on the right the first equation in (2.8) by the conjugated of the second one, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} u_{2\alpha-1} = \lambda(u_{2\alpha-1} cos\,\theta_\alpha - u_{2\alpha} sin\,\theta_\alpha)\rho, \\ |u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 cos\,2\theta_\alpha + u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1} sin\,2\theta_\alpha = \\ \qquad\qquad = \lambda(u_{2\alpha-1} cos\,\theta_\alpha + u_{2\alpha} sin\,\theta_\alpha)(\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1} cos\,\theta_\alpha - \overline{u}_{2\alpha} sin\,\theta_\alpha)\overline{\lambda}, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

or equivalently:

$$\begin{cases} u_{2\alpha-1} = \lambda(u_{2\alpha-1} cos\,\theta_\alpha - u_{2\alpha} sin\,\theta_\alpha)\rho, \\ |u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 cos\,2\theta_\alpha + u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1} sin\,2\theta_\alpha = \\ \qquad\qquad = \lambda(|u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 cos^2\,\theta_\alpha - |u_{2\alpha}|^2 sin^2\,\theta_\alpha + Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) sin\,2\theta_\alpha)\overline{\lambda}, \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

or:

$$\begin{cases} |u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 cos\,2\theta_\alpha + Re(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) sin\,2\theta_\alpha = |u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 cos^2\,\theta_\alpha - |u_{2\alpha}|^2 sin^2\,\theta_\alpha, \\ Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) sin\,2\theta_\alpha = \lambda Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1})\overline{\lambda} sin\,2\theta_\alpha, \\ u_{2\alpha-1} = \lambda(u_{2\alpha-1} cos\,\theta_\alpha - u_{2\alpha} sin\,\theta_\alpha)\rho. \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

Suppose there exists a quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ such that $Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) \neq 0$. If $sin\,\theta_\alpha = 0$, then we can easily see that the equations (2.11) describe the non effective subgroup. Instead, when $sin\,2\theta_\alpha \neq 0$ the first two equations give

$$\begin{cases} (|u_{2\alpha}|^2 - |u_{2\alpha-1}|^2)sin^2\,\theta_\alpha + Re(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1})sin\,2\theta_\alpha = 0, \\ Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) = \lambda Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1})\overline{\lambda}. \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

Since we are interested in points $\boldsymbol{u} \in N(\Theta)$, we can suppose $\boldsymbol{u} \in \nu^{-1}(0)$. Then, the first system of equations in (1.16) gives

$$Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) = c_\alpha Im(\overline{u}_8 u_7), \quad \alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}, \tag{2.13}$$

and $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$. As a direct consequence, the second equation in (2.12) does not depends on the index α, that is, it is the same for each quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$, $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. In the case when $Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) = i$, the second equation in (2.12) reads:

$$\lambda i\overline{\lambda} = (\epsilon + j\sigma)i(\overline{\epsilon} - j\sigma) = i(|\epsilon|^2 - |\sigma|^2) - 2k\sigma\overline{\epsilon} = i, \tag{2.14}$$

that, together with the condition $|\epsilon|^2 + |\sigma|^2 = 1$, yields $\sigma \equiv 0$. Thus, in this particular case we have that $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})} \subset T^3 \times U(1)^\epsilon \times U(1) := T^3_\Theta \times \{\lambda \in Sp(1) \mid \sigma \equiv 0\} \times U(1)$. Note that, for each quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ there exists $\tau \in Sp(1)$ such that $\tau Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1})\overline{\tau} = i$. Then, in general the isotropy subgroup of $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ is such that $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})} \subset T^3_\Theta \times \overline{\tau}U(1)^\epsilon\tau \times U(1)$. Instead, when $Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) = 0$ for each $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$, it follows that $u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1} = c_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. First suppose $c_\alpha \neq 0$ for each $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. Then, the fixed point equations (2.7) become

$$\begin{cases} u_{2\alpha-1}(cos\,\theta_\alpha + c_\alpha sin\,\theta_\alpha) = \lambda u_{2\alpha-1}\rho, \\ u_{2\alpha-1}(-sin\,\theta_\alpha + c_\alpha cos\,\theta_\alpha) = c_\alpha\lambda u_{2\alpha-1}\rho. \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

As a consequence, we get

$$(-sin\,\theta_\alpha + c_\alpha cos\,\theta_\alpha) = c_\alpha(cos\,\theta_\alpha + c_\alpha sin\,\theta_\alpha), \tag{2.16}$$

if and only if $(1+c_\alpha^2) sin\,\theta_\alpha = 0$, and we get $sin\,\theta_\alpha = 0$. Then, up to a sign, the fixed point equations become

$$\begin{cases} u_1 = \lambda u_1 \rho, \\ u_3 = \lambda u_3 \rho, \\ u_5 = \lambda u_5 \rho, \\ u_7 = \lambda u_7 \rho, \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} u_1 \overline{u}_\beta = \lambda u_1 \overline{u}_\beta \overline{\lambda}, \\ u_\beta \overline{u}_1 = \overline{\rho}\, \overline{u}_\beta u_1 \rho, \end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

where $\beta \in \{3,5,7\}$. Then, we obtain $\sigma \equiv 0$, $\epsilon = \rho = \pm 1$, by substituting these conditions in the fixed point equations (2.6) it follows that the isotropy subgroup coincide with the non effective subgroup. Now, if there exists a quaternionic pair such that $u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1} = c_\alpha = 0$, the fixed point equations (2.7) give $sin\,\theta_\alpha = 0$ and using the same argumet of the previous case we have that the isotropy coincide with the ineffectivity. Then we get the conclusion. □

Suppose now that $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in N(\Theta)$ has a quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ such that $Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) = i$. Then, by using the $T^3_\Theta-$moment map equations (look at (1.16) and (2.13)), up to a sign, this condition holds for each quaternionic pair of $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$. Moreover, it follows that $u_{2\alpha} = (Re(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) + i)u_{2\alpha-1}$. In general, we have that $Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) = \tau i \overline{\tau}$, $\tau \in Sp(1)$, and $u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1} = (Re(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) + \tau i \overline{\tau})$ if and only if $\overline{\tau} u_{2\alpha} = (Re(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) + i)\overline{\tau} u_{2\alpha-1}$. That is, for each quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$, up to multiplication on the right by an element $\overline{\tau} \in Sp(1)$, we can assume $Im(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) = i$ and $G_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})} \subset T^3_\Theta \times U(1)^\epsilon \times U(1)$. Then, in order to give a complete account of the singular $\tilde{G}-$strata of $N(\Theta)$ it will be sufficient to describe the points $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in N(\Theta)$ with isotropy subgroup $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})} \subset T^3_\Theta \times U(1)^\epsilon \times U(1)$. We then consider the orbits through these points. In the following we will work under the assumption $\sigma \equiv 0$.

Note that the points $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in N(\Theta) \subset S^{31}$ can be written as 4×8 complex matrices, namely:

$${}^T(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 & w_4 & w_5 & w_6 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array} \right), \tag{2.18}$$

and for each quaternionic pair the fixed point equations become

$$A(\theta_\alpha)\begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha-1} & w_{2\alpha-1} \\ z_{2\alpha} & w_{2\alpha}\end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix}\epsilon & -\overline{\sigma} \\ \sigma & \overline{\epsilon}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha-1} & z_{2\alpha} \\ w_{2\alpha-1} & w_{2\alpha}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\rho & 0 \\ 0 & \rho\end{pmatrix}\right]^T, \tag{2.19}$$

where $(z_\alpha, w_\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. The equations in (2.19) can be easily rewritten as:

$$\overbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & -\sigma\rho & -sin\,\theta_\alpha & cos\,\theta_\alpha - \overline{\epsilon}\rho \\ -\sigma\rho & 0 & cos\,\theta_\alpha - \overline{\epsilon}\rho & sin\,\theta_\alpha \\ \hline -sin\,\theta_\alpha & cos\,\theta_\alpha - \epsilon\rho & 0 & \overline{\sigma}\rho \\ cos\,\theta_\alpha - \epsilon\rho & sin\,\theta_\alpha & \overline{\sigma}\rho & 0 \end{array}\right)}^{M_\alpha :=}\begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha-1} \\ z_{2\alpha} \\ w_{2\alpha-1} \\ w_{2\alpha}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.20}$$

where $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. Note that none of the matrices M_α, $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$, has *rank* 4. Otherwise the corrispondent quaternionic pair $(z_{2\alpha-1}, w_{2\alpha-1}, z_{2\alpha}, w_{2\alpha})$ would vanish, and this contradicts lemma 1.1. Now, we prove the following fact about the action of $\tilde{G} = T^3_\Theta \times Sp(1) \times U(1)$ on $\mathbb{C}^8 \times \mathbb{C}^8 \cong \mathbb{H}^8$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let M_α be the matrix appearing in formula (2.20). The condition $\det M_\alpha = 0$, $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$, is equivalent to*

$$\overline{\rho}e^{i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) \pm i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2} \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{\rho}e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) \pm i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}. \tag{2.21}$$

Proof. Each matrix M_α, $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$, can be written as follows

$$M_\alpha = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D\end{array}\right) := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & -\sigma\rho & -sin\,\theta_\alpha & cos\,\theta_\alpha - \overline{\epsilon}\rho \\ -\sigma\rho & 0 & cos\,\theta_\alpha - \overline{\epsilon}\rho & sin\,\theta_\alpha \\ \hline -sin\,\theta_\alpha & cos\,\theta_\alpha - \epsilon\rho & 0 & \overline{\sigma}\rho \\ cos\,\theta_\alpha - \epsilon\rho & sin\,\theta_\alpha & \overline{\sigma}\rho & 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{2.22}$$

Since the submatrices A, D are invertible, this gives

$$M_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} B & Id \\ D & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} D^{-1}C & Id \\ A - BD^{-1}C & 0\end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.23}$$

Note that $det\, M_\alpha = 0$ if and only if $det\,(A - BD^{-1}C) = 0$, and the matrix $A - BD^{-1}C$ is

$$\frac{\sigma}{|\sigma|^2}\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\rho} sin\, 2\theta_\alpha - 2 sin\, \theta_\alpha Re(\epsilon) & (2 cos\, \theta_\alpha Re(\epsilon) - \overline{\rho} cos\, 2\theta_\alpha) - \rho \\ (2 cos\, \theta_\alpha Re(\epsilon) - \overline{\rho} cos\, 2\theta_\alpha) - \rho & -\overline{\rho} sin\, 2\theta_\alpha + 2 sin\, \theta_\alpha Re(\epsilon) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.24}$$

Then, up to the scale $(\frac{\sigma}{|\sigma|^2})^{-1}$, we can rewrite the matrix in (2.24) as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y & X - \rho \\ X - \rho & -Y \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.25}$$

where $X = 2cos\, \theta_i Re(\epsilon) - \overline{\rho} cos\, 2\theta_\alpha$ and $Y = \overline{\rho} sin\, 2\theta_\alpha - 2sin\, \theta_\alpha Re(\epsilon)$. Then, up to column permutations, $det\, M_\alpha$ can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} det\left[\begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ -Y & X \end{pmatrix} - \rho Id_{2\times 2}\right] = det\begin{pmatrix} X - \rho & Y \\ -Y & X - \rho \end{pmatrix} = \\ \rho^2 - 2XY + (X^2 + Y^2) = [\rho - (X + iY)][\rho - (X - iY)], \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

or equivalently:

$$\begin{aligned} det\,(A - BD^{-1}C) = \frac{\sigma^2}{|\sigma|^4}\big[\rho - \big((2cos\, \theta_\alpha Re(\epsilon) - \overline{\rho} cos\, 2\theta_\alpha) + i(\overline{\rho} sin\, 2\theta_\alpha + \\ - 2sin\, \theta_\alpha Re(\epsilon))\big)\big]\big[\rho - \big((2cos\, \theta_\alpha Re(\epsilon) - \overline{\rho} cos\, 2\theta_\alpha) - i(\overline{\rho} sin\, 2\theta_\alpha - 2sin\, \theta_\alpha Re(\epsilon))\big)\big]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Assume $\sigma \neq 0$, since $rank\, M_\alpha \leq 4$, for each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = det\, M_\alpha = \overline{\sigma}^2 \rho^2 det\,(A - BD^{-1}C) = \\ = \rho^2\Big(\rho + \overline{\rho}(e^{-i\theta_\alpha})^2 - 2Re(\epsilon)e^{-i\theta_\alpha}\Big)\Big(\rho + \overline{\rho}(e^{i\theta_\alpha})^2 - 2Re(\epsilon)e^{i\theta_\alpha}\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

if and only if

$$\rho + \overline{\rho}(e^{-i\theta_\alpha})^2 - 2Re(\epsilon)e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \rho + \overline{\rho}(e^{i\theta_\alpha})^2 - 2Re(\epsilon)e^{i\theta_\alpha} = 0, \tag{2.29}$$

or equivalently

$$\overline{\rho} e^{i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) \pm i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2} \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{\rho} e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) \pm i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}. \tag{2.30}$$

Note that, when $\sigma \equiv 0$ the condition $det\, M_\alpha = 0$ is equivalent to $\epsilon\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha}$ or $\overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha}$, which are particular cases of the relations in (2.30). Then we get the conclusion. □

Remark 2.1. Looking at the relations in (2.30), we can define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi\, :\, Sp(1) &\longrightarrow U(1). \\ \epsilon + j\sigma &\longmapsto Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2} \in U(1) \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

The elements $\lambda = \epsilon + j\sigma \in Sp(1)$ can be parametrized as follows

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon = cos\,\theta + i(sin\,\theta cos\,\varphi), \\ \sigma = sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi sin\,\delta + i(sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi cos\,\delta). \end{cases} \tag{2.32}$$

Then

$$\Psi(\epsilon + j\sigma) = cos\,\theta + i\sqrt{sin\,\theta^2 sin^2\,\varphi + sin\,\theta^2 cos^2\,\varphi} = cos\,\theta + i|sin\,\theta|, \tag{2.33}$$

and the relations in (2.30) can be rewritten as follows

$$\overline{\rho}e^{i\theta_\alpha} = e^{\pm i\theta} \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{\rho}e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = e^{\pm i\theta}. \tag{2.34}$$

Note that the map Ψ in (2.31) decsribes a Lie group homomorphism if and only if $\sigma \equiv 0$. □

Remark 2.2. By substituting in each block M_α the expressions of $\rho\epsilon$ and $\rho\overline{\epsilon}$ in (2.30), the equations (2.20) can be turned into an eigenvalue problem. Then, each of this choices provides non null solutions $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in \mathbb{H}^8$ for the equations (2.20) and these eigenvectors are characterized by having the same isotropy subgroup. Moreover, this isotropy is generated exactly by $(\rho\epsilon, \rho\overline{\epsilon})$.

1) just one of the relations in (2.30) holds $\iff$ $rank\, M_\alpha = 3$,
2) two relations in (2.30) hold $\iff$ $rank\, M_\alpha = 2$,
3) three or four relations in (2.30) are satisfied $\iff$ $M_\alpha = 0_{4\times 4}$.

Actually in the case 3) we have that if three relations of those in in (2.30) hold, then also the last one holds. Moreover, when all of the four relations in (2.30) hold, for each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, they describe the effectivity. In the following we will work up to the non effective subgroup, so we do not have to care about the case 3). □

Lemma 2.2 *Assume $\sigma \equiv 0$ in equations (2.20). Let M_α be such that $rank\, M_\alpha = 3$. Then the solutions of the eigenvalue problem associated to the equations (2.20) describe a complex $1-$dimensional space which is either*

$$1) \qquad {}^{\pm}V_1^\alpha := \{z_{2\alpha-1} \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, (z_{2\alpha-1}, \pm i z_{2\alpha-1}, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4\}, \qquad \text{or}$$

$$2) \qquad {}^{\pm}V_2^\alpha := \{w_{2\alpha-1} \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, (0, 0, w_{2\alpha-1}, \pm i w_{2\alpha-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\}. \tag{2.35}$$

When $rank\, M_\alpha = 2$, the possible spaces of solutions are the following:

$$3) \qquad {}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^\alpha := \{(z_{2\alpha-1}, w_{2\alpha-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, (z_{2\alpha-1}, \pm i z_{2\alpha-1}, w_{2\alpha-1}, \pm i w_{2\alpha-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\},$$

$$4) \qquad V_4^\alpha := \{z_{2\alpha-1}, z_{2\alpha} \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, (z_{2\alpha-1}, z_{2\alpha}, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4\},$$

$$5) \qquad V_5^\alpha := \{w_{2\alpha-1}, w_{2\alpha} \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, (0, 0, w_{2\alpha-1}, w_{2\alpha}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\}. \tag{2.36}$$

Proof. Under the hypothesis $\sigma \equiv 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} detM = (-sin\,\theta_\alpha^2 - (cos\,\theta_\alpha - \overline{\epsilon}\rho)^2)(-sin\,\theta_\alpha^2 - (cos\,\theta_\alpha - \epsilon\rho)^2) = \\ = ((\overline{\epsilon}\rho)^2 - 2\overline{\epsilon}\rho cos\,\theta_\alpha + 1)((\epsilon\rho)^2 - 2\epsilon\rho cos\,\theta_\alpha + 1) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

and hence

$$\rho\epsilon = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha} \qquad \text{or} \qquad \rho\overline{\epsilon} = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha}. \tag{2.38}$$

By substituting in the equations (2.20) the possible values of $\rho\epsilon$ and $\overline{\rho}\epsilon$, we get the respective spaces of solutions for the eigenvalue problem associated to the equations in (2.20). Note that $rank\ M_\alpha = 3$ if and only if just one of the four conditions in (2.38) is satisfied. In this case, a short computation shows that the space of solutions for the equations (2.20) is either ${}^{\pm}V_1^\alpha$ or ${}^{\pm}V_2^\alpha$. Instead, $rank\ M_\alpha = 2$, if and only if exactly two conditions of those in (2.38) are verified. Then we can describe the spaces of solutions, which strictly depends on the chosen values for $\epsilon\rho$ and $\overline{\epsilon}\rho$. So we have

$$3)\quad \begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = e^{\pm\theta_\alpha}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha}, \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad {}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^\alpha, \qquad 4)\quad \begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{-i\theta_\alpha}, \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad V_4^\alpha,$$

$$5)\quad \begin{cases} \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{-i\theta_\alpha}, \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad V_5^\alpha. \tag{2.39}$$

This concludes the proof. □

Now, we are ready to prove that the isotropy of a generic point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in N(\Theta)$, is described by a suitable subset of the relations in (2.30). As we will see in a moment, this fact allows to prove that, up to the $\tilde{G}-$action, the quaternionic pairs $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ of any element $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in N(\Theta)$ are described by the eigenspaces in (2.35) and (2.36).

Proposition 2.2 *Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ be a point in $N(\Theta) \subset S^{31} \subset \mathbb{H}^8$. Then the fixed point equations (2.7) are equivalent to the conditions (2.30) given by $det\, M_\alpha = 0$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.*

Proof. Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ a point in $N(\Theta) \subset \mathbb{H}^8$. Suppose $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ has discrete but not trivial isotropy, then at least one of the relations in (2.30) has to be satisfied. Morever, by using the proposition 2.1 we can assume $\sigma \equiv 0$ and $\lambda = \epsilon$. Suppose exactly one of the relations in (2.30) is verified, say $\overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{i\theta_\alpha}$, then for each quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the fixed point equations (2.11) become

$$\begin{cases} e^{i\theta_\alpha} u_{2\alpha-1} = \rho(u_{2\alpha-1} cos\,\theta_\alpha - u_{2\alpha} sin\,\theta_\alpha)\rho, \\ (|u_{2\alpha}|^2 - |u_{2\alpha-1}|^2) sin^2\theta_\alpha + Re(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) sin\, 2\theta_\alpha = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.40}$$

Let us rewrite the first equation in (2.40) in the complex coordinates $u_\beta = z_\beta + jw_\beta$:

$$\begin{cases} z_{2\alpha-1}e^{i\theta_\alpha} = (z_{2\alpha-1}cos\,\theta_\alpha - z_{2\alpha}sin\,\theta_\alpha)\rho^2, \\ (iw_{2\alpha-1} - w_{2\alpha})sin\,\theta_\alpha = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.41}$$

Now, by multiplying on the right the first equation in (2.40) by its conjugated, we get:

$$(|u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 - |u_{2\alpha}|^2)sin^2\,\theta_\alpha + Re(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1})sin\,2\theta_\alpha = 0, \tag{2.42}$$

that together with the second equation in (2.40) gives

$$\begin{cases} (|u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 - |u_{2\alpha}|^2)sin^2\,\theta_\alpha = 0, \\ Re(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1})sin\,2\theta_\alpha = 0, \end{cases}$$

or equivalently :

$$\begin{cases} (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2 - |z_{2\alpha}|^2 - |w_{2\alpha}|^2)sin^2\,\theta_\alpha = 0, \\ (z_{2\alpha}\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1} + w_{2\alpha}\overline{w}_{2\alpha-1})sin\,2\theta_\alpha = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.43}$$

Then, if $sin\,\theta_\alpha \neq 0$, the equations in (2.41) and (2.43) give

$$\begin{cases} |z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 = |z_{2\alpha}|^2, \\ w_{2\alpha} = iw_{2\alpha-1}, \\ z_{2\alpha} = -i|w_{2\alpha-1}|^2 z_{2\alpha-1}, \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} |z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 = |z_{2\alpha}|^2, \\ |z_{2\alpha}|^2 = |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2. \end{cases} \tag{2.44}$$

As a consequence, these equations either give

$$|w_{2\alpha-1}|^2 = |w_{2\alpha}|^2 = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad |z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 = |z_{2\alpha}|^2 = 0. \tag{2.45}$$

Since $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in N(\Theta) \subset S^{31} \subset \mathbb{H}^8$, it follows that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{8} |u_\alpha|^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{8}(|z_\alpha|^2 + |w_\alpha|^2) = 1. \tag{2.46}$$

Let us recall that none of the quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ can vanish, then we can exclude the first relation in (2.45), so we get $z_{2\alpha-1} = z_{2\alpha} = 0$ and $w_{2\alpha} = iw_{2\alpha-1}$. Note that we can repeat this argument for each quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$. Then, when the isotropy subgroup $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})}$ is different from the non effective subgroup, it follows that $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha}) \in \tilde{G} \cdot {}^{\pm}V_2^{\alpha}$. As we have seen in remark 2.2 the isotropy relative to the eigenspaces ${}^{\pm}V_2^{\alpha}$ is described by the conditions $\overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha}$. The other cases can be treated similarly. Suppose now, there exists $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that two relations of those in in (2.30) are satisfied. Then, up to a sign, we have one of the following possible cases

$$1) \quad \begin{cases} \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{-i\theta_\alpha}, \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad 2) \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \end{cases}$$

$$3) \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{-i\theta_\alpha}. \end{cases} \tag{2.47}$$

In the case 1) in (2.47) we have that

$$e^{i\theta_\alpha} = e^{ik\pi} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \epsilon = \rho' := \rho e^{ik\pi}, \tag{2.48}$$

the fixed point equations (2.7) give

$$\begin{cases} u_{2\alpha-1} = \rho u_{2\alpha-1}\rho, \\ u_{2\alpha} = \rho u_{2\alpha}\rho, \end{cases} \tag{2.49}$$

if and only if $z_{2\alpha-1} = z_{2\alpha-1}\rho^2$ and $z_{2\alpha} = z_{2\alpha}\rho^2$. Then, if $\rho \neq \pm 1$ we have that $z_{2\alpha-1} = z_{2\alpha} = 0$ and that $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha}) \in \tilde{G} \cdot V_5^{\alpha}$. Instead, in the case 2) we have that $\epsilon\rho = \overline{\epsilon}\rho$ if and only if $\epsilon = e^{ik\pi}$ and $\rho' := \rho e^{ik\pi} = e^{i\theta_\alpha}$. Then, the fixed point equations (2.7) become

$$\begin{cases} u_{2\alpha-1}e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = u_{2\alpha-1}cos\,\theta_\alpha - u_{2\alpha}sin\,\theta_\alpha, \\ (|u_{2\alpha}|^2 - |u_{2\alpha-1}|^2)sin^2\,\theta_\alpha + Re(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1})sin\,2\theta_\alpha = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.50}$$

or equivalently:

$$\begin{cases} u_{2\alpha-1} i sin\,\theta_\alpha = -u_{2\alpha} sin\,\theta_\alpha, \\ (|u_{2\alpha}|^2 - |u_{2\alpha-1}|^2) sin^2\theta_\alpha + Re(u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1}) sin\,2\theta_\alpha = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.51}$$

When $sin\,\theta_\alpha \neq 0$ we have that $u_{2\alpha-1}i = -u_{2\alpha}$ and the second equation in (2.51) is automatically satisfied. Then, $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha}) \in \tilde{G} \cdot {}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^\alpha$. The case 3) can be treated similarly. Then we get the conclusion. □

As a consequence, we have

Corollary 2.1 *Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ be a point in $N(\Theta)$ with non trivial isotropy subgroup $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})}$. Then each quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ of $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ belongs to one of the sets $\tilde{G} \cdot {}^{\pm}V_1^\alpha$, $\tilde{G} \cdot {}^{\pm}V_2^\alpha$, $\tilde{G} \cdot {}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^\alpha$, $\tilde{G} \cdot V_3^\alpha$ or $\tilde{G} \cdot V_4^\alpha$.*

Now, we have the following

Proposition 2.3 *Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ be a point on a singular $\tilde{G}-$orbit of $N(\Theta)$. Then at most one of the blocks M_α, $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$, has $rank = 2$.*

Proof. Suppose not. Then we have that $rank\,M_\alpha = 3$, $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. It follows that, for each M_α, just one of the equations in (2.38) is satisfied. We are interested in the case when there exist two different blocks M_γ and M_δ, such that for M_γ the first equation in (2.38) is satisfied and for M_δ the second one. Note that, in this case we have $e^{i\theta_\gamma} \neq e^{\pm i\theta_\delta}$, otherwise we could easily show that both M_γ and M_δ have $rank = 2$. If such two blocks do not exist, then it follows that the spaces of solutions relative the equations in (2.20), up to the $\tilde{G}-$action, are either

$$\left\{ X \in M_{4\times 8}(\mathbb{C}) \mid X = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

or

$$\left\{ X \in M_{4\times 8}(\mathbb{C}) \mid X = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * \end{array} \right) \right\}, \tag{2.52}$$

where the above 2×2 blocks $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ represents an element of ${}^{\pm}V_1^{\alpha}$ or ${}^{\pm}V_2^{\alpha}$. Since the point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ gives a 8×4 real matrix with *rank* 4, then it follows that the matrices in (2.52) are not admissible as point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in N$. Instead, when there exist the mentioned two blocks M_γ and M_δ, by using the proposition 2.2, we have that for each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ the spaces of solutions of equations (2.20) are either ${}^{\pm}V_1^{\alpha}$ or ${}^{\pm}V_2^{\alpha}$. Thus, up to column permutations, the spaces of solutions have necessarely one of the following shapes

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1) & \left\{ X \in M_{4\times 8}(\mathbb{C}) \mid X = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} * & * & * & * & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\ 2) & \left\{ X \in M_{4\times 8}(\mathbb{C}) \mid X = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\ 3) & \left\{ X \in M_{4\times 8}(\mathbb{C}) \mid X = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} * & * & 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & * & 0 & 0 & * & * \end{array} \right) \right\}. \end{array} \tag{2.53}$$

where the 2×2 blocks represent either an elements of ${}^{\pm}V_1^{\alpha}$ or ${}^{\pm}V_2^{\alpha}$. Consider the case 1) in (2.53) when $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha}) \in {}^{+}V_1^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \{1, 3\}$, $(u_3, u_4) \in {}^{-}V_1^{2}$ and $(u_7, u_8) \in {}^{+}V_2^{4}$. By reading the T^3_Θ−moment map equations on this set of solutions we get

$$T^3_\Theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} p_1|z_1|^2 - p_2|z_3|^2 + p_3|z_5|^2 + p_4|z_7|^2 = 0, \\ q_1|z_1|^2 - q_2|z_3|^2 + q_3|z_5|^2 + q_4|z_7|^2 = 0, \\ l_1|z_1|^2 - l_2|z_3|^2 + l_3|z_5|^2 + l_4|z_7|^2 = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.54}$$

and

$$Sp(1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad |z_1|^2 + |z_3|^2 + |z_5|^2 - |w_7|^2 = 0, \tag{2.55}$$

Moreover, we have to consider the sphere equation

$$|z_1|^2 + |z_3|^2 + |z_5|^2 + |w_7|^2 = 1. \tag{2.56}$$

Then we can rewrite these equations as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & -p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\ q_1 & -q_2 & q_3 & q_4 \\ l_1 & -l_2 & l_3 & l_4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |z_1|^2 \\ |w_3|^2 \\ |w_5|^2 \\ |w_7|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.57}$$

and the determinant is exactly one of ${}^{1\pm 2}\square^{1\pm 3}_{1\pm 4} \neq 0$. Then we get only the trivial solution. The remaining cases can be treated similarly. $\square$

Another useful fact is the following

Proposition 2.4 *Let* $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ *be is on a singular* $\tilde{G}-$*orbit of* $N(\Theta)$ *and suppose* $\sigma \equiv 0$ *in equations* (*2.20*). *Suppose that one block* M_β *has rank* $= 2$ *and the system of equations* 3) *in* (*2.39*) *holds. Then, for each* $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ *the block* M_α *has rank* ≤ 2.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume $rank\, M_1 = 2$ and

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \end{cases} \tag{2.58}$$

Now, consider another block M_γ with $\gamma \neq 1$. Since $rank\ \ M_\gamma \leq 3$, then at least one of the relations in (2.38) holds. So, we get either $\epsilon\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_\gamma}$ or $\overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_\gamma}$. In the first case (the other one can be treated in the same way), we get either

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_\gamma}, \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{-i\theta_\gamma}. \end{cases} \tag{2.59}$$

In particular it we have either $e^{i\theta_1} = \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{i\theta_\gamma}$ or $e^{i\theta_1} = \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{-i\theta_\gamma}$. Thus, we also get one of the further conditions $\overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_\gamma}$, and it follows that $rank\, M_\alpha \leq 2$ for each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. $\square$

Corollary 2.2 *Let* $(\underline{z},\underline{w}) \in N(\Theta)$ *be such that one of its quaternionic pairs* $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ *belongs to one of the spaces* ${}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^\alpha$*, and another one, say* $(u_{2\beta-1}, u_{2\beta})$ *with* $\alpha \neq \beta$*, which either belongs to* V_β^4 *or* V_β^5*. Then the isotropy subgroup* $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})}$ *is either the identity* $\{Id\}$ *or the non effective subgroup.*

Proof. Suppose $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha}) \in {}^{(+,+)}V_3^\alpha$ and $(u_{2\beta-1}, u_{2\beta}) \in V_4^\beta$, the other cases can be treated similarly. Then the fixed point equations relative to these quaternionic pairs gives

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_\beta}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{-i\theta_\beta}, \end{cases} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \begin{cases} e^{i(\theta_\alpha-\theta_\beta)} = 1, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \epsilon = \overline{\epsilon}, \\ \rho = \overline{\rho}, \end{cases} \tag{2.60}$$

In particular, the system of equations (2.60) holds for $\alpha = 1$ and it follows that

$$\begin{cases} e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_\gamma)} = 1, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \\ \epsilon = \overline{\epsilon}, \\ \rho = \overline{\rho}. \end{cases} \tag{2.61}$$

Note that, the fixed point equations (2.61) describe exactly the non effective subgroup. In fact, under the assumption $\sigma \equiv 0$, the equations (2.61) are equivalent to those described at the end of lemma 2.1, (when all of the relations in (2.30) are verified). Then we get the conclusion. □

Corollary 2.3 *Let* $(\underline{z},\underline{w})$ *be a point on a singular* $\tilde{G}-$ *orbit of* $N(\Theta)$*. Suppose* $(\underline{z},\underline{w})$ *has a quaternionic pair* $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ *which is contained in one of* ${}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^\alpha$*, then all of the four quaternionic pairs of* $(\underline{z},\underline{w})$ *are contained in one of the spaces* ${}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^\alpha$*,* $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$*.*

The proof of this corollary is a straightforward consequence of proposition 2.4 and corollary 2.2.□

Remark 2.3. Lemma 2.2 allows to describe all of the possible strata for the action of $\tilde{G}$ on $\mathbb{C}^8 \times \mathbb{C}^8$. In fact, each point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in \mathbb{C}^8 \times \mathbb{C}^8 \cong \mathbb{H}^8$ can be thought as a 4×8 complex matrix, then if $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ has no trivial isotropy, its own quaternionic pairs are described by the eigenspaces listed in (2.35) and (2.36). Moreover, the singular $\tilde{G}-$stata of $N(\Theta)$ can be obtained by intersecting $N(\Theta)$ with the $\tilde{G}-$strata of $\mathbb{H}^8$ having discrete isotropy. In particular, Corollary 2.3 allows to distinguish two different families of singular points, the first one is given by points $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ such that all of their quaternionc pairs are contained in ${}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Instead, in the second family, none of the points has a quaternionic pair contained in ${}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^{\alpha}$. In the following paragraph we consider the case of points $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in N(\Theta)$ whose quaternionic pairs are contained in ${}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^{\alpha}$. $\square$

2.1.1 $\tilde{G}-$strata $\tilde{S}_\alpha$ characterized by quaternionic pairs $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ of type ${}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^{\alpha}$

By using the expression of the elements in ${}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^{\alpha}$, it follows that each point in the first mentioned family has the following shape

$$
{}^{T}(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) := \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & \pm i z_1 & z_3 & \pm i z_3 & z_5 & \pm i z_5 & z_7 & \pm i z_7 \\ w_1 & \pm i w_1 & w_3 & \pm i w_3 & w_5 & \pm i w_5 & w_7 & \pm i w_7 \end{array} \right). \tag{2.62}
$$

Then the fixed point equations become

$$
\begin{cases} e^{i(\theta_1 \pm \theta_\alpha)} = 1, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_1}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_1}, \end{cases} \tag{2.63}
$$

where $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Now, by fixing a pair of signs $(\pm, \pm)$ for the equations $\begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_1}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_1}, \end{cases}$ and a triple of signs $(\mp, \mp, \mp)$, relative to $e^{i\theta_1} = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, (which determines exactly one of the the determinats ${}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}$) we have that each eigenspaces associated to the eingenvalues problem equations in (2.20) is univocally determinated by a $5-$ple of signs $\big((\pm, \pm), (\pm, \pm, \pm)\big)$.

Definition 2.2 *In the following, each eigenspace will be indicated with its own* $5-$*ple of signs* $\big((\pm,\pm),(\pm,\pm,\pm)\big)$*, that is* $\overline{\overline{S}}^{(\pm,\pm)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$.

Remark 2.4. Let M_α be such that $rank=2$ and suppose $(u_{2\alpha-1},u_{2\alpha})\in {}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^\alpha$. Then, the systems of equations 3) in (2.39), up to a sign, are either represented by

$$A)\qquad \begin{cases}\rho\epsilon=e^{i\theta_i},\\ \rho\bar{\epsilon}=e^{-i\theta_i},\end{cases}\qquad\Longleftrightarrow\qquad \begin{cases}\rho=\pm1,\\ \epsilon=\pm e^{-i\theta},\end{cases}$$

or by

$$B)\qquad \begin{cases}\rho\epsilon=e^{i\theta_i},\\ \rho\bar{\epsilon}=e^{i\theta},\end{cases}\qquad\Longleftrightarrow\qquad \begin{cases}\rho=\pm e^{-i\theta},\\ \epsilon=\pm1.\end{cases}\tag{2.64}$$

Now, evaluating the matrices M_α on the parameters which come from the case $A)$, as space of solutions we get either

$${}^{(+,-)}V_3^\alpha:=\{(z_{2\alpha-1},w_{2\alpha-1})\in\mathbb{C}^2\,|\,(z_{2\alpha-1},iz_{2\alpha-1},z_{2\alpha-1},-iw_{2\alpha-1})\},$$

or

$${}^{(-,+)}V_3^\alpha:=\{(z_{2\alpha-1},w_{2\alpha-1})\in\mathbb{C}^2\,|\,(z_{2\alpha-1},-iz_{2\alpha-1},z_{2\alpha-1},iw_{2\alpha-1})\}.\tag{2.65}$$

Instead in the case $B)$ we either have

$${}^{(+,+)}V_3^\alpha:=\{(z_{2\alpha-1},w_{2\alpha-1})\in\mathbb{C}^2\,|\,(z_{2\alpha-1},iz_{2\alpha-1},z_{2\alpha-1},iw_{2\alpha-1})\},$$

or

$${}^{(-,-)}V_3^\alpha:=\{(z_{2\alpha-1},w_{2\alpha-1})\in\mathbb{C}^2\,|\,(z_{2\alpha-1},-iz_{2\alpha-1},z_{2\alpha-1},-iw_{2\alpha-1})\}.\,\square\tag{2.66}$$

Lemma 2.3 *The singular points* $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in \mathbb{C}^8 \times \mathbb{C}^8$ *which solve the eigenvalues problem* (*2.20*) *and such that all of their quaternionic pair are contained in* ${}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^{\alpha}$*, can be divided into two subfamilies. The first family only depends on the case described in* A) *at* (*2.64*) *and the second one on the case* B).

Proof. Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ be a point in $N(\Theta)$ of the type above described. Note that it is impossible that there exist two different indices $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3, 4,\}$ such that M_α satisfies the condition A) and M_β the condition B). Otherwise, up to switch the index signs, we would have

$$\begin{cases} \rho\epsilon = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \rho\overline{\epsilon} = e^{-i\theta_\alpha} \\ \rho\epsilon = e^{i\theta_\beta}, \\ \rho\overline{\epsilon} = e^{i\theta_\beta}, \end{cases} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \begin{cases} e^{i\theta_\alpha - \theta_\beta} = 1, \\ \rho\epsilon = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \epsilon = \overline{\epsilon}, \\ \rho = \overline{\rho}. \end{cases} \tag{2.67}$$

Now, the argument in corollary 2.9 gives that the the fixed point equations describe the non effective subgroup, against our hypotheses. Then all the quaternionic pair have to be of the same type. That is, either all of the quaternionic pair are linked to the case A) or all of them are linked to the case B). So, referring to the cases A) and B) we have that the only possible points which solve the fixed point equations in (2.20) are listed in the following two subfamilies. First we consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
&1)\ \ \overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(+,+,-)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & -iz_7 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&2)\ \ \overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(+,-,+)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&3)\ \ \overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(-,+,+)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&4)\ \ \overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(+,+,+)}) := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&5)\ \ \overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(+,-,-)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & -iz_7 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&6)\ \ \overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(-,+,-)}) := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & -iz_7 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&7)\ \ \overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(-,-,+)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.68}
$$

and note that the cases $\overline{\overline{S}}^{(-,-)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ are completely similar. The second subfamily to consider is

$$\begin{aligned}
&8)\ \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(+,-)}_{(+,+,+)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ w_1 & iw_1 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&9)\ \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(+,-)}_{(+,+,-)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & -iz_7 \\ w_1 & iw_1 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&10)\ \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(+,-)}_{(+,-,+)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ w_1 & iw_1 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&11)\ \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(+,-)}_{(-,+,+)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ w_1 & iw_1 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&12)\ \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(+,-)}_{(+,-,-)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & -iz_7 \\ w_1 & iw_1 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&13)\ \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(+,-)}_{(-,+,-)}) := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & -iz_7 \\ w_1 & iw_1 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&14)\ \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(+,-)}_{(-,-,+)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ w_1 & iw_1 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&15)\ \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(+,-)}_{(-,-,-)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ w_1 & iw_1 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & -w_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.69}$$

The last cases $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(-,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ have a completely similar description. Note the singular strata reltive to the submanifold $N(\Theta)$ come from the intersection of the above eigenspaces with the manifold $N(\Theta)$. $\square$

Corollary 2.4 *The cases of* $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(-,-)}_{(+,+,+)}$ *and* $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(+,+)}_{(-,-,-)}$ *give an empty intersection with the zero set* $N(\Theta)$*.*

Proof. Consider the case of $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{(-,-)}_{(+,+,+)}$ (the other case can be treated in the same way). An element of this set can be thought as

$$ {}^{T}(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & -iz_1 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & -iz_7 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right). \tag{2.70}$$

The moment map, relative to the 3-torus T^3_Θ, in this case gives the equations

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 d_\alpha(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 d_\alpha(z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1} - z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1}) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.71}$$

where $d_\alpha = p_\alpha, q_\alpha$ and l_α. Then it follows that equations in (2.71) admit only the trivial solution $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) = (\underline{0}, \underline{0})$. Thus we get the conclusion.$\square$

Remark 2.5 Note that, each of the eigenspaces listed in (2.68) is $\tilde{G}-$invariant. Then, these eigenspaces actually describe a family of $\tilde{G}$-strata in $\mathbb{H}^8$. Instead, the eigenspaces in (2.69) are not $\tilde{G}-$invariant, and in the next proposition 2.5 we will prove that they do not intersect $N(\Theta)$.$\square$

Proposition 2.5 *The families of eigenspaces* $\overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,-)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ *and* $\overline{\overline{S}}^{(-,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ *do not intersect the manifold* $N(\Theta) = \mu^{-1}(0) \cap \nu^{-1}(0)$.

Proof Consider the family of solutions $\overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,-)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ (the proof in the case of the remaing sets $\overline{\overline{S}}^{(-,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ is similar). Let us recall that the equations provided by the $Sp(1)$ moment map μ are the following

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \overline{(z_\alpha + jw_\alpha)} i (z_\alpha + jw_\alpha) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \overline{(z_\alpha + jw_\alpha)} j (z_\alpha + jw_\alpha) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \overline{(z_\alpha + jw_\alpha)} k (z_\alpha + jw_\alpha) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.72}$$

By reading these equations on the points $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ in $\overline{\overline{S}}^{(-,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 - |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.73}$$

In fact, for each point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ which belongs to one of the spaces $\overset{=}{S}{}^{(+,-)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$, we have that the last two equations in (2.72) are automatically satisfied. Instead, the first of these equations yields the system of equations in (2.73). In particular, the equations in (2.73) are the same for all the eigenspaces listed in the second subfamily. Now consider the moment map ν, relative to the 3-torus T^3_Θ. In the following d_α indicate the weights p_α, q_α and l_α, for $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then, the moment map ν yields the following equations

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 d_\alpha Im(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha} + w_{2\alpha-1}\overline{w}_{2\alpha}) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 d_\alpha (z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha} - z_{2\alpha}w_{2\alpha-1}) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.74}$$

By evaluating these equations on a point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ which belongs to one of the spaces $\overset{=}{S}{}^{(+,-)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (-1)^{m_\alpha} d_\alpha (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 - |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (-1)^{m_\alpha} d_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.75}$$

the indices m_α only depend on the $5-ple$ of signs of the chosen eigenspace. Let us rewrite these systems of equations as follows,

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (-1)^{m_\alpha} p_\alpha (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 - |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (-1)^{m_\alpha} q_\alpha (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 - |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (-1)^{m_\alpha} l_i (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 - |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 - |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2) = 0, \end{cases} \cup \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (-1)^{m_\alpha} p_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1} = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (-1)^{m_\alpha} q_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1} = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (-1)^{m_\alpha} l_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1} = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.76}$$

These two systems have the same matrix of coefficients, and hence the same determinant. Note that, this determinant is one of the ${}^{1\pm2}\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}$, which never vanish under our assumptions. Then, from the first system of equations in (2.76) we get

$$|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 = |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2 > 0, \tag{2.77}$$

for each $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. The condition they have to be bigger than zero depends on the fact that each quaternionic pair does not vanish. Similarly from the second system of equation in (2.76) we get the further conditions

$$z_{2\alpha-1} w_{2\alpha-1} = 0, \tag{2.78}$$

for each $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. Then it holds either $z_{2\alpha-1} = 0$ or $w_{2\alpha-1} = 0$, $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. So the only solution for these two system of equations is $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) = (\underline{0}, \underline{0})$ which does not belong to $N(\Theta)$. Then, we do not care about the eigenspaces in (2.69).□

Proposition 2.6 *Consider the families of complex vector spaces* $\overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ *and* $\overline{\overline{S}}^{(-,-)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$. *Then, it follows that just one of these complex spaces intersects the zero set* $N(\Theta) = \mu^{-1}(0) \cap \nu^{-1}(0)$.

Proof. Consider the the eigenspaces in the first family. By reading the $Sp(1)-$ moment map equations in (2.72) on any point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$, which belongs to one of the eigenspaces $\overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ we get the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 - |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \overline{w}_{2\alpha-1} z_{2\alpha-1} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.79}$$

Note that the equations in (2.79) describe the Stiefel manifold $\mathcal{S} = U(4)/U(2)$ of the 2-complex frames in $\mathbb{C}^4$. Besides these equations are common for all of the eigenspaces $\overline{\overline{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$. Instead by evaluating the T^3_Θ moment map equations on a generic point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ which belongs to one of the spaces we are dealing with, we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4}(-1)^{m_\alpha} d_\alpha(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |w_{2\alpha-1}|^2) = 0, \tag{2.80}$$

for each $d_\alpha = p_\alpha, q_\alpha, l_\alpha$ and $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Let us rewrite the equations in (2.80) as

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4}(-1)^{m_\alpha} d_\alpha |u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 = 0, \tag{2.81}$$

where $u_{2\alpha-1} = z_{2\alpha-1} + jw_{2\alpha-1}$ for each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Now, by using the notation we have introduced in (2.81), the intersection of the sphere S^{31} with each eigenspace in $\overset{=}{S}{}^{(+,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ is described by the equation

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{8} |u_\alpha|^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} 2|u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 = 1. \tag{2.82}$$

By considering together the equations in (2.81) and (2.82) we get the following system of equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{m_1}p_1 & (-1)^{m_2}p_2 & (-1)^{m_3}p_3 & (-1)^{m_4}p_4 \\ (-1)^{m_1}q_1 & (-1)^{m_2}q_2 & (-1)^{m_3}q_3 & (-1)^{m_4}q_4 \\ (-1)^{m_1}l_1 & (-1)^{m_2}l_2 & (-1)^{m_3}l_3 & (-1)^{m_4}l_4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |u_1|^2 \\ |u_3|^2 \\ |u_5|^2 \\ |u_7|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.83}$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{cases} 2|u_1|^2 = \frac{\pm\Delta_{234}}{1\pm2\,\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}} > 0, \\ 2|u_3|^2 = \frac{\pm\Delta_{134}}{1\pm2\,\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}} > 0, \\ 2|u_5|^2 = \frac{\pm\Delta_{124}}{1\pm2\,\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}} > 0, \\ 2|u_7|^2 = \frac{\pm\Delta_{123}}{1\pm2\,\square^{1\pm3}_{1\pm4}} > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.84}$$

Note that, after we have fixed the weigth matrix Θ, the minor determinants $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are univocally determinated. Then the system of equations in (2.84) admits a unique solution in terms of $|u_\alpha|^2$, and the relations (1.32)) give that $N(\Theta)$ intersects exactly one of the eigenspaces $\bar{\bar{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$. As a consequence, when $\bar{\bar{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)} \cap N(\Theta) \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $dim\,\big(\bar{\bar{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)} \cap N(\Theta)\big) = 9$ and $\big(\bar{\bar{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)} \cap N(\Theta)\big)/\tilde{G} \cong S^2$. $\square$

Example 2.1. In order to have a better description of Proposition 2.5, as an example we consider the case when the moment map equations in (2.84) become

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & -p_3 & -p_4 \\ q_1 & q_2 & -q_3 & -q_4 \\ l_1 & l_2 & -l_3 & -l_4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |u_1|^2 \\ |u_3|^2 \\ |u_5|^2 \\ |u_7|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.85}$$

and it follows

$$\begin{cases} 2|u_1|^2 = \frac{-\Delta_{234}}{{}^{1-2}\square^{1+3}_{1+4}} > 0, \\ 2|u_3|^2 = \frac{\Delta_{134}}{{}^{1-2}\square^{1+3}_{1+4}} > 0, \\ 2|u_5|^2 = \frac{\Delta_{124}}{{}^{1-2}\square^{1+3}_{1+4}} > 0, \\ 2|u_7|^2 = \frac{-\Delta_{123}}{{}^{1-2}\square^{1+3}_{1+4}} > 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.86}$$

Suppose this system of equations admit solutions. Hence, we would have that

$$\frac{\Delta_{234}}{|\Delta_{124}|} = \frac{\Delta_{134}}{|\Delta_{134}|} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Delta_{123}}{|\Delta_{123}|} = \frac{\Delta_{234}}{|\Delta_{234}|}. \tag{2.87}$$

Then, according to the sign of ${}^{1-2}\square^{1+3}_{1+4}$, the identities (2.86) (which involved the determinants $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and ${}^{1\pm 2}\square^{1\pm 3}_{1\pm 4}$ as showed in (1.32)) admit exactly one solution in terms of $\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and ${}^{1\pm 2}\square^{1\pm 3}_{1\pm 4}$. Then, the only eigenspace which have a non null intersection with $N(\Theta)$ is $\bar{\bar{S}}^{(+,+)}_{(+,+,-)}$.$\square$

2.1.2 $\tilde{G}-$strata $\tilde{S}_\alpha$ characterized by quaternionic pairs $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ of type ${}^{\pm}V_1^\alpha,\ {}^{\pm}V_2^\alpha,\ V_4^\alpha$ and V_5^α

Consider now the points $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in \mathbb{H}^8$ which have no quaternionic pairs of type ${}^{(\pm,\pm)}V_3^\alpha$. First, note that the complex vector spaces ${}^{\pm}V_1^\alpha,\ {}^{\pm}V_2^\alpha,\ V_4^\alpha$ and $V_5^\alpha,\ \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are not invariant for the action of the group $\tilde{G}$. Then, a singular stratum $\overset{=}{S} \subset \mathbb{H}^8$ whose points have quaternionic coordinates $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ in one of these spaces can be described as

$$\tilde{G} \cdot \overbrace{\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 & u_7 & u_8 \end{array} \right) \right\}}^{V:=}. \tag{2.88}$$

Here, V is a vector space whose quaternionic pairs are in one of the spaces ${}^{\pm}V_1^\alpha$, ${}^{\pm}V_2^\alpha$, V_4^α and V_5^α.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $\overset{=}{S} = \tilde{G} \cdot V$ be a singular stratum of those in (2.88). Then $dim\, \tilde{G} \cdot V = dim\, V + 2$.*

Proof. Note that $\tilde{H} := Sp(1) \times U(1)^\epsilon \times U(1)$ is the biggest subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ that fixes each vector space V. Consider

$$\tilde{G} \times_{\tilde{H}} V := \big\{ [(g, \boldsymbol{u})] \mid g \in \tilde{G} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{u} \in V \big\}, \tag{2.89}$$

where $[(g, \boldsymbol{u})] = [(g_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1)]$ if and only if there exists $h \in \tilde{H}$ such that $(g_1, \boldsymbol{u}_1) = (gh^{-1}, h \cdot \boldsymbol{u})$. We have that, $\tilde{G} \times_{\tilde{H}} V$ is a V-vector bundle over $\tilde{G}/\tilde{H}$, and we can define the $\tilde{G}-$action $\Lambda : \tilde{G} \times \big(\tilde{G} \times_{\tilde{H}} V\big) \to \tilde{G} \times_{\tilde{H}} V$, given by

$$g' \cdot [(g, \boldsymbol{u})] := [(g'g, \boldsymbol{u})]. \tag{2.90}$$

Then, by using the $\tilde{G}-$equivariant diffeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma\, : \tilde{G}\cdot V &\longrightarrow \tilde{G}\times_{\tilde{H}} V, \\ g\cdot \boldsymbol{u} &\longmapsto [(g,\boldsymbol{u})] \end{aligned} \tag{2.91}$$

it follows that $\tilde{G}\cdot V \cong \tilde{G}\times_{\tilde{H}} V$. Since $\tilde{G}/\tilde{H}\cong S^2$, we have that $\tilde{G}\cdot V$ is a vector bundle over S^2 with fiber V:

$$V \to \tilde{G}\cdot V \to \tilde{G}/\tilde{H} \cong S^2. \tag{2.92}$$

As a direct consequence $dim\,\tilde{G}\cdot V = dim\,V + 2$. □

Let us recall that the $\tilde{G}-$strata $\widetilde{S}$ of $N(\Theta)$ can be obtained by taking the intersection of the $\tilde{G}-$strata $\overset{=}{S}$, of $\mathbb{H}^8$, with $H(\Theta)$. Then lemma 2.4 will be very useful in order to compute the dimension of the strata $\widetilde{S} = \frac{\tilde{G}\cdot V\cap N(\Theta)}{\tilde{G}}$ of $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$. Then we have the following result

Lemma 2.5 *In order to describe the moment map equations of T^3_Θ and $Sp(1)$ on the strata $\tilde{G}\cdot V$, it is sufficient to compute them on the relative vector spaces V.*

Proof. First, note that

$$1)\qquad \tilde{G}\cdot\begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha-1} & z_{2\alpha} \\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{2\alpha-1}\epsilon & v_{2\alpha}\epsilon \\ v_{2\alpha-1}\sigma & v_{2\alpha}\sigma\end{pmatrix},$$

$$2)\qquad \tilde{G}\cdot\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ w_{2\beta-1} & \pm i w_{2\beta-1}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -v_{2\beta-1}\overline{\sigma} & \mp i v_{2\beta}\overline{\sigma} \\ v_{2\beta-1}\overline{\epsilon} & \pm i v_{2\beta}\overline{\epsilon}\end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.93}$$

where $v_{2\alpha-1} = \big(cos\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1} + sin\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha}\big)\rho$, $v_{2\alpha} = \big(-sin\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1} + cos\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha}\big)\rho$ and $v_{2\beta-1} = e^{\pm i\theta_\beta} w_{2\beta-1}\rho$. In order to describe the $Sp(1)$ moment map equations , on the sets in (2.88), we have to compute the following

$$1)\ \overline{(\epsilon+j\sigma)v_\alpha}\, i\,(\epsilon+j\sigma)v_\alpha=\overline{v}_\alpha(\overline{\epsilon}-j\sigma)i(\epsilon+j\sigma)v_\alpha=i|v_\alpha|^2(|\epsilon|^2-|\sigma|^2)+2k\overline{\epsilon\sigma}(v_\alpha)^2,$$

$$2)\ \overline{(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})v_\alpha}\, i\,(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})v_\alpha=\overline{(\epsilon+j\sigma)jv_\alpha}\, i\,(\epsilon+j\sigma)jv_\alpha=-i|v_\alpha|^2(|\epsilon|^2-|\sigma|^2)-2k\overline{\epsilon\sigma}(v_\alpha)^2,$$

$$3)\ \overline{(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})iv_\alpha}\, i\,(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})iv_\alpha=\overline{(\epsilon+j\sigma)jiv_\alpha}\, i\,(\epsilon+j\sigma)jiv_\alpha=\overline{(\epsilon+j\sigma)kv_\alpha}\, i\,(\epsilon+j\sigma)kv_\alpha=-i|v_\alpha|^2(|\epsilon|^2-|\sigma|^2)+2k\overline{\epsilon\sigma}(v_\alpha)^2. \tag{2.94}$$

Then we have to consider

$$4)\ \overline{(\epsilon+j\sigma)v_\alpha}\, j\,(\epsilon+j\sigma)v_\alpha=\overline{v}_\alpha(\overline{\epsilon}-j\sigma)j(\epsilon+j\sigma)v_\alpha=j((\epsilon)^2+(\sigma)^2)(v_\alpha)^2+2iIm(\epsilon\overline{\sigma})|v_\alpha|^2,$$

$$5)\ \overline{(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})v_\alpha}\, j\,(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})v_\alpha=\overline{(\epsilon+j\sigma)jv_\alpha}\, j\,(\epsilon+j\sigma)jv_\alpha=j((\overline{\epsilon})^2+(\overline{\sigma})^2)(v_\alpha)^2-2iIm(\epsilon\overline{\sigma})|v_\alpha|^2,$$

$$6)\ \overline{(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})iv_\alpha}\, j\,(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})iv_\alpha=\overline{(\epsilon+j\sigma)kv_\alpha}\, j\,(\epsilon+j\sigma)kv_\alpha=-j((\overline{\epsilon})^2+(\overline{\sigma})^2)(v_\alpha)^2-2iIm(\epsilon\overline{\sigma})|v_\alpha|^2, \tag{2.95}$$

and

$$7)\ \overline{(\epsilon+j\sigma)v_\alpha}\, k\,(\epsilon+j\sigma)v_\alpha=\overline{v}_\alpha(\overline{\epsilon}-j\sigma)k(\epsilon+j\sigma)v_\alpha=k((\epsilon)^2-(\sigma)^2)(v_\alpha)^2-2iRe(\epsilon\overline{\sigma})|v_\alpha|^2,$$

$$8)\ \overline{(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})v_\alpha}\, k\,(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})v_\alpha=\overline{(\epsilon+j\sigma)jv_\alpha}\, k\,(\epsilon+j\sigma)jv_\alpha=-k((\overline{\epsilon})^2-(\overline{\sigma})^2)(v_\alpha)^2+2iRe(\epsilon\overline{\sigma})|v_\alpha|^2,$$

$$9)\ \overline{(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})iv_\alpha}\, k\,(-\overline{\sigma}+j\overline{\epsilon})iv_\alpha=\overline{(\epsilon+j\sigma)kv_\alpha}\, k\,(\epsilon+j\sigma)kv_\alpha=k((\overline{\epsilon})^2-(\overline{\sigma})^2)(v_\alpha)^2+2iRe(\epsilon\overline{\sigma})|v_\alpha|^2. \tag{2.96}$$

By using these relations we can describe the $Sp(1)-$momemt map equations. For example consider the case of

$$
{}^{(+,+)}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34} = \tilde{G} \cdot \overbrace{\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}}^{{}^{(+,+)}\overline{\overline{V}}{}^{12}_{34}:=} . \tag{2.97}
$$

Then by reading the $Sp(1)-$moment map given by (2.94), (2.95) and (2.96), on ${}^{(+,+)}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34}$ we have:

$$
\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (|\epsilon|^2 - |\sigma|^2)\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 |v_\alpha|^2 - 2|w_5|^2 - 2|w_7|^2\big) = 0, \\ Im(\epsilon\overline{\sigma})\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 |v_\alpha|^2 - 2|w_5|^2 - 2|w_7|^2\big) = 0, \\ Re(\epsilon\overline{\sigma})\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 |v_\alpha|^2 - 2|w_5|^2 - 2|w_7|^2\big) = 0, \\ ((\epsilon)^2 + (\sigma)^2)\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (v_\alpha)^2 = 0, \\ ((\epsilon)^2 - (\sigma)^2)\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (v_\alpha)^2 = 0, \\ \overline{\epsilon\sigma}\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (v_\alpha)^2 = 0, \end{array} \right. \tag{2.98}
$$

if and only if

$$
\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 |v_\alpha|^2 - 2|w_5|^2 - 2|w_7|^2 = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 (v_\alpha)^2 = 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{2.99}
$$

A direct computation shows that these equations coincide with the $Sp(1)-$moment map equations on the vector space ${}^{(+,+)}\overline{\overline{V}}{}^{12}_{34}$. A similar computation allows to describe the T^3_Θ moment map equations on the vector space ${}^{(+,+)}\overline{\overline{V}}{}^{12}_{34}$. For the other sets in (2.88) we can use the same argument. Then we get the conclusion.□

Proposition 2.7 *Let* $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in \mathbb{H}^8$ *be a singular point of those in (2.88). Then* $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ *has at most two quaternionic pairs which are either contained in* ${}^{\pm}V_1^\alpha$ *or* ${}^{\pm}V_2^\alpha$*.*

Proof. We can easily exclude the case of an element which have all of its quaternionic pairs in ${}^{\pm}V_1^\alpha$ or ${}^{\pm}V_2^\alpha$. In fact, up to row and column permuations, these cases are given by

$$\tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & \pm i z_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & \pm i w_3 & w_5 & \pm i w_5 & w_7 & \pm i w_7 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

or

$$\tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & \pm i z_1 & z_3 & \pm i z_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & \pm i w_5 & w_7 & \pm i w_7 \end{array}\right)\right\}. \tag{2.100}$$

Then, it follows that the moment map equations of T^3_Θ and $Sp(1)$, on the first family of sets in (2.100), read

$$T^3_\Theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} p_1|z_1|^2 - p_2|w_3|^2 - p_3|w_5|^2 - p_4|w_7|^2 = 0, \\ q_1|z_1|^2 - q_2|w_3|^2 - q_3|w_5|^2 - q_4|w_7|^2 = 0, \\ l_1|z_1|^2 - l_2|w_3|^2 - l_3|w_5|^2 - l_4|w_7|^2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$Sp(1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad |z_1|^2 - |w_3|^2 - |w_5|^2 - |w_7|^2 = 0. \tag{2.101}$$

In the following we will often use these notation to indicate the moment map equations relative to T^3_Θ and $Sp(1)$. Moreover, we have to consider the sphere equation

$$|z_1|^2 + |w_3|^2 + |w_5|^2 + |w_7|^2 = 1. \tag{2.102}$$

Then we can rewrite these equations as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1 & -p_2 & -p_3 & -p_4 \\ q_1 & -q_2 & -q_3 & -q_4 \\ l_1 & -l_2 & -l_3 & -l_4 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |z_1|^2 \\ |w_3|^2 \\ |w_5|^2 \\ |w_7|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.103}$$

the determinant associated is exactly ${}^{1+2}\Box^{1+3}_{1+4} \neq 0$, then we get only the trivial solution. The other cases can be treated in the same way. Now, we study the case of singular points which have three quaternionic pairs which either belong to ${}^{\pm}V^{\alpha}_1$ or ${}^{\pm}V^{\alpha}_2$. Then, up to columns permutations, we have only the following possibilities

$$(\underline{z},\underline{w}) \in V_4^1 \times {}^{\pm}V_2^2 \times {}^{\pm}V_2^3 \times {}^{\pm}V_2^4,$$

or

$$(\underline{z},\underline{w}) \in V_5^1 \times {}^{\pm}V_1^2 \times {}^{\pm}V_1^3 \times {}^{\pm}V_1^4. \tag{2.104}$$

In the first case of (2.104) the fixed point equations become

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{-i\theta_1}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_2}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_3}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_4}, \end{cases} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \begin{cases} e^{i(\theta_2 \mp \theta_3)} = 1, \\ e^{i(\theta_2 \mp \theta_4)} = 1, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_2}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{-i\theta_1}, \end{cases} \tag{2.105}$$

then, the determinant associated to the matrix of coefficients, up to rows permutations, becomes

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccc|cc} p_2 \mp p_3 & q_2 \mp q_3 & l_2 \mp l_3 & 0 & 0 \\ p_2 \mp p_4 & q_2 \mp q_4 & l_2 \mp l_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 2p_1 & 2q_1 & 2l_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline p_1 & q_1 & l_1 & -1 & -1 \\ p_2 & q_2 & l_2 & \pm 1 & \mp 1 \end{array}\right| = \pm 4\big(\Delta_{124} - \Delta_{123} + \Delta_{134}\big). \tag{2.106}$$

The sets described by the relations in (2.104), up to row permuatations have the following shape:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{S}{}^1_{234} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & \pm i w_3 & w_5 & \pm i w_5 & w_7 & \pm i w_7 \end{array} \right) \right\} = \\ \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} v_1\epsilon & v_2\epsilon & -v_3\overline{\sigma} & \mp i v_3\overline{\sigma} & -v_5\overline{\sigma} & \mp i v_5\overline{\sigma} & -v_7\overline{\sigma} & \mp i v_7\overline{\sigma} \\ v_1\sigma & v_2\sigma & v_3\overline{\epsilon} & \pm i v_3\overline{\epsilon} & v_5\overline{\epsilon} & \pm i v_5\overline{\epsilon} & v_7\overline{\epsilon} & \pm i v_7\overline{\epsilon} \end{array} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.107}$$

where $v_1 = \big(cos\,\theta_1 z_1 + sin\,\theta_1 z_2\big)\rho$, $v_2 = \big(-sin\,\theta_1 z_1 + cos\,\theta_1 z_2\big)\rho$ and $v_{2\alpha-1} = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha} w_{2\alpha-1}\rho$ with $\alpha \in \{2,3,4\}$. We have that, up to column permutations, the stratum ${}^{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{S}{}^1_{234}$ describes all the strata whose points have three quaternionic pairs which either belong to ${}^{\pm}V_1^{\alpha}$ or ${}^{\pm}V_2^{\alpha}$. Since the moment map μ is $T^3_\Theta-$equaivariant, ν is $Sp(1)-$ equivariant and the submanifold $N(\Theta)$ is $G-$invariant, then in order to compute the moment map equations on the stratum ${}^{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{S}{}^1_{234}$, it is sufficient to do this on the vector space

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & \pm i w_3 & w_5 & \pm i w_5 & w_7 & \pm i w_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}. \tag{2.108}$$

Then, by using the notation introduced in (2.101) and (**??**), the T^3_Θ and $Sp(1)$ moment map equations read

$$T^3_\Theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} p_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) - p_2|w_3|^2 - p_3|w_5|^2 - p_4|w_7|^2 = 0, \\ q_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) - q_2|w_3|^2 - q_3|w_5|^2 - q_4|w_7|^2 = 0, \\ l_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) - l_2|w_3|^2 - l_3|w_5|^2 - l_4|w_7|^2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$Sp(1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 - 2|w_3|^2 - 2|w_5|^2 - 2|w_7|^2 = 0, \\ (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.109}$$

Moreover, we have to consider the sphere equation

$$|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + 2|w_3|^2 + 2|w_5|^2 + 2|w_7|^2 = 1. \tag{2.110}$$

Let us rewrite the $Sp(1)-$moment map equations in (2.109) and the sphere equation (2.110) as follows

$$\begin{cases} |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \\ |w_3|^2 + |w_5|^2 + |w_7|^2 = \frac{1}{4}, \\ (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.111}$$

Note that, $dim\,{}^{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{S}{}^1_{234} = 12$ and the intersection ${}^{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{S}{}^1_{234} \cap N(\Theta)$ would be described by the equations in (2.109) and (2.111). Then, we would have that $dim\left({}^{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{S}{}^1_{234} \cap N(\Theta)\right) = 5$. Since $dim\,\tilde{G} = 7$, and the action of $\tilde{G}$ is locally free on $N(\Theta)$, it follows that each of ${}^{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{S}{}^1_{234}$ does not intersect $N(\Theta)$. The other cases can be treated analogously.

Now, consider the case of a singular point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in \mathbb{H}^8$ which has two quaternionic pairs cointained either in ${}^{\pm}V_1^{\alpha}$ or ${}^{\pm}V_2^{\alpha}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume these two quaternionic pairs being (u_5, u_6) and (u_7, u_8) respectively. Note that, up to the $\tilde{G}-$action, $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$, $\alpha \in \{3, 4\}$ have to be contained in the same eigenspace, analogously $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$ have to be contained in the same eigenspace and in order to avoid $rank\,(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) < 4$ the only possible solutions are either

$$(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in V_4^1 \times V_4^2 \times {}^{\pm}V_2^3 \times {}^{\pm}V_2^4,$$

or

$$(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in V_5^1 \times V_5^2 \times {}^{\pm}V_1^3 \times {}^{\pm}V_1^4, \tag{2.112}$$

Consider the first case (the second one can be studied similarly). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34} := \tilde{G} \cdot & \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & \pm i w_5 & w_7 & \pm i w_7 \end{array} \right) \right\} = \\ & \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} v_1\epsilon & v_2\epsilon & v_3\epsilon & v_4\epsilon & -v_5\overline{\sigma} & \mp i v_5\overline{\sigma} & -v_7\overline{\sigma} & \mp i v_7\overline{\sigma} \\ v_1\sigma & v_2\sigma & v_3\sigma & v_4\sigma & v_5\overline{\epsilon} & \pm i v_5\overline{\epsilon} & v_7\overline{\epsilon} & \pm i v_7\overline{\epsilon} \end{array} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.113}$$

where $v_{2\alpha-1} = \big(cos\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1} + sin\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha}\big)\rho$, $v_{2\alpha} = \big(-\,sin\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1} + cos\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha}\big)\rho$ and $v_{2\beta-1} = e^{\pm i\theta_\beta} w_{2\beta-1}\rho$ with $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$, $\beta \in \{3, 4\}$. Note that, the stratum described in (2.113) has dimension 14. The fixed point equations become

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{-i\theta_1}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_2}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{-i\theta_2}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_3}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_4}, \end{cases} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_2)} = 1, \\ e^{i(\theta_3\mp\theta_4)} = 1, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{-i\theta_1}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_3}. \end{cases} \tag{2.114}$$

The determinant associated to the matrix of coefficients, up to rows permutations, becomes:

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccc|cc} p_1-p_2 & q_1-q_2 & l_1-l_2 & 0 & 0 \\ p_3\mp p_4 & q_3\mp q_4 & l_3\mp l_4 & 0 & 0 \\ 2p_1 & 2q_1 & 2l_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline p_1 & q_1 & l_1 & -1 & -1 \\ p_3 & q_3 & l_3 & \pm 1 & \mp 1 \end{array}\right| = \pm 4\big(-\Delta_{123}\pm\Delta_{124}\big). \tag{2.115}$$

The moment map equations read

$$T^3_\Theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} p_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2)+p_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4)\mp p_3|w_5|^2\mp p_4|w_7|^2=0, \\ q_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2)+q_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4)\mp q_3|w_5|^2\mp q_4|w_7|^2=0, \\ l_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2)+l_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4)\mp l_3|w_5|^2\mp l_4|w_7|^2=0, \end{cases} \tag{2.116}$$

and

$$Sp(1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} |z_1|^2+|z_2|^2+|z_3|^2+|z_4|^2-2|w_5|^2-2|w_7|^2=0, \\ (z_1)^2+(z_2)^2+(z_3)^2+(z_4)^2=0, \end{cases} \tag{2.117}$$

besides, we have to consider the sphere equation

$$|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2+|z_3|^2+|z_4|^2+2|w_5|^2+2|w_7|^2=1. \tag{2.118}$$

When the quaternionic pairs $(w_5, \pm i w_5)$ and $(w_7, \pm i w_7)$ have the same sign, the equations (2.116) give

$$Im(z_1\overline{z}_2)=\pm\frac{\Delta_{234}}{\Delta_{123}}|w_7|^2, \quad Im(z_3\overline{z}_4)=\mp\frac{\Delta_{134}}{\Delta_{123}}|w_7|^2 \text{ and } |w_5|^2=-\frac{\Delta_{124}}{\Delta_{123}}|w_7|^2. \tag{2.119}$$

Moreover, the equations (2.117) and (2.118) yields

$$|w_5|^2+|w_7|^2=\frac{1}{4}. \tag{2.120}$$

By taking the equation (2.120) and the value of $|w_5|^2$ in (2.119), we get $|w_5|^2(\Delta_{124} -\Delta_{123})=\frac{1}{4}\Delta_{124}$. Thus when $|w_5|=|w_7|\neq 0$, we have that $-\Delta_{123}+\Delta_{124}\neq 0$ and the determinat (2.115) does not vanish. In this case $dim\left({}^{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34}\cap N(\Theta)\right)=7$. Since $\dim\tilde{G}=7$, we have that each quotient $\left({}^{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34}\cap N(\Theta)\right)/\tilde{G}$ on the twistor space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$ coincides with a point. The remaining spaces of this type can be treated similarly and the associated $\tilde{G}-$strata are just the following

$$1)\quad {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & \pm iw_5 & w_7 & \pm iw_7 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$2)\quad {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{13}_{24} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & z_5 & z_6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & \pm iw_3 & 0 & 0 & w_7 & \pm iw_7 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$3)\quad {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{14}_{23} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & \pm iw_3 & w_5 & \pm iw_5 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$4)\quad {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{24}_{13} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1 & \pm iw_1 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & \pm iw_5 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$5)\quad {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{34}_{12} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1 & \pm iw_1 & w_3 & \pm iw_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$6)\quad {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{24}_{13} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1 & \pm iw_1 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & \pm iw_5 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}. \tag{2.121}$$

The last case we have to consider, is that one when there is just a quaternionic pair which is either contained in ${}^{\pm}V_1^{\alpha}$ or ${}^{\pm}V_2^{\alpha}$. As a consequence the only possibilities are the following

$$(\underline{z},\underline{w}) \in V_4^1 \times V_4^2 \times {}^{\pm}V_4^3 \times {}^{\pm}V_2^4,$$

or

$$(\underline{z},\underline{w}) \in V_5^1 \times V_5^2 \times {}^{\pm}V_5^3 \times {}^{\pm}V_1^4. \tag{2.122}$$

Note that the two cases in (2.122), up to multiply on the left by j, concide, then all of the possible spaces of solutions are the following

$$1)\quad {}^{+}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$2)\quad {}^{-}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$3)\quad {}^{+}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{124}_{3} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & iw_5 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$4)\quad {}^{-}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{124}_{3} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & iz_1 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & -iw_5 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$5)\quad {}^{+}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{134}_{2} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & iw_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$6)\quad {}^{-}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{134}_{2} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & -iw_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$7)\quad {}^{+}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{234}_{1} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\},$$

$$8)\quad {}^{-}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{234}_{1} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}. \tag{2.123}$$

Note that ${}^{\pm}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{234}_{1}$ is equivalent to

$$\tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w_1 & \pm iw_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\} = \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & \pm iz_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & w_4 & w_5 & w_6 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array}\right)\right\}. \tag{2.124}$$

Now, consider the pair of strata ${}^{\pm}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4}$. Then, the fixed point equations relative to this stratum become

$$\begin{cases} e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_2)} = 1, \\ e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_3)} = 1, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{i\theta_1}, \\ \epsilon\rho = e^{-i\theta_1}, \\ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = e^{\pm i\theta_4}. \end{cases} \tag{2.125}$$

Then the determinant of the coefficient matrix, up to a sign, becomes

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccc|cc} p_1-p_2 & q_1-q_2 & l_1-l_2 & 0 & 0 \\ p_1-p_3 & q_1-q_3 & l_1-l_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 2p_1 & 2q_1 & 2l_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline p_1 & q_1 & l_1 & -1 & -1 \\ p_4 & q_4 & l_4 & \pm1 & \mp1 \end{array}\right| = \pm 4\Delta_{123}. \tag{2.126}$$

In the general case we have that the matrix of coefficients associated to the fixed point equations, up to a column permutation for the first quaternionic pair, become

$$\left|\begin{array}{ccc|cc} p_1-p_\alpha & q_1-q_\alpha & l_1-l_\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ p_1-p_\beta & q_1-q_\beta & l_1-l_\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 2p_1 & 2q_1 & 2l_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline p_1 & q_1 & l_1 & -1 & -1 \\ p_\gamma & q_\gamma & l_\gamma & \pm1 & \mp1 \end{array}\right| = \pm 4\Delta_{1\alpha\beta}, \tag{2.127}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{2,3,4\}$ and α, β, γ different to each other. Note that the isotropy for the strata listed in (2.123), up to move the first quaternionic coordinate, only depends on the minor determinants $\pm\Delta_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. Now, for the moment map equations relative to the case of ${}^{\pm}\overset{=}{S}{}^{123}_{4}$ we obtain

$$T^3_\Theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} p_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) + p_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4) + p_3 Im(z_5\overline{z}_6) - p_4|w_7|^2 = 0, \\ q_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) + q_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4) + q_3 Im(z_5\overline{z}_6) - q_4|w_7|^2 = 0, \\ l_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) + l_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4) + l_3 Im(z_5\overline{z}_6) - l_4|w_7|^2 = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.128}$$

and

$$Sp(1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} |z_1|^2+|z_2|^2+|z_3|^2+|z_4|^2+|z_5|^2+|z_6|^2-2|w_7|^2=0, \\ (z_1)^2+(z_2)^2+(z_3)^2+(z_4)^2+(z_5)^2+(z_6)^2=0, \end{cases} \tag{2.129}$$

and we have to consider the sphere equation

$$|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2+|z_3|^2+|z_4|^2+|z_5|^2+|z_6|^2+2|w_7|^2=1. \tag{2.130}$$

The equations in (2.128), (2.129) and (2.130) give $dim\left({}^{\pm}\overset{=}{S}{}_4^{123}\cap N(\Theta)\right)=9$, then in follows that $dim\left({}^{\pm}\overset{=}{S}{}_4^{123}\cap N(\Theta)/\tilde{G}\right)=2$, that is, on the twistor level we have exactly a 2−sphere S^2. The other cases in (2.123) can be treated in the same way. □

Notice that, the singular strata (relative to the action of $\tilde{G}$ on $\mathbb{H}^8$) whose points have no quaternionic pair is contained in ${}^{\pm}V_1^{\alpha}$ or ${}^{\pm}V_2^{\alpha}$, up to row permutations, are the following

$$\begin{aligned}
&1) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}_4^{123} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
&2) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}_3^{124} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & w_6 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
&3) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}_2^{134} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & z_3 & z_4 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & w_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
&4) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}_{34}^{12} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_5 & w_6 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array}\right)\right\}. \\
&5) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}_{24}^{13} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & z_5 & z_6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & w_4 & 0 & 0 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
&6) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}_{23}^{14} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_7 & z_8 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & w_4 & w_5 & w_6 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}, \\
&7) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}_{234}^{1} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & w_3 & w_4 & w_5 & w_6 & w_7 & w_8 \end{array}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.131}$$

Then we have the following result

Theorem 2.3 *The strata* $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{\delta}$ *and* $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta}$ *intersect the submanifold* $N(\Theta)$ *and their intersections are such that*

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1) & \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{\delta} \cap N(\Theta) \ \textit{is formed by the two connected components} \ {}^{+}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{\delta} \cap N(\Theta) \text{ and } {}^{-}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}_{\delta} \cap N(\Theta), \\ 2) & \overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta} \cap N(\Theta) \ \textit{is formed by one connected components.} \end{array} \tag{2.132}$$

Moreover, each of the strata $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma\delta}$ *contains at most four substrata of those listed in (2.121).*

Proof. The cases 1), 2), 3) and 7) in (2.131) are similar, then it is sufficient to study the first one. Analogously, in order to study the cases 4), 5) and 6) it is enought to consider $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34}$. The moment map equations on the stratum $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4}$ become

$$T^3_\Theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} p_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) + p_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4) + p_3 Im(z_5\overline{z}_6) + p_4 Im(w_7\overline{w}_8) = 0, \\ q_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) + q_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4) + q_3 Im(z_5\overline{z}_6) + q_4 Im(w_7\overline{w}_8) = 0, \\ l_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) + l_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4) + l_3 Im(z_5\overline{z}_6) + l_4 Im(w_7\overline{w}_8) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.133}$$

and

$$Sp(1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 + |z_5|^2 + |z_6|^2 - |w_7|^2 - |w_8|^2 = 0, \\ (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + (z_3)^2 + (z_4)^2 + (z_5)^2 + (z_6)^2 = 0, \\ (w_7)^2 + (w_8)^2 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.134}$$

In order to describe the intersection $\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4} \cap N(\Theta)$, as usual we have to consider also the sphere equation $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{6} |z_\alpha|^2 + |w_7|^2 + |w_8|^2 = 1$. By using these equations, we get

$$\begin{cases} |w_7|^2 + |w_8|^2 = \frac{1}{2}, \\ (w_7)^2 + (w_8)^2 = 0, \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} w_8 = \pm i w_7, \\ |w_7|^2 = \frac{1}{4}. \end{cases} \tag{2.135}$$

Then, we have that ${}^{\pm}\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4} \supset \overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4} = 18$, from the moment map equations it follows that $dim\, \overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4} = 18$, and $dim\left(\left(\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4} \cap N(\Theta)\right)/\tilde{G}\right) = 2 = dim\left(\left({}^{\pm}\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4} \cap N(\Theta)\right)/\tilde{G}\right)$. Then, $\left({}^{\pm}\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4} \cap N(\Theta)\right)/\tilde{G} \cong \left(\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{123}_{4} \cap N(\Theta)\right)/\tilde{G}$ is isomorphic to a 2−sphere S^2. Now, consider the moment map equations on $\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34}$, it follows that:

$$T^3_\Theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} p_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) + p_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4) + p_3 Im(w_5\overline{w}_6) + p_4 Im(w_7\overline{w}_8) = 0, \\ q_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) + q_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4) + q_3 Im(z_5\overline{z}_6) + q_4 Im(w_7\overline{w}_8) = 0, \\ l_1 Im(z_1\overline{z}_2) + l_2 Im(z_3\overline{z}_4) + l_3 Im(z_5\overline{z}_6) + l_4 Im(w_7\overline{w}_8) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.136}$$

and

$$Sp(1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 - |w_5|^2 - |w_6|^2 - |w_7|^2 - |w_8|^2 = 0, \\ (z_1)^2 + (z_2)^2 + (z_3)^2 + (z_4)^2 = 0, \\ (w_5)^2 + (w_6)^2 + (w_7)^2 + (w_8)^2 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.137}$$

Then it follows that $dim\left(\left(\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34} \cap N(\Theta)\right)/\tilde{G}\right) = 2$ and $\left(\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34} \cap N(\Theta)\right)/\tilde{G} \cong S^2$. Moreover, each of the strata 4), 5) and 6) in (2.131) contains four substrata of those in (2.121). In fact, by looking at the shape of these two families of strata we get the following relations

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1) & \overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34} \text{ contains } {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{12}_{34} \text{ and } {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{34}_{12}, \\ 2) & \overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{13}_{24} \text{ contains } {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{13}_{24} \text{ and } {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{13}_{24}, \\ 3) & \overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{14}_{23} \text{ contains } {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{14}_{23} \text{ and } {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\overset{=}{\overline{S}}{}^{14}_{23}. \end{array} \tag{2.138}$$

Each of the strata in (2.121) yields a point on $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$, and the relations in (2.138) give that these points can be divided in three family and the points of each family are joined by a 2−sphere. □

Lemma 2.6 *The two families of singular points (2.68) and (2.131) do not intesect each other.*

Proof. Note that, up to columns permutations, the strata 1), 2), 3) and 7) in (2.131) (by using the action on the group $\tilde{G}$) can be rewritten as follows

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} v_1\epsilon & v_2\epsilon & v_3\epsilon & v_4\epsilon & v_5\epsilon & v_6\epsilon & -v_7\overline{\sigma} & -v_8\overline{\sigma} \\ v_1\sigma & v_2\sigma & v_3\sigma & v_4\sigma & v_5\sigma & v_6\sigma & v_7\overline{\epsilon} & v_8\overline{\epsilon} \end{array} \right) \right\}, \tag{2.139}$$

where $v_{2\alpha-1} = \big(cos\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1} + sin\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha}\big)\rho$, $v_{2\alpha} = \big(-sin\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1} + cos\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha}\big)\rho$, $\alpha \in \{1,2,3\}$ and $v_7 = \big(cos\,\theta_4 w_7 + sin\,\theta_4 w_8\big)\rho$, $v_8 = \big(-sin\,\theta_4 w_7 + cos\,\theta_4 w_8\big)$. Instead, the cases 4), 5) and 6) in (2.131), up to columns permutations, become

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} v_1\epsilon & v_2\epsilon & v_3\epsilon & v_4\epsilon & -v_5\overline{\sigma} & -v_6\overline{\sigma} & -v_7\overline{\sigma} & -v_8\overline{\sigma} \\ v_1\sigma & v_2\sigma & v_3\sigma & v_4\sigma & v_5\overline{\epsilon} & v_6\overline{\epsilon} & v_7\overline{\epsilon} & v_8\overline{\epsilon} \end{array} \right) \right\}, \tag{2.140}$$

where $v_{2\alpha-1} = \big(cos\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1} + sin\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha}\big)\rho$, $v_{2\alpha} = \big(-sin\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha-1} + cos\,\theta_\alpha z_{2\alpha}\big)\rho$, $\alpha \in \{1,2\}$ and $v_{2\beta-1} = \big(cos\,\theta_\beta w_{2\beta-1} + sin\,\theta_\beta w_{2\beta}\big)\rho$, $v_{2\beta} = \big(-sin\,\theta_\beta w_{2\beta} + cos\,\theta_\beta w_{2\beta}\big)$, $\beta \in \{3,4\}$. In order to study the intersection of the strata in (2.123) and (2.131) with those one in (2.68), it is sufficient to compare the respective quaternionic pairs. Without loss of generality, we can compare just the first quaternionic pair. We list all of the possible cases: all of the possible cases

$$1)\ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & iz_1 \\ w_1 & iw_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1\epsilon & v_2\epsilon \\ v_1\sigma & v_2\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \qquad 2)\ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -iz_1 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1\epsilon & v_2\epsilon \\ v_1\sigma & v_2\sigma \end{pmatrix},$$

$$3)\ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & iz_1 \\ w_1 & iw_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -v_1\overline{\sigma} & -v_2\overline{\sigma} \\ v_1\overline{\epsilon} & v_2\overline{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 4)\ \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -iz_1 \\ w_1 & -iw_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -v_1\overline{\sigma} & -v_2\overline{\sigma} \\ v_1\overline{\epsilon} & v_2\overline{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.141}$$

where $v_1 = (cos\,\theta_1 z_1' + sin\,\theta_1 z_2')\rho$ and $v_2 = (-sin\,\theta_1 z_1' + cos\,\theta_1 z_2')\rho$. Let us rewrite the equation 1) in (2.141) as follows

$$\begin{cases} z_1 = (cos\,\theta_1 z_1' + sin\,\theta_1 z_2')\epsilon\rho, \\ iz_1 = (-sin\,\theta_1 z_1' + cos\,\theta_1 z_2')\epsilon\rho, \\ w_1 = (cos\,\theta_1 z_1' + sin\,\theta_1 z_2')\sigma\rho, \\ iw_1 = (-sin\,\theta_1 z_1' + cos\,\theta_1 z_2')\sigma\rho, \end{cases} \tag{2.142}$$

then we have

$$\begin{cases} (cos\,\theta_1 z_1' + sin\,\theta_1 z_2')\epsilon\rho = i(sin\,\theta_1 z_1' - cos\,\theta_1 z_2')\epsilon\rho, \\ (cos\,\theta_1 z_1' + sin\,\theta_1 z_2')\sigma\rho = i(sin\,\theta_1 z_1' - cos\,\theta_1 z_2')\sigma\rho, \end{cases} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad z_1' = z_2' = 0. \tag{2.143}$$

but that is clearly impossible. The remaing cases in (2.141) can be treated similarly.□

2.2 The 3−Sasakian Orbifolds $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$

Now, we are going to study the singular locus on the 3−Sasakian orbifold $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ introduced in the first chapter. The fixed point equations can be obtained from those one in (2.19) by assuming $\rho = 1$, then we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} cos\,\theta_\alpha & sin\,\theta_\alpha \\ -sin\,\theta_\alpha & cos\,\theta_\alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha-1} & w_{2\alpha-1} \\ z_{2\alpha} & w_{2\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & -\overline{\sigma} \\ \sigma & \overline{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha-1} & z_{2\alpha} \\ w_{2\alpha-1} & w_{2\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \right]^T \tag{2.144}$$

where $\lambda = \epsilon + j\sigma \in Sp(1)$, $(z_\alpha, w_\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. These equations can be easily rewritten as:

$$\overbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & -\sigma & -sin\,\theta_\alpha & cos\,\theta_\alpha - \overline{\epsilon} \\ -\sigma & 0 & cos\,\theta_\alpha - \overline{\epsilon} & sin\,\theta_\alpha \\ \hline -sin\,\theta_\alpha & cos\,\theta_\alpha - \epsilon & 0 & \overline{\sigma} \\ cos\,\theta_\alpha - \epsilon & sin\,\theta_\alpha & \overline{\sigma} & 0 \end{array}\right)}^{\tilde{M}_\alpha :=} \begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha-1} \\ z_{2\alpha} \\ w_{2\alpha-1} \\ w_{2\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.145}$$

where $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then we have the followig results

Lemma 2.7 *For each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the matrix $\tilde{M}_\alpha$ in (2.145) is such that $det\,\tilde{M}_\alpha = 0$ if and only if it holds either*

$$e^{i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2} \text{ or } e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}. \qquad (2.146)$$

Lemma 2.7 can be proved like lemma 2.1. Moreover, the fixed point equations in (1.19) give that the action of G on $N(\Theta)$ is locally free. Notice that, if exactly one of the identities in (2.146) is verified, then $rank\,\tilde{M}_\alpha = 3$. Instead, when there exists $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that both the identities in (2.146) hold, then we have that $\tilde{M}_\alpha = 0$ for each α and this case corresponds to the non-effective subgroup. Then, the only interesting cases are realized when exactly one of the equations in (2.146) is verified and $rank\,\tilde{M}_\alpha = 3$.

In this case, in order to describe the singular strata $\bar{S}$ for the $G-$action on $N(\Theta)$, we use a different approach with respect to the case of $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Theta)$. In fact, we compute directly the solutions associatetd to the eigenvalue problem in (2.145), and then we describe the singular strata. We state now a proposition, it will be proved after corollary 2.5.

Proposition 2.8 *The solutions $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ of the eigenvalue problem associated to the equations (2.145) as quaternionic pairs have either*

$$\begin{aligned}
&1) \quad \text{if } \ e^{i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im^2(\epsilon) + |\sigma|^2}, \quad \text{then we have : } \ \tilde{V}_1^\alpha := \{z_{2\alpha-1}, z_{2\alpha} \in \mathbb{C} \,| \\
&\quad (z_{2\alpha-1}, z_{2\alpha}, \frac{e^{i\delta}\big(-z_{2\alpha} + iz_{2\alpha-1}\cos\varphi\big)}{sin\,\varphi}, \frac{e^{i\delta}\big(z_{2\alpha-1} + iz_{2\alpha}\cos\varphi\big)}{sin\,\varphi}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\}, \\
&\text{or} \\
&2) \quad \text{if } \ e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im^2(\epsilon) + |\sigma|^2}, \quad \text{then we have : } \ \tilde{V}_2^\alpha := \{z_{2\alpha-1}, z_{2\alpha} \in \mathbb{C} \,| \\
&\quad (z_{2\alpha-1}, z_{2\alpha}, -\frac{e^{i\delta}\big(z_{2\alpha} + iz_{2\alpha-1}\cos\varphi\big)}{sin\,\varphi}, \frac{e^{i\delta}\big(z_{2\alpha-1} - iz_{2\alpha}\cos\varphi\big)}{sin\,\varphi}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\},
\end{aligned} \qquad (2.147)$$

where $\epsilon = cos\,\theta + i(sin\,\theta cos\,\varphi)$, $\sigma = sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi cos\,\delta + i(sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi sin\,\delta) = sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}$ and $sin\,\varphi \neq 0$. Moreover, we have that $\tilde{V}_1^\alpha$ and $\tilde{V}_2^\alpha$ are $G-invariant$.

In the following, by assuming $sin\,\varphi \neq 0$, we denote as

$$(w'_{2\alpha-1}, w'_{2\alpha}) := \frac{e^{i\delta}}{sin\,\varphi}\big(-z_{2\alpha} + iz_{2\alpha-1}cos\,\varphi,\ z_{2\alpha-1} + iz_{2\alpha}\cos\,\varphi\big),$$

and

$$(w''_{2\alpha-1}, w''_{2\alpha}) =: \frac{e^{i\delta}}{sin\,\varphi}\big(-z_{2\alpha} - iz_{2\alpha-1}\cos\,\varphi,\ z_{2\alpha-1} - iz_{2\alpha}\cos\,\varphi\big). \tag{2.148}$$

Then we have

Corollary 2.5 *Consider the action of* G *on* $\mathbb{H}^8$*. Under the hypotheses of lemma* 2.8 *and proposition* 2.7 *and, up to change* (z_1, z_2, w'_1, w'_2) *with* (z_1, z_2, w''_1, w''_2)*, the singularities relative to* $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$ *come from the intersection of* $N(\Theta)$ *with the following strata relative to the action of* G *on* $\mathbb{H}^8$

1) $\overline{S}^{1234} := \left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w'_3 & w'_4 & w'_5 & w'_6 & w'_7 & w'_8 \end{array}\right)\right\},$

2) $\overline{S}^{123}_{4} := \left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w'_3 & w'_4 & w'_5 & w'_6 & w''_7 & w''_8 \end{array}\right)\right\},$

3) $\overline{S}^{124}_{3} := \left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w'_3 & w'_4 & w''_5 & w''_6 & w'_7 & w'_8 \end{array}\right)\right\},$

4) $\overline{S}^{134}_{2} := \left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w''_3 & w''_4 & w'_5 & w'_6 & w'_7 & w'_8 \end{array}\right)\right\},$

5) $\overline{S}^{12}_{34} := \left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w'_3 & w'_4 & w''_5 & w''_6 & w''_7 & w''_8 \end{array}\right)\right\},$

6) $\overline{S}^{13}_{24} := \left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w''_3 & w''_4 & w'_5 & w'_6 & w''_7 & w''_8 \end{array}\right)\right\},$

7) $\overline{S}^{14}_{23} := \left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w''_3 & w''_4 & w''_5 & w''_6 & w'_7 & w'_8 \end{array}\right)\right\},$

$$8) \qquad \overline{S}^1_{234} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 & z_8 \\ w'_1 & w'_2 & w''_3 & w''_4 & w''_5 & w''_6 & w''_7 & w''_8 \end{array} \right) \right\}. \tag{2.149}$$

The proof of this corollary is a straightforward consequence of lemma 2.7 and proposition 2.8.

Proof(*proposition* 2.8). Assume $sin\,\varphi \neq 0.$ and consider the fixed point equations in (2.144). Then, by using lemma 2.7, it follows that $det\,\tilde{M}_\alpha = 0$ if and only if it either holds $e^{i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}$ or $e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}$. Note that, when $sin\,\theta_\alpha = 0$, it follows that the fixed point equations (2.144) decsribe the non effective subgroup. Now, suppose the first relation in (2.146) holds, then we have that

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon = cos\,\theta + isin\,\theta cos\,\varphi, \\ e^{i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2} = cos\,\theta + isin\,\theta, \end{cases} \tag{2.150}$$

hence $\epsilon = cos\,\theta_\alpha + isin\,\theta_\alpha cos\,\varphi$, and by substituting this relation in $\tilde{M}_\alpha$ we obtain

$$\tilde{M}_\alpha = sin\,\theta_\alpha \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & -sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta} & -1 & icos\,\varphi \\ -sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta} & 0 & icos\,\varphi & 1 \\ \hline -1 & -icos\,\varphi & 0 & sin\,\varphi e^{-i\delta} \\ -icos\,\varphi & 1 & sin\,\varphi e^{-i\delta} & 0 \end{array} \right), \tag{2.151}$$

where $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. As a consequence the associated eigenvalue problem becomes

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & -sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta} & -1 & icos\,\varphi \\ -sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta} & 0 & icos\,\varphi & 1 \\ \hline -1 & -icos\,\varphi & 0 & sin\,\varphi e^{-i\delta} \\ -icos\,\varphi & 1 & sin\,\varphi e^{-i\delta} & 0 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha-1} \\ z_{2\alpha} \\ w_{2\alpha-1} \\ w_{2\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.152}$$

From the first two equations in (2.152) we get

$$\begin{cases} -sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta} z_{2\alpha} - w_{2\alpha-1} + i\cos\,\varphi w_{2\alpha} = 0, \\ -sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta} z_{2\alpha-1} + i\cos\,\varphi w_{2\alpha-1} + w_{2\alpha} = 0, \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} w_{2\alpha-1} = \frac{e^{i\delta} sin\,\varphi \left(-z_{2\alpha} + iz_{2\alpha-1}\cos\,\varphi \right)}{1-\cos^2\,\varphi}, \\ w_{2\alpha} = \frac{e^{i\delta} sin\,\varphi \left(z_{2\alpha-1} + iz_{2\alpha}\cos\,\varphi \right)}{1-\cos^2\,\varphi}. \end{cases} \tag{2.153}$$

Instead, when it holds $e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}$, it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon = cos\,\theta + isin\,\theta cos\,\varphi, \\ e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = cos\,\theta + isin\,\theta, \end{cases} \tag{2.154}$$

hence $cos\,\theta + isin\,\theta = cos(-\theta_\alpha) + isin(-\theta_\alpha)$ and $\epsilon = cos\,\theta_\alpha - isin\,\theta_\alpha cos\,\varphi$. By substituting the relations (2.154) in the equations (2.145) we get

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & -sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta} & -1 & -i\cos\,\varphi \\ -sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta} & 0 & -i\cos\,\varphi & 1 \\ \hline -1 & i\cos\,\varphi & 0 & sin\,\varphi e^{-i\delta} \\ i\cos\,\varphi & 1 & sin\,\varphi e^{-i\delta} & 0 \end{array}\right) \begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha-1} \\ z_{2\alpha} \\ w_{2\alpha-1} \\ w_{2\alpha} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.155}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta} z_{2\alpha} - w_{2\alpha-1} - i\cos\,\varphi w_{2\alpha} = 0, \\ -sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta} z_{2\alpha-1} - i\cos\,\varphi w_{2\alpha-1} + w_{2\alpha} = 0, \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} w_{2\alpha-1} = -\frac{e^{i\delta} sin\,\varphi\left(z_{2\alpha} + iz_{2\alpha-1}\cos\,\varphi\right)}{1-\cos^2\,\varphi}, \\ w_{2\alpha} = \frac{e^{i\delta} sin\,\varphi\left(z_{2\alpha-1} - iz_{2\alpha}\cos\,\varphi\right)}{1-\cos^2\,\varphi}). \end{cases} \tag{2.156}$$

In the case when $\sigma \equiv 0$, that is $sin\,\varphi = 0$, the solutions $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ of the eigenvalue problem (2.145) have quaternionic pair of type $(z_{2\alpha-1}, \pm iz_{2\alpha-1}, w_{2\alpha-1}, \mp iw_{2\alpha-1})$ like those described in (2.69). Then by using proposition 2.6 we can easil exclude these points. As a consequence, we obtain exactly the strata described in (2.149). Moreover, if we consider the fixed point equations in (1.21), (1.22) and (1.24) it follows that

$$Im(\lambda) = sin\,\theta_1 \frac{u_2\overline{u}_1 - u_1\overline{u}_2}{(|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2)} = sin\,\theta_\alpha \frac{u_{2\alpha}\overline{u}_{2\alpha-1} - u_{2\alpha-1}\overline{u}_{2\alpha}}{|u_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |u_{2\alpha}|^2}, \;\; \alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \tag{2.157}$$

and the fixed point equations become

$$\begin{cases} e^{i(\theta_1 \pm \theta_2)} = 1, \\ e^{i(\theta_1 \pm \theta_3)} = 1, \\ e^{i(\theta_1 \pm \theta_4)} = 1, \\ e^{\pm i\theta_1} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}, \\ iIm(\epsilon) + j\sigma = sin\,\theta_1 \frac{u_2\overline{u}_1 - u_1\overline{u}_2}{(|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2)}. \end{cases} \tag{2.158}$$

According to the description of the locally free action of G on $N(\Theta)$, given in the first chapter, the isotropy subgroup described by the system of equations in (2.158) only depends on one of the determinants ${}^{1\pm 2}\square^{1\pm 3}_{1\pm 4}$, which is associated to the subsystem of the first three equations in (2.158). $\square$

2.2.1 Some computations on the singular $G-$strata of $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$

Now, we want to study the intersection of $N(\Theta)$ with the $G-$strata described in (2.149), then we will compute the moment map equations on these strata. In order to do this, look at the moment map equations of the $Sp(1)$ and T^3_Θ actions.

Proposition 2.9 *Let us consider the $G-$strata $\overline{S}$ of $\mathbb{H}^8$ listed in (2.149). Then, each of the singular $G-$strata $\widetilde{S} = \overline{S} \cap N(\Theta) \subset N(\Theta)$ gives rise a singular point on the* $3-$*Sasakian orbifold $M^7(\Theta)$.*

Proof. First, we describe the case of $\overline{S}^{123}_4$, then the cases $\overline{S}^{124}_3$, $\overline{S}^{134}_2$ and $\overline{S}^{1}_{234}$ can be treated analogously. Recall the moment map equations relative the $3-$torus are:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 d_\alpha Im(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z_{2\alpha}} + w_{2\alpha-1}\overline{w_{2i}}) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 d_\alpha (z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha} - z_{2\alpha}w_{2\alpha-1}) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.159}$$

where d_α, $\alpha \in \{1,2,3,4\}$ are the weights. We are going to compute these equations on the stratum $\overline{S}^{123}_4$. Then, by considering the quaternionic pair in the case 1) of (2.147) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha} + w_{2\alpha-1}\overline{w}_{2\alpha} =& \frac{1}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big((1 - cos^2\varphi)z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha} + \\ & (-z_{2\alpha} + iz_{2\alpha-1}\cos\varphi)(\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1} - i\overline{z}_{2\alpha}\cos\varphi)\big) \\ = & \frac{1}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big((z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha} - \overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}) + i(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |z_{2\alpha}|^2)cos\,\varphi\big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.160}$$

Instead, for the quaternionic pair in the case 2) of (2.147) we get

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha} + w_{2\alpha-1}\overline{w}_{2\alpha} =& \frac{1}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big((1-\cos^2\varphi) z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha} - \\ & (z_{2\alpha} + i z_{2\alpha-1}\cos\varphi)(\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1} + i\overline{z}_{2\alpha}\cos\varphi)\big) \\ =& \frac{1}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big((z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha} - \overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}) - i(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |z_{2\alpha}|^2) cos\,\varphi\big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.161}$$

Then, we compute $z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha} - z_{2\alpha}w_{2\alpha-1}$. Consider the quaternionic pair in the case 1) of (2.147)

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha} - z_{2\alpha}w_{2\alpha-1} =& \frac{sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big(z_{2\alpha-1}(z_{2\alpha-1} + i z_{2\alpha} cos\,\varphi) - \\ & z_{2\alpha}(-z_{2\alpha} + i z_{2\alpha-1} cos\,\varphi)\big) = \frac{sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2 + (z_{2\alpha})^2\big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.162}$$

In the case 2) of (2.147) we get

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha} - z_{2\alpha}w_{2\alpha-1} =& \frac{sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big(z_{2\alpha-1}(z_{2\alpha-1} - i z_{2\alpha} cos\,\varphi) + \\ & z_{2\alpha}(z_{2\alpha} + i z_{2\alpha-1} cos\,\varphi)\big) = \frac{sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2 + (z_{2\alpha})^2\big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.163}$$

Now, we are ready to write down the moment map relative to the 3-torus T^3_Θ in the case of $\overline{S}^{123}_4$. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 d_\alpha Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1}) + cos\,\varphi\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 d_\alpha(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |z_{2\alpha}|^2) - d_4(|z_7|^2 + |z_8|^2)\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 d_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2 + (z_{2\alpha})^2\big) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.164}$$

Similarly we can compute the moment map equations linked to the subgroup $Sp(1) \subset G$. Note that

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \overline{(z_\alpha + jw_\alpha)} i (z_\alpha + jw_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 i\big(|z_\alpha|^2 - |w_\alpha|^2\big) + 2k z_\alpha w_\alpha = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \overline{(z_\alpha + jw_\alpha)} j (z_\alpha + jw_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 j\big((z_\alpha)^2 + (w_\alpha)^2\big) + \big(\overline{w}_\alpha z_\alpha - \overline{z}_\alpha w_\alpha\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 \overline{(z_\alpha + jw_\alpha)} k (z_\alpha + jw_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 k\big((z_\alpha)^2 - (w_\alpha)^2\big)\big) - i\big(\overline{w}_\alpha z_\alpha + \overline{z}_\alpha w_\alpha\big) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.165}$$

We rewrite now, the first equation in (2.165). From the quaternionic pair described at the point 1) of (2.147) we get

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1}+z_{2\alpha}w_{2\alpha} =&\frac{sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big(z_{2\alpha-1}(-z_{2\alpha}+iz_{2\alpha-1}cos\,\varphi)+\\ &z_{2\alpha}(z_{2\alpha-1}+iz_{2\alpha}cos\,\varphi)\big)=i\frac{\sin\,\varphi cos\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.166}$$

Analogously, for the case 2) of (2.147) it holds

$$\begin{aligned} z_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1}+z_{2\alpha}w_{2\alpha} =&\frac{sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big(z_{2\alpha-1}(-z_{2\alpha}-iz_{2\alpha-1}cos\,\varphi)+\\ &z_{2\alpha}(z_{2\alpha-1}-iz_{2\alpha}cos\,\varphi)\big)=-i\frac{\sin\,\varphi cos\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-cos^2\varphi}\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.167}$$

By reading $|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2-|w_{2\alpha-1}|^2$ on the quaternionic pair in the case 1) of (2.147) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |z_{2\alpha-1}|^2-|w_{2\alpha-1}|^2=\frac{1}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big(&|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2-|z_{2\alpha}|^2-2|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2cos^2\,\varphi+\\ &i(\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}-z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha})cos\,\varphi\big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.168}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |z_{2\alpha}|^2-|w_{2\alpha}|^2=\frac{1}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big(&|z_{2\alpha}|^2-|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2-2|z_{2\alpha}|^2cos^2\,\varphi+\\ &i(\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}-z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha})cos\,\varphi\big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.169}$$

By adding (2.168) and (2.170) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2-|w_{2\alpha-1}|^2\big)+\big(|z_{2\alpha}|^2-|w_{2\alpha}|^2\big)=\\ &\frac{2icos\,\varphi}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big(i\big(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2\big)cos\,\varphi+\big(\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}-z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha}\big)\big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.170}$$

The case 2) of (2.147) can be obtained in the same way, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\big(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2-|w_{2\alpha-1}|^2\big)+\big(|z_{2\alpha}|^2-|w_{2\alpha}|^2\big)=\\ &\frac{2icos\,\varphi}{1-\cos^2\varphi}\big(i\big(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2\big)cos\,\varphi-\big(\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}-z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha}\big)\big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.171}$$

Then, the first equation in (2.165) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{cases} cos\,\varphi \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4}(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)+2\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}Im(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha})-Im(z_7\overline{z}_8)\big)=0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)\big)-\big((z_7)^2+(z_8)^2\big)=0. \end{cases} \tag{2.172}$$

For the second equation in (2.165), with respect to the quaternionic pairs in the case 1) of (2.147), we have that

$$\begin{split}(z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(w_{2\alpha-1})^2=&\frac{1}{1-cos^2\varphi}\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2(1-\cos^2\ \varphi)+\\ &e^{2i\delta}\big((z_{2\alpha})^2-2iz_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}cos\,\varphi-(z_{2\alpha-1})^2cos^2\,\varphi\big)\big),\end{split} \tag{2.173}$$

and

$$\begin{split}(z_{2\alpha})^2+(w_{2\alpha})^2=&\frac{1}{1-cos^2\varphi}\big((z_{2\alpha})^2(1-\cos^2\ \varphi)+\\ &e^{2i\delta}\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+2iz_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}cos\,\varphi-(z_{2\alpha})^2cos^2\varphi\big)\big),\end{split} \tag{2.174}$$

then it follows

$$(z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(w_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2+(w_{2\alpha})^2=\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)(1+e^{2i\delta}). \tag{2.175}$$

The case linked to the quaternionic pair at the point 2) in (2.147), is exactly equal. Then, also in this case it holds

$$(z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(w_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2+(w_{2\alpha})^2=\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)(1+e^{2i\delta}). \tag{2.176}$$

Then, consider $\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1}-z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{w}_{2\alpha-1}$, in the case of the quaternionic pair at 1) of (2.147) we have

$$\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1}-z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{w}_{2\alpha-1}=\frac{sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-cos^2\varphi}\big(2i|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2cos\,\varphi+\big(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha}-\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}\big)\big), \tag{2.177}$$

and

$$\overline{z}_{2\alpha}w_{2\alpha}-z_{2\alpha}\overline{w}_{2\alpha}=\frac{sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-cos^2\varphi}\big(2i|z_{2\alpha}|^2cos\,\varphi+\big(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha}-\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}\big)\big), \tag{2.178}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}&(\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1}-z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{w}_{2\alpha-1})+(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}w_{2\alpha}-z_{2\alpha}\overline{w}_{2\alpha})=\\ &\qquad\frac{2sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-cos^2\varphi}\Big(i\big(|z_{2\alpha}|^2+|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2\big)cos\,\varphi+\big(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha}-\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}\big)\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{2.179}$$

Instead, in the case of the quaternionic pair at 2) of (2.147) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}w_{2\alpha-1}-z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{w}_{2\alpha-1})+(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}w_{2\alpha}-z_{2\alpha}\overline{w}_{2\alpha})=\\ &\qquad\frac{2sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}}{1-cos^2\varphi}\Big(-i\big(|z_{2\alpha}|^2+|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2\big)cos\,\varphi+\big(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha}-\overline{z}_{2\alpha-1}z_{2\alpha}\big)\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{2.180}$$

Thus the second equation in (2.165) can be rewritten as follows

$$\begin{cases}2\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1})+cos\,\varphi\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^3(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)-(|z_7|^2+|z_8|^2)\big)=0,\\ (1+e^{2i\delta})\sum_{\alpha=1}^4\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)\big)=0.\end{cases}\tag{2.181}$$

Similarly we can describe the last equation in (2.165), so we obtain

$$\begin{cases}\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1})+cos\,\varphi\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^3(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)-(|z_7|^2+|z_8|^2)\big)=0,\\ (1-e^{2i\delta})\sum_{\alpha=1}^4\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)=0.\end{cases}\tag{2.182}$$

Then the equations (2.172), (2.181) and (2.182) can be rewritten as follows

$$Sp(1)\ \Rightarrow\ \begin{cases}2\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1})+cos\,\varphi\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^3(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)\big)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)\big)=0,\\ (z_7)^2+(z_8)^2=0,\\ cos\,\varphi=\frac{Im(\overline{z}_8z_7)}{|z_7|^2+|z_8|^2}.\end{cases}\tag{2.183}$$

As a consequence, the moment map equations of the 3−torus T^3_Θ in (2.159) become

$$T^3_\Theta\ \Rightarrow\ \begin{cases}\sum_{\alpha=1}^3 p_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1})+(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)cos\,\varphi\big)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 q_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1})+(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)cos\,\varphi\big)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 l_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1})+(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)cos\,\varphi\big)=0,\\ Im(z_7\overline{z}_8)-(|z_7|^2+|z_8|^2)cos\,\varphi=0,\end{cases}\tag{2.184}$$

and

$$T^3_\Theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 p_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)=0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 q_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)=0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 l_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)=0, \\ (z_7)^2+(z_8)^2=0. \end{cases} \tag{2.185}$$

For each of the two system of equations in (2.184) and (2.185) consider the subsystem formed respectively by the first three equations. These two subsystem of equations have the same matrix of coefficients, hence they also have the same determinant which is $\Delta_{123}\neq 0$. Then it holds

$$2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1})+(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)cos\,\varphi=0, \quad \alpha\in\{1,2,3\}, \tag{2.186}$$

that is

$$cos\,\varphi=-\frac{2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1})}{|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2}, \quad \alpha\in\{1,2,3\},$$

and

$$(z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2=0, \quad \alpha\in\{1,2,3,4\}. \tag{2.187}$$

Note that the moment map equations of $Sp(1)$ in (2.183) can be obtained from those one in (2.184) and (2.185) relative to T^3_Θ. In order to describe the intersection of $\overline{S}_4^{123}$ with $N(\Theta)$ we have also to consider the sphere equation of S^{31}. In particular it follows that $dim\,\big((\overline{S}_4^{123}\cap N(\Theta))/G\big)=0$, that is, the stratum $\overline{S}_4^{123}$ yields one singular point on the orbifolds $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$. For the moment map equations relative to cases $\overline{S}_{34}^{12}$, $\overline{S}_{24}^{13}$ and $\overline{S}_{23}^{14}$ it sufficient to study one of them. Consider $\overline{S}_{34}^{12}$, then by using the computations we have done in the previuos case, then for $Sp(1)$ we get

$$\begin{cases} 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^4 Im(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha}) + cos\,\varphi\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^2(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2) - \sum_{\alpha=3}^4(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)\big)=0,\\ cos\,\varphi\sum_{\alpha=1}^4(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2) + 2\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^2 Im(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha}) - \sum_{\alpha=3}^4 Im(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha})\big)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^2\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2)\big) - \sum_{\alpha=3}^4\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2)\big)=0.\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2)\big)=0,\end{cases}$$

if and only if

$$Sp(1)\ \Rightarrow\ \begin{cases} 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^2 Im(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha}) + cos\,\varphi\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^2(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)\big)=0,\\ 2\sum_{\alpha=3}^4 Im(z_{2\alpha-1}\overline{z}_{2\alpha}) - cos\,\varphi\big(\sum_{\alpha=3}^4(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)\big)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^2\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2)\big)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=3}^4\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2)\big)=0.\end{cases} \tag{2.188}$$

The moment map equations of the $3-$torus T^3_Θ in (2.159) become

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 p_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1}) + \big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^2(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2) - \sum_{\alpha=3}^4(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)\big)cos\,\varphi\big)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 q_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1}) + \big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^2(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2) - \sum_{\alpha=3}^4(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)\big)cos\,\varphi\big)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^4 l_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1}) + \big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^2(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2) - \sum_{\alpha=3}^4(|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)\big)cos\,\varphi\big)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 p_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 q_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)=0,\\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 l_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2\big)=0.\end{cases} \tag{2.189}$$

Then, it follows that

$$2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1}) + (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2)cos\,\varphi=0,$$

and

$$2Im(z_{2\beta-1}\overline{z}_{2\beta}) - (|z_{2\beta-1}|^2+|z_{2\beta}|^2)cos\,\varphi=0, \tag{2.190}$$

that is

$$cos\,\varphi=-\frac{2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha-1})}{|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha}|^2}\quad\text{and}\quad cos\,\varphi=\frac{2Im(z_{2\beta-1}\overline{z}_{2\beta})}{|z_{2\beta-1}|^2+|z_{2\beta}|^2}, \tag{2.191}$$

where $\alpha\in\{1,2\}$ and $\beta\in\{3,4\}$. Moreover, it holds that

$$(z_{2\alpha-1})^2+(z_{2\alpha})^2=0,\quad \alpha\in\{1,2,3,4\}. \tag{2.192}$$

Let us recall that we have also to consider the sphere equation of S^{31}. In particular it follows that $dim\,\big(\big(\overline{S}^{12}_{34} \cap N(\Theta)\big)/G\big) = 0$, that is, the stratum $\overline{S}^{12}_{34}$ yields one singular point on the orbifolds $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$. Analogously, the case of $\overline{S}^{1}_{234}$ can be described by using the the prevoius computations. In this case the moment map equations become

$$Sp(1) \;\Rightarrow\; \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha} z_{2\alpha-1}) + cos\,\varphi\big((|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |z_{2\alpha}|^2)\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2 + (z_{2\alpha})^2)\big)\big) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.193}$$

and

$$T^3_\Theta \;\Rightarrow\; \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} p_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha} z_{2\alpha-1}) + (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |z_{2\alpha}|^2)cos\,\varphi\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} q_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha} z_{2\alpha-1}) + (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |z_{2\alpha}|^2)cos\,\varphi\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} l_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha} z_{2\alpha-1}) + (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |z_{2\alpha}|^2)cos\,\varphi\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} p_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2 + (z_{2\alpha})^2\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} q_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2 + (z_{2\alpha})^2\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} l_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha-1})^2 + (z_{2\alpha})^2\big) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.194}$$

Note that, also in this case $dim\,\big(\big(\overline{S}^{1}_{234} \cap N(\Theta)\big)/G\big) = 0$, that is, we have a singular point on $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$. Then, each stratum in (2.149) yields a singular point on $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$. $\Box$

Chapter 3

The Quotient Orbifolds $\mathcal{O}^4(\Omega)$

Let us recall that the action of the group $G := Sp(1) \times T^2_\Omega \subset SO(7) \subset Sp(7)$ on the sphere $S^{27} \subset \mathbb{H}^7$ is defined by using the left multiplication of the subgroup $Sp(1)$ and for the $2-$torus T^2_Ω, the action is defined via the homomorphism

$$f_\Omega(t,s) : [0,2\pi)^2 \rightarrow T^3 \subset SO(7),$$

$$f_\Omega(t,s) := \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A_1(t,s) & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & A_2(t,s) & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & A_3(t,s) \end{array} \right) \in T^3, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\Omega := \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & p_3 \\ q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2\times 3}(\mathbb{Z}), \tag{3.2}$$

is an integral 2×3 matrix. Then the respective moment maps $\mu : S^{27} \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(1) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3 \cong \mathbb{R}^9$ and $\nu : S^{27} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}(1)^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ read

$$\mu(\boldsymbol{u}) = (\sum_{\alpha=1}^{7} \overline{u}_\alpha i u_\alpha,\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{7} \overline{u}_\alpha j u_\alpha,\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{7} \overline{u}_\alpha k u_\alpha) \in \mathfrak{sp}(1) \otimes \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{3.3}$$

where $\boldsymbol{u} \in S^{27} \subset \mathbb{H}^7$, and

$$\nu(\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} p_\alpha(\overline{u}_{2\alpha}u_{2\alpha+1} - \overline{u}_{2\alpha+1}u_{2\alpha}) \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} q_\alpha(\overline{u}_{2\alpha}u_{2\alpha+1} - \overline{u}_{2\alpha+1}u_{2\alpha}) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}(1)^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3. \tag{3.4}$$

According to the study in [9], we can distinguish the elements of $N(\Omega)$ in the following $G-$strata

$$\begin{aligned} &S_0 = \{\boldsymbol{u} \in N(\Omega) \,|\, u_1 = 0\}, \\ &S_1 = \{\boldsymbol{u} \in N(\Omega) \,|\, u_1 \neq 0\}, \\ &S_2 = \{\boldsymbol{u} \in N(\Omega) \,|\, \text{some quaternionic pair } (u_{2\alpha}, u_{2\alpha-1}) \text{ vanishes}\}, \\ &S_3 = \{\boldsymbol{u} \in N(\Omega) \,|\, \text{none of the quaternionic pair } (u_{2\alpha}, u_{2\alpha-1}) \text{ vanishes}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

We state now some facts provided in [9], also to point out a minor correction to statements: corollary 2.3 and lemma 3.2 of [9].

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose that all the minor determinants*

$$\Delta_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{vmatrix} p_\alpha & q_\alpha \\ p_\beta & q_\beta \end{vmatrix}, \qquad 1 \leq \alpha < \beta <\leq 3, \tag{3.6}$$

do not vanish. Then there is no element $\boldsymbol{u}$ *of* $N(\Omega)$ *with a null quaternionic pair.*

The proof of this lemma parallels that of the lemma 1.1. As a direct consequence of the lemma 3.1 it follows that $S_2 = \emptyset$ and $N(\Omega) = S_3 = S_0 \cup S_1$. By using lemma 3.1 we get

Lemma 3.2 *The action of* $G = Sp(1) \times T^2_\Omega$ *on* $S_1 = N(\Omega) \cap \{u_1 \neq 0\}$ *is*

$$\begin{aligned} &1) \quad \text{locally free if and only if } \Delta_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0, \ \forall\, 1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq 3, \\ &2) \quad \text{free if and only if } gcd(\Delta_{12}, \Delta_{13}, \Delta_{23}) = \pm 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Note the correct conditions 2) appearing in (3.7) is in fact weaker than that one given in [9], lemma 3.2 point 2).

Proof. Since $u_1 \neq 0$ it follows that $\lambda = 1$, then it is sufficient to consider the $T^2_\Omega-$ action. Since none of the quaternionic pairs vanishes it follows that the fixed point equations read:

$$\overbrace{\begin{pmatrix} cos\,\omega_\alpha & sin\,\omega_\alpha \\ -sin\,\omega_\alpha & cos\,\omega_\alpha \end{pmatrix}}^{A(\omega_\alpha):=} \begin{pmatrix} u_{2\alpha} \\ u_{2\alpha+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{2\alpha} \\ u_{2\alpha+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha \in \{1,2,3\}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\omega_\alpha = p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s$, and $t, s \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then, the equations in (3.8) are equivalent to

$$A(\omega_\alpha) = id_{2\times 2}, \quad \alpha \in \{1,2,3\}, \tag{3.9}$$

that is $e^{i(p_\alpha t + q_\alpha s)} = 1,\ \alpha \in \{1,2,3\}$. These equations have trivial solutions if and only if $gcd(\Delta_{12}, \Delta_{13}, \Delta_{23}) = \pm 1$. The locally free conditions was correctly proved in [9]. $\square$

Next, we introduce the main results of this chapter. Consider an isometric action of the group $\tilde{G} := T^2_\Omega \times Sp(1) \times U(1)$ on $N(\Omega) = \mu^{-1}(0) \cap \nu^{-1}(0)$. This action is defined in the same way of that one in (2.1), namely

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi} :\ & \big(T^2_\Omega \times Sp(1) \times U(1)\big) \times N(\Omega) \longrightarrow N(\Omega), \\ & \big((A(\Omega), \lambda, \rho); (\underline{z}, \underline{w})\big) \mapsto \tilde{\Phi}\big((A(\Omega), \lambda, \rho)\big)\big((\underline{z}, \underline{w})\big), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}\big((A(\Omega), \lambda, \rho)\big)\big((\underline{z}, \underline{w}) = A(\Omega)\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \underline{z} \\ \underline{w} \end{pmatrix} \rho, \tag{3.10}$$

and we have identified $\mathbb{C}^7 \times \mathbb{C}^7 \cong \mathbb{H}^7$ by using the relation $u_\alpha = z_\alpha + j w_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \{1,2,3\}$. The 3-Sasakian and quaternion Kähler reduction we are dealing with, is described by the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \frac{SO(7)}{SO(4)\times Sp(1)} \arrow[r, "T^2_\Omega"] \arrow[dd, "SO(3)"'] & \mathcal{M}^7(\Omega) \arrow[dr, "U(1)"] \arrow[dd, "SO(3)"'] & \\ & & \mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega) \arrow[dl, "S^2"] \\ Gr_4(\mathbb{R}^7) \arrow[r, "T^2_\Omega"] & \mathcal{O}^4(\Omega) & \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.11}$$

where $\mu^{-1}(0)/Sp(1) \cong SO(7)/SO(4) \times Sp(1)$. We prove here the following statements, mentioned in the introduction as Theorems D and E.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega)$ be the twistor space over the positive SDE orbifold $\mathcal{O}^4(\Omega)$. Then, depending on the minor determinants $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\square^{1\pm2}_{1\pm3}$ the singular locus $\Sigma(\Omega)$ consists of*

1) one isolated $2-$sphere S^2, whose isotropy only depends on one of the determinants $\square^{1\pm2}_{1\pm3}$;

2) six points, that is, each of the following $\tilde{G}-$strata (relative to the action of $\tilde{G}$ on $\mathbb{H}^7$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a) \quad {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{12}_{3} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_6 & iw_6 \end{array}\right)\right\},\\
&b) \quad {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{12}_{3} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_6 & -iw_6 \end{array}\right)\right\},\\
&c) \quad {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{13}_{2} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 & 0 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w_4 & iw_4 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\},\\
&d) \quad {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{13}_{2} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 & 0 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w_4 & -iw_4 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\},\\
&e) \quad {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{23}_{1} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & w_2 & iw_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\},\\
&f) \quad {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{23}_{1} := \tilde{G}\cdot\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & w_2 & -iw_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.12}
$$

Each of these strata intersects the submanifold $N(\Omega)$, and the $\tilde{G}-$strata of $N(\Omega)$ are given by $\widetilde{S}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} := \overset{=}{S}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} \cap N(\Omega)$. Then, each quotient

$$
\frac{\overset{=}{S}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} \cap N(\Omega)}{\tilde{G}}, \tag{3.13}
$$

is a point on $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega)$. Moreover, the isotropy associated to the strata in (3.12) only depends on the minor determinants $\pm\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$.

In the case when some of the minor determinants $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\Box^{1\pm2}_{1\pm3}$ are ±1, the singular locus $\Sigma(\Omega)$ can be obtained by removing from the above list the singular sets whose isotropy depends on one of the mentioned determinants.

Theorem 3.2 *The singular points of the $3-$Sasakian orbifold $\mathcal{M}^7(\Omega)$ come from the singular $G-$strata $\widetilde{S}$ of $N(\Omega)$, which are obtained by intersectig $N(\Omega)$ with the following $G-$strata $\overline{S}$ of $\mathbb{H}^7$*

$$a)\quad \overline{S}^{123} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$b)\quad \overline{S}^{12}_{3} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$c)\quad \overline{S}^{13}_{2} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$d)\quad \overline{S}^{23}_{1} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$e)\quad \overline{S}^{1}_{23} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$f)\quad \overline{S}^{2}_{13} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$g)\quad \overline{S}^{3}_{12} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$h)\quad \overline{S}_{123} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$(\widetilde{w}_{2\alpha}, \widetilde{w}_{2\alpha+1}) := \frac{e^{i\delta}}{sin\,\varphi}\Big(- z_{2\alpha+1} + iz_{2\alpha}\cos\,\varphi, z_{2\alpha} + iz_{2\alpha+1}\cos\,\varphi\Big),$$

and

$$(\approx{w}_{2\alpha}, \approx{w}_{2\alpha+1}) := \frac{e^{i\delta}}{sin\,\varphi}\Big(- z_{2\alpha+1} - iz_{2\alpha}cos\,\varphi, z_{2\alpha} - iz_{2\alpha+1}\cos\,\varphi\Big), \tag{3.15}$$

with $sin\,\varphi \neq 0$. *Let us recall that an element* $\lambda = \epsilon + j\sigma \in Sp(1)$ *is written as* $\epsilon = cos\,\theta + i(sin\,\theta cos\,\varphi)$ *and* $\sigma = sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi\;cos\,\delta + i(sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi sin\,\delta)$. *Moreover, the isotropy associated to the strata in (3.14) only depends on the minor determinants* $\Box^{1\pm 2}_{1\pm 3}$. $\Box$

Finally we get (Theorem F in the introduction):

Theorem 3.3 *By using theorems* 2.1*,* 2.2*,* 3.1 *and* 3.2*, we can conclude that the families of SDE orbifolds* $\mathcal{O}^4(\Theta)$ *and* $\mathcal{O}^4(\Omega)$ *are distinct to the twistor and* 3*−Sasakian level.*

3.1 The Twistor Space $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega)$

The study of the action of $\tilde{G} = Sp(1) \times T^2_\Omega \times U(1)$ on $\mathbb{H}^7$ can be divided in two part. First we consider the action of $\tilde{G} = Sp(1) \times T^2_\Omega \times U(1)$ on $S_0 = \{\boldsymbol{u} \in N(\Omega) \mid\; u_1 = 0\}$, and then, the case linked to action of $\tilde{G}$ on $S_1 = \{\boldsymbol{u} \in N(\Omega) \,|\, u_1 \neq 0\}$. Now, we introduce some facts shared by both the two mentioned cases. Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ be a point in $N(\Omega) \subset S^{27} \subset \mathbb{H}^7$, then the fixed point equations for each quaternionic pairs $(u_{2\alpha}, u_{2\alpha+1})$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, become

$$A(\omega_\alpha)\begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha} & w_{2\alpha} \\ z_{2\alpha+1} & w_{2\alpha+1}\end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & -\overline{\sigma} \\ \sigma & \overline{\epsilon}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha} & z_{2\alpha+1} \\ w_{2\alpha} & w_{2\alpha+1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \rho & 0 \\ 0 & \rho\end{pmatrix}\right]^T, \tag{3.16}$$

where $A(\omega_\alpha) := \begin{pmatrix} cos\,\omega_\alpha & sin\,\omega_\alpha \\ -sin\,\omega_\alpha & cos\omega_\alpha \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda = \epsilon + j\sigma \in Sp(1)$, $\rho \in U(1)$ and $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We indicate the points $\boldsymbol{u} = (\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in \mathbb{C}^7 \times \mathbb{C}^7$ as follows

$$ {}^T(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) := \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 & w_4 & w_5 & w_6 & w_7 \end{array} \right), \tag{3.17} $$

where $(z_\alpha, w_\alpha) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$. These equations can be rewritten in the following way:

$$ \overbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & -\sigma\rho & -sin\omega_\alpha & cos\,\omega_\alpha - \overline{\epsilon}\rho \\ -\sigma\rho & 0 & cos\,\omega_\alpha - \overline{\epsilon}\rho & sin\omega_\alpha \\ \hline -sin\omega_\alpha & cos\,\omega_\alpha - \epsilon\rho & 0 & \overline{\sigma}\rho \\ cos\,\omega_\alpha - \epsilon\rho & sin\omega_\alpha & \overline{\sigma}\rho & 0 \end{array} \right)}^{M_\alpha :=} \begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha} \\ z_{2\alpha+1} \\ w_{2\alpha} \\ w_{2\alpha+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.18} $$

for each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Note that, none of the matrices M_α, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, has *rank* 4. Then we have

Proposition 3.1 *Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ be a point in $N(\Omega)$. Then, up to $\tilde{G}-$conjugation, we have that $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})} \subset T^2_\Omega \times \{\lambda \in Sp(1) \mid \sigma \equiv 0\} \times U(1)$.*

The proof is completely similar to that of proposition 2.1. In the following we assume $\sigma \equiv 0$

3.1.1 The action of $\tilde{G}$ on S_0

When $u_1 \neq 0$, we get the following

Lemma 3.3 *Condider the action of $Sp(1) \times T^2_\Omega \times U(1)$ on $\mathbb{C}^7 \times \mathbb{C}^7$, and let*
$\boldsymbol{u} = (\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in$
$\mathbb{C}^7 \times \mathbb{C}^7$ be a non null point. Then, for the first quaternionic coordinate u_1, the fixed point equations give either

$$ \rho = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho = Re(\epsilon) - i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}. \tag{3.19} $$

Proof. For the first quaternionic coordinate u_1, the fixed point equations give

$$u_1 = \lambda u_1 \rho, \tag{3.20}$$

where $\lambda = \epsilon + j\sigma \in Sp(1)$ and $\rho \in U(1)$. Then a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{cases} z_1 = (\epsilon z_1 - \overline{\sigma} w_1)\rho, \\ w_1 = (\overline{\epsilon} w_1 + \sigma z_1)\rho, \end{cases} \iff \begin{pmatrix} 1-\epsilon\rho & \overline{\sigma}\rho \\ -\sigma\rho & 1-\overline{\epsilon}\rho \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ w_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.21}$$

Then, in order to get non trivial solution we assume

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1-\epsilon\rho & \overline{\sigma}\rho \\ -\sigma\rho & 1-\overline{\epsilon}\rho \end{vmatrix} = \rho^2|\sigma|^2 + \rho^2|\epsilon|^2 + 1 - 2\rho Re(\epsilon) = \rho^2 + 1 - 2\rho Re(\epsilon) = 0, \tag{3.22}$$

if and only

$$\rho = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho = Re(\epsilon) - i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}. \ \square \tag{3.23}$$

Remark 3.1. Note that, when $u_1 \neq 0$, if $z_1 = 0$ the fixed point equation in (3.21) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\sigma} w_1 \rho = 0, \\ w_1 = \overline{\epsilon} w_1 \rho, \end{cases} \iff \sigma \equiv 0, \ \overline{\epsilon}\rho = 1. \tag{3.24}$$

Analogously, when $u_1 \neq 0$ and $w_1 = 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{cases} z_1 = \epsilon z_1 \rho, \\ \overline{\epsilon} w_1 \rho = 0, \end{cases} \iff \sigma \equiv 0, \ \epsilon\rho = 1. \ \square \tag{3.25}$$

Lemma 3.4 *Let* $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ *be a in* $N(\Omega)$*. Then, the fixed point equations in* (*3.16*) *are equivalent to the conditions given by* $\det M_\alpha = 0$*. In particular* $\det M_\alpha = 0$ *if and only if it holds either*

$$\rho + \overline{\rho}(e^{-i\theta_i})^2 - 2Re(\epsilon)e^{-i\theta_i} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \rho + \overline{\rho}(e^{i\theta_i})^2 - 2Re(\epsilon)e^{i\theta_i} = 0, \tag{3.26}$$

if and only if

$$\overline{\rho}e^{i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) \pm i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2} \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{\rho}e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) \pm i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}. \tag{3.27}$$

The proof of this lemma is exactly equal to that of lemma 2.1.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ be a point in $N(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{C}^7 \times \mathbb{C}^7$. Then the fixed point equations (3.16) are equivalent to the conditions (3.27) given by $\det M_\alpha = 0$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.*

The proof of this proposition parallels that of proposition 2.2.

Remark 3.2. Note that, when both the equations in (3.23) are satisfied it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \rho = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}, \\ \rho = Re(\epsilon) - i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}, \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} Re(\epsilon) = \rho = \pm 1, \\ \sigma \equiv 0, \\ Im(\epsilon) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.28}$$

By substituting the conditions (3.28) in the equations (3.18), we get $M_\alpha = 0$ for each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then, when the conditions in (3.28) hold, the fixed point equations describe the non effective subgroup. □

Remark 3.3. Since $\mathcal{M}^7(\Omega)$ is an $U(1)-$bundle over $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega)$ and G acts locally free on $N(\Omega)$, it follows that also the action of $\tilde{G}$ on $N(\Omega)$ has to be locally free. Now, assume $\sigma \equiv 0$ in the equations (3.16). Then, the fixed point equations relative to the first quaternionic coordinate become $\epsilon u_1 \rho = u_1$, that is

$$\epsilon z_1 \rho + j\bar{\epsilon} w_1 \rho = z_1 + j w_1. \tag{3.29}$$

As a consequence, when $z_1 \neq 0$ and $w_1 \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = 1, \\ \bar{\epsilon}\rho = 1, \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} \epsilon\rho = 1, \\ \epsilon = \rho = \pm 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.30}$$

Suppose the conditions in (3.30) hold. By keeping in mind the fixed point equations (3.18), the conditions in (3.30) give that the stabilizer $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z}, \underline{w})}$ is contained in the non effective subgroup. Then we do not care about this case. Then we consider points $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ such that $u_1 \neq 0$ and $z_1 = 0$ or $w_1 = 0$. □

We prove now the following

Lemma 3.5 *Suppose* $u_1 \neq 0$ *and* $\sigma \equiv 0$ *in the equations* (*3.18*). *The spaces of solutions for the eigenvalue problem asociated to the equations* (*3.18*), $\alpha \in \{1,2,3\}$, *are the following*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&1) \qquad {}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_1^{\alpha} := \{z_{2\alpha} \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, (z_{2\alpha}, \pm i z_{2\alpha}, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4\},\\
&2) \qquad {}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_2^{\alpha} := \{w_{2\alpha} \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, (0, 0, w_{2\alpha}, \pm i w_{2\alpha}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\},\\
&3) \qquad \tilde{V}_3^{\alpha} := \{(z_{2\alpha}, z_{2\alpha+1}) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \,|\, (z_{2\alpha}, z_{2\alpha+1}, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4\},\\
&4) \qquad \tilde{V}_4^{\alpha} := \{(w_{2\alpha}, w_{2\alpha+1}) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \,|\, (0, 0, w_{2\alpha}, w_{2\alpha+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.31}
$$

Proof. Under our hypotheses, the equations in (3.27) become

$$\rho\epsilon = e^{\pm\theta_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho\overline{\epsilon} = e^{\pm i\theta_1}. \tag{3.32}$$

Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in \mathbb{C}^7 \times \mathbb{C}^7$ on a singular point and such that $u_1 \neq 0$. Then according to whether $z_1 = 0$ or $w_1 = 0$ it holds either $\rho\epsilon = 1$ or $\rho\overline{\epsilon} = 1$. Suppose $\rho\epsilon = 1$, the other case can be treated in the same way. Let M_α such that two relations of those one in (3.32) are satisfied, then, for this block we get either one of the following system of fixed point equations

$$
1) \quad \begin{cases} \rho\epsilon = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \rho\overline{\epsilon} = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \rho\epsilon = 1, \end{cases}
\qquad
2) \quad \begin{cases} \rho\epsilon = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \rho\overline{\epsilon} = e^{-i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \rho\epsilon = 1. \end{cases}
$$
$$
3) \quad \begin{cases} \rho\overline{\epsilon} = e^{i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \rho\overline{\epsilon} = e^{-i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \rho\epsilon = 1. \end{cases}
\tag{3.33}
$$

A short computation shows that the system of equations 1) and 2) in (3.33) give $\epsilon\rho = 1$ and $\overline{\epsilon}\rho = 1$, then they describes the non effective subgroup. Instead in the case 3), the equation in (3.18) becomes

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \pm 1 - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \pm 1 - 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha} \\ z_{2\alpha+1} \\ w_{2\alpha} \\ w_{2\alpha+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.34}$$

Hence, when the block $M_\alpha \neq 0$ the space of solutions is exactly $\tilde{V}_4^\alpha$. Now suppose, M_α is such that just one of the equations in (3.32) is satisfied, then we have the following possibilities

$$4) \qquad \begin{cases} \rho\overline{\epsilon} = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \rho\epsilon = 1, \end{cases} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \begin{cases} \rho^2 = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \rho\epsilon = 1, \end{cases}$$

or

$$5) \qquad \begin{cases} \rho\epsilon = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha}, \\ \rho\epsilon = 1, \end{cases} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \begin{cases} e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha} = 1, \\ \rho\epsilon = e^{\pm i\theta_\alpha}. \end{cases} \tag{3.35}$$

In the case of the relations 4) in (3.35) the equations (3.18) become

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & -sin\,\theta_\alpha & \pm isin\,\theta_\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \pm isin\,\theta_\alpha & sin\,\theta_\alpha \\ \hline -sin\,\theta_\alpha & cos\,\theta_\alpha - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ cos\,\theta_\alpha - 1 & sin\,\theta_\alpha & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha} \\ z_{2\alpha+1} \\ w_{2\alpha} \\ w_{2\alpha+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.36}$$

Then, the space of solutions, relative to this system of equations is given by ${}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_2^\alpha$. Instead, in the case of the relations 5) in (3.35) the eigevalue problem in (3.18) can be rewritten as follows

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1-\rho^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-\rho^2 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} z_{2\alpha} \\ z_{2\alpha+1} \\ w_{2\alpha} \\ w_{2\alpha+1} \end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right). \tag{3.37}$$

In this case $rank\, M_\alpha = 2$ and the space of solutions is $\tilde{V}_3^\alpha$. Analogously, when $\rho\bar{\epsilon} = 1$, we can prove that the only spaces of solutions for the eigenvalue problem in (3.18) are given by ${}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_1^\alpha$, $\tilde{V}_3^\alpha$ and $\tilde{V}_4^\alpha$. $\square$

Corollary 3.1 *Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ be a point in $N(\Omega)$ with non trivial isotropy subgroup $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})}$. Then each quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ of $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ belongs to one of the sets $\tilde{G} \cdot {}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_1{}^\alpha$, $\tilde{G} \cdot {}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_2^\alpha$, $\tilde{G} \cdot \tilde{V}_3^\alpha$ or $\tilde{G} \cdot \tilde{V}_4^\alpha$.*

Proposition 3.3 *There are no singular points $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in N(\Omega)$ such that their first quaternionic pair $u_1 \neq 0$.*

Proof. Under the hypotheses of lemma 3.5 it follows that the possible spaces of solutions relative to the eigenvalues problem in (3.18) are just the following

$$V := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 & u_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \tag{3.38}$$

where $u_1 \neq 0$, $z_1 = 0$ or $w_1 = 0$ and each quaternionic coordinates $(u_{2\alpha}, u_{2\alpha-1})$ is contained in one of the the complex vector spaces ${}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_1^\alpha$, ${}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_2^\alpha$, $\tilde{V}_3^\alpha$, $\tilde{V}_4^\alpha$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then, by substituting in the equations (3.18) a suitable subset of the relations in (3.32), the associated eigenvalue problem admit solutions $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ which form a vector space V of those in (3.38). Moreover all of the points $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in V$ have exactly the same isotropy. Let us recall that each stratum $\overline{\overline{S}}$ is formed by all of the points in $\mathbb{H}^7$ which have, up to conjugation, the same isotropy subgroup. Note that, the spaces in (3.31) are not $\tilde{G}-$invariant. If a point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in V$ belongs to $\overline{\overline{S}}$, it follows that $V \subseteq \overline{\overline{S}}$ and $\tilde{G} \cdot V \subseteq \overline{\overline{S}}$. By using corollary 3.1 we have that $\tilde{G} \cdot V \cong \overline{\overline{S}}$ and the intersection $\tilde{G} \cdot V \cap$

$N(\Omega) \cong \tilde{G} \cdot (V \cap N(\Omega))$ gives rise a stratum $\widetilde{S} \subset N(\Omega)$ associated to the $\tilde{G}-$action on $N(\Omega)$. Actually, all of the strata $\widetilde{S}$ relative to the submanifold $N(\Omega)$ can be obtained in this way. Suppose a stratum $\widetilde{S}$ contains a point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ such that the conditions $\{u_1 \neq 0, z_1 \neq 0\}$ or $\{u_1 \neq 0, w_1 \neq 0\}$ hold. Then the orbit $\tilde{G} \cdot (\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ is contained in $\widetilde{S}$, and by using the $\tilde{G}-$action, there exists a point $(\underline{z}', \underline{w}') \in \tilde{G} \cdot (\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ with $u_1' \neq 0$, $z_1' \neq 0$ and $w_1' \neq 0$. Then the argument in remark 3.3 gives that the isotropy of $\widetilde{S}$ coincide with the non effective subgroup. Then we can conclude. □

3.1.2 The action of $\tilde{G}$ on S_1

Now, we can study the case of the points $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in \mathbb{H}^7$ such that $u_1 = 0$. This case can be treated as a subcase of that one studied in chapter 2. In fact, the action of the group $Sp(1) \times T^3_\Theta \times U(1)$ on $\mathbb{H}^8$, coincide with that one we are dealing with as soon as we assume $r = 0$ (the third parameter of T^3_Θ) and the group acts on the subspace $\{(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) \in \mathbb{H}^8 \mid (u_1, u_2) = (0, 0)\}$. In order to describe the singular strata relative to the action of $\tilde{G}$ on $\mathbb{H}^7$, we can study the spaces of solutions relative to the eigenvalue problem in (3.18). Then

Lemma 3.6 *Assume $\sigma \equiv 0$ in equations (3.18). Let M_α be such that rank $M_\alpha = 3$. Then the solutions of the eigenvalue problem (3.18) describe a complex $1-$dimensional space which is either*

$$\begin{aligned} &1) \qquad {}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_1^\alpha := \{z_{2\alpha} \in \mathbb{C} \mid (z_{2\alpha}, \pm i z_{2\alpha}, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4\}, \qquad \text{or} \\ &2) \qquad {}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_2^\alpha := \{w_{2\alpha} \in \mathbb{C} \mid (0, 0, w_{2\alpha}, \pm i w_{2\alpha}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

When rank $M_\alpha = 2$, the possible spaces of solutions are the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&3)\qquad {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\tilde{V}_3^\alpha := \{(z_{2\alpha}, w_{2\alpha}) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \,|\, (z_{2\alpha}, \pm i z_{2\alpha}, w_{2\alpha}, \pm i w_{2\alpha}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\},\\
&4)\qquad \tilde{V}_4^\alpha := \{z_{2\alpha}, z_{2\alpha+1} \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, (z_{2\alpha}, z_{2\alpha+1}, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^4\},\\
&5)\qquad \tilde{V}_5^\alpha := \{w_{2\alpha}, w_{2\alpha+1} \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, (0, 0, w_{2\alpha}, w_{2\alpha+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.40}
$$

The proof is a straightforward consequence of that of lemma 2.4. By using the proof of proposition 2.2 and corollary 2.1 we get the following results

Proposition 3.4 *Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ be a point in $N(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{C}^7 \times \mathbb{C}^7$. Then the fixed point equations (3.16) are equivalent to the conditions (3.27) given by det $M_\alpha = 0$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.*

Corollary 3.2 *Let $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ be a point in $N(\Omega)$ with non trivial isotropy subgroup $\tilde{G}_{(\underline{z},\underline{w})}$. Then each quaternionic pair $(u_{2\alpha-1}, u_{2\alpha})$ of $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ belongs to one of the sets $\tilde{G} \cdot {}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_1^\alpha$, $\tilde{G} \cdot {}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_2^\alpha$, $\tilde{G} \cdot {}^{(\pm,\pm)}\tilde{V}_3^\alpha$, $\tilde{G} \cdot \tilde{V}_4^\alpha$ or $\tilde{G} \cdot \tilde{V}_5^\alpha$.*

Now, by using the corollary 2.1, remark 2.3 and lemma 3.6 we can divide the strata (relative to the action of $Sp(1) \times T^2_\Omega \times U(1)$ on $\mathbb{H}^7$) which intersect the submanifold $N(\Omega)$ in two families depending on the vector spaces listed in (3.39) and (3.40) respectively. First, consider the vector spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
&1)\ \overset{=}{S}_{(+,+,-)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & -iz_7 \\ 0 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&2)\ \overset{=}{S}_{(+,-,+)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ 0 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&3)\ \overset{=}{S}_{(-,+,+)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ 0 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&4)\ \overset{=}{S}_{(+,+,+)}) := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ 0 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&5)\ \overset{=}{S}_{(+,-,-)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_3 & iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & -iz_7 \\ 0 & w_3 & iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&6)\ \overset{=}{S}_{(-,+,-)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & iz_5 & z_7 & -iz_7 \\ 0 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&7)\ \overset{=}{S}_{(-,-,+)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & iz_7 \\ 0 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&8)\ \overset{=}{S}_{(-,-,-)} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_3 & -iz_3 & z_5 & -iz_5 & z_7 & -iz_7 \\ 0 & w_3 & -iw_3 & w_5 & -iw_5 & w_7 & -iw_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.41}
$$

A short computation shows that these spaces are $\tilde{G}-$invariant. Moreover, all of the points $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ contained in the same space have the same isotropy subgroup. Then, each of the vector spaces $\overset{=}{S}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ represents a stratum relative to the action of $\tilde{G}$ on $\mathbb{H}^7$.

Lemma 3.7 *The strata of* $\overset{=}{S}_{(+,+,+)}$ *and* $\overset{=}{S}_{(-,-,-)}$ *do not intersect the submanifold* $N(\Omega)$.

Proof. First, note that the moment map equations of the 2-torus T^2_Ω in the coordinate $u_\alpha = z_\alpha + jw_\alpha$ becomes

$$
\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} d_\alpha Im(z_{2\alpha}\overline{z}_{2\alpha+1} + w_{2\alpha}\overline{w}_{2\alpha+1}) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} d_\alpha (z_{2\alpha} w_{2\alpha+1} - z_{2\alpha+1} w_{2\alpha}) = 0, \end{array} \right. \tag{3.42}
$$

where d_α indicates the weights p_α and q_α for $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Now, consider the case of $\overset{=}{S}_{(+,+,+)}$, the other one can be treated in the same way. The equations in (3.42) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} d_\alpha(|z_{2\alpha}|^2 + |w_{2\alpha}|^2) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} d_\alpha(z_{2\alpha}w_{2\alpha} - z_{2\alpha+1}w_{2\alpha+1}) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.43}$$

Then it follows that equations in (3.43) admit only the trivial solution $(\underline{z}, \underline{w}) = (\underline{0}, \underline{0})$. Thus we get the conclusion.□

Proposition 3.5 *Only one of the strata* $\overset{=}{S}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ *intersects the submanifold* $N(\Omega)$*. Moreover, the intersection* $\overset{=}{S}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)} \cap N(\Omega)$ *yields exatly one isolated point on the twistor space* $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega)$*.*

Proof. By reading the $Sp(1)$ moment map equations in (3.3) on any point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$, which belongs to one of the eigenspaces $\overset{=}{S}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ we get the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}(|z_{2\alpha}|^2 - |w_{2\alpha}|^2) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \overline{w}_{2\alpha}z_{2\alpha} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.44}$$

These equations are the same for all the $\overset{=}{S}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)})$. Instead, by evaluating the T^2_Ω moment map equations on a generic point $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ which belongs to one of the spaces we are dealing with, we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}(-1)^{m_\alpha} d_\alpha(|z_{2\alpha}|^2 + |w_{2\alpha}|^2) = 0, \tag{3.45}$$

for each $d_\alpha = p_\alpha, q_\alpha$ and $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let us rewrite the equations in (3.45) as

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}(-1)^{m_\alpha} d_\alpha |u_{2\alpha}|^2 = 0, \tag{3.46}$$

where $u_{2\alpha} = z_{2\alpha} + jw_{2\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The intersection of the sphere S^{31} with one of the eigenspaces in $\overset{=}{S}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$ is described by the equation

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{7} |u_\alpha|^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} 2|u_{2\alpha}|^2 = 1. \tag{3.47}$$

Considering together the equations in (3.46) and (3.47) we get the following system of equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{m_1}p_1 & (-1)^{m_2}p_2 & (-1)^{m_3}p_3 \\ (-1)^{m_1}q_1 & (-1)^{m_2}q_2 & (-1)^{m_3}q_3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |u_2|^2 \\ |u_4|^2 \\ |u_6|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.48}$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{cases} 2|u_2|^2 = \frac{\pm\Delta_{23}}{\square^{1\pm2}_{1\pm3}} > 0, \\ 2|u_4|^2 = \frac{\pm\Delta_{13}}{\square^{1\pm2}_{1\pm3}} > 0, \\ 2|u_6|^2 = \frac{\pm\Delta_{12}}{\square^{1\pm2}_{1\pm3}} > 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.49}$$

Note that, after we have fixed the weigth matrix Ω, the minor determinants $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}$ are univocally determinated. Thus the system of equations in (3.49) admits a unique solution. Then it follows that $N(\Omega)$ intersects exactly one of the eigenspaces $\overset{=}{S}_{(\pm,\pm,\pm)}$.
$\square$

Now, consider the following sets:

$$\tilde{G}\cdot\overbrace{\left\{\left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 & u_7 \end{array}\right)\right\}}^{V:=}, \tag{3.50}$$

such that each of the quaternionic coordeinate belongs to one of the spaces ${}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_1^{\alpha},\ {}^{\pm}\tilde{V}_2^{\alpha},\ \tilde{V}_4^{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{V}_5^{\alpha},\ \alpha \in \{1,2,3\}$. Then, by using the argument in lemma (2.7), we get that the possible strata in (3.50), which intersect $N(\Omega)$, are just those one listed in the following two families

$$
\begin{aligned}
&1) \quad {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{12}_{3} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_6 & iw_6 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&2) \quad {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{12}_{3} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_6 & -iw_6 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&3) \quad {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{13}_{2} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 & 0 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w_4 & iw_4 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&4) \quad {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{13}_{2} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 & 0 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w_4 & -iw_4 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&5) \quad {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{23}_{1} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & w_2 & iw_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&6) \quad {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{23}_{1} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & w_2 & -iw_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\},
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.51}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&7) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}^{12}_{3} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & w_6 & w_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&8) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}^{13}_{2} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & 0 & 0 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & w_4 & w_5 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&9) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}^{23}_{1} := \tilde{G} \cdot \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & 0 & 0 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & w_2 & w_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.52}
$$

Theorem 3.4 *The strata listed in* (*3.51*) *and* (*3.52*) *intersect the submanifold* $N(\Omega)$ *and their intersections are such that, dim* $\big(\overset{=}{S}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} \cap N(\Omega)\big) = 0$*. Moreover, each of the quotients* $\big(\overset{=}{S}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} \cap N(\Omega)\big)/\tilde{G}$ *describes a point on* $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega)$ *and we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&1) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}^{12}_{3} \cap N(\Omega) \text{ is formed by } {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{12}_{3} \cap N(\Omega) \text{ and } {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{12}_{3} \cap N(\Omega), \\
&2) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}^{13}_{2} \cap N(\Omega) \text{ is formed by } {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{13}_{2} \cap N(\Omega) \text{ and } {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{13}_{2} \cap N(\Omega), \\
&3) \quad \overset{=}{S}{}^{23}_{1} \cap N(\Omega) \text{ is formed by } {}^{+}\overset{=}{S}{}^{23}_{1} \cap N(\Omega) \text{ and } {}^{-}\overset{=}{S}{}^{23}_{1} \cap N(\Omega).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.53}
$$

Proof. The moment maps equations, relative to $Sp(1)$ and T^2_Ω, on the strata listed in (3.52), up to a sign, become

$$T^2_\Omega \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} p_1 Im(z_2\overline{z}_3) + p_2 Im(z_4\overline{z}_5) + p_3 Im(w_6\overline{w}_7) = 0, \\ q_1 Im(z_2\overline{z}_3) + q_2 Im(z_4\overline{z}_5) + q_3 Im(w_6\overline{w}_7) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$Sp(1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 + |z_5|^2 - |w_6|^2 - |w_7|^2 = 0, \\ (z_2)^2 + (z_3)^2 + (z_4)^2 + (z_5)^2 = 0, \\ (w_6)^2 + (w_7)^2 = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.54}$$

and the sphere equation has to be added

$$|z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 + |z_5|^2 + |w_6|^2 + |w_7|^2 = 1. \tag{3.55}$$

In the following we use often the notation introduced in (3.54), for the $Sp(1)$ and T^2_Ω moment map equations. By combining the equations (3.54) and (3.55) we get that

$$\begin{cases} |w_6|^2 + |w_7|^2 = 1, \\ (w_6)^2 + (w_7)^2 = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.56}$$

that is, $w_7 = \pm i w_6$. As a direct consequence, we can rewrite the equations in (3.54) as

$$T^2_\Omega \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} p_1 Im(z_2\overline{z}_3) + p_2 Im(z_4\overline{z}_5) \pm 2p_3|w_6|^2 = 0, \\ q_1 Im(z_2\overline{z}_3) + q_2 Im(z_4\overline{z}_5) \pm 2q_3|w_6|^2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$Sp(1) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{cases} |z_2|^2 + |z_3|^2 + |z_4|^2 + |z_5|^2 - 2|w_6|^2 = 0, \\ (z_2)^2 + (z_3)^2 + (z_4)^2 + (z_5)^2 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.57}$$

Then, a direct computation shows that these equations describe the same submanifold generated by the intersection of the strata in (3.51) with $N(\Omega)$. We get

$$dim\left(\overset{=}{S}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} \cap N(\Omega)\right) = dim\left({}^{\pm}\overset{=}{S}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} \cap N(\Omega)\right) = 6. \tag{3.58}$$

Hence $dim\,\big(\big(\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} \cap N(\Omega)\big)/\tilde{G}\big) = dim\,\big({}^{\pm}\overline{\overline{S}}{}^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} \cap N(\Omega)/\tilde{G}\big) = 0$, that is, each of the strata in (3.51) and (3.52) describes exactly a point on the twistor level. Since the strata in (3.51) are naturally included in those in (3.52), it follows that they produce the same points on $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega)$. $\square$

3.2 The $3-$Sasakian Orbifolds $\mathcal{M}^7(\Omega)$

As already mentioned in chapter two, in order to describe the singularities relative to the quaternion-Kähler orbifold $\mathcal{O}^4(\Omega)$, we study the singular locus of the $3-$Sasakian orbifold $\mathcal{M}^7(\Omega)$ and those one of $\mathcal{Z}^6(\Omega)$. If we assume the hyphoteses of lemma 3.2, in particular $gcd(\Delta_{12}, \Delta_{13}, \Delta_{23}) = \pm 1$, we have that the action of $Sp(1)\times\, T^2_\Omega$ on $N(\Omega) \cap \{u_1 \neq 0\}$ is free, then the singularities on $\mathcal{M}^7(\Omega)$ come from the action of $Sp(1) \times T^2_\Omega$ on $N(\Omega) \cap \{u_1 = 0\}$. Let us rewrite the fixed point equation (3.8) as follows:

$$\overbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & -\sigma & -sin\,\omega_\alpha & cos\,\omega_\alpha - \overline{\epsilon} \\ -\sigma & 0 & cos\,\omega_\alpha - \overline{\epsilon} & sin\,\omega_\alpha \\ \hline -sin\,\omega_\alpha & cos\,\omega_\alpha - \epsilon & 0 & \overline{\sigma} \\ cos\,\omega_\alpha - \epsilon & sin\,\omega_\alpha & \overline{\sigma} & 0 \end{array}\right)}^{\tilde{M}_\alpha :=} \begin{pmatrix} z_{2\alpha} \\ z_{2\alpha+1} \\ w_{2\alpha} \\ w_{2\alpha+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3.59)$$

where $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The following results hold

Lemma 3.8 *For each $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the matrix $\tilde{M}_\alpha$ in (3.59) is such that $det\,\tilde{M}_\alpha = 0$ if and only if it holds either*

$$e^{i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2} \;\text{ or }\; e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}. \qquad (3.60)$$

Proposition 3.6 *The solutions $(\underline{z}, \underline{w})$ of the eigenvalues problem in (3.60) are such that their quaternionic pairs are either contained in one of the following G-invariant sets $\tilde{V}^\alpha_1$ and $\tilde{V}^\alpha_2$.*

$$1)\quad \text{If}\quad e^{i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im^2(\epsilon) + |\sigma|^2}, \quad \text{then we have :}\quad \tilde{V}_1^\alpha := \{z_{2\alpha}, z_{2\alpha+1} \in \mathbb{C}\,|\, (z_{2\alpha}, z_{2\alpha+1}, \frac{e^{i\delta}\big(-z_{2\alpha+1} + iz_{2\alpha}\cos\varphi\big)}{sin\,\varphi}, \frac{e^{i\delta}\big(z_{2\alpha} + iz_{2\alpha+1}\cos\varphi\big)}{sin\,\varphi}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\},$$

$$2)\quad \text{If}\quad e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im^2(\epsilon) + |\sigma|^2}, \quad \text{then we have :}\quad \tilde{V}_2^\alpha := \{z_{2\alpha}, z_{2\alpha+1} \in \mathbb{C}\,|\, (z_{2\alpha}, z_{2\alpha+1}, -\frac{e^{i\delta}\big(z_{2\alpha+1} + iz_{2\alpha}\cos\varphi\big)}{sin\,\varphi}, \frac{e^{i\delta}\big(z_{2\alpha} - iz_{2\alpha+1}\cos\varphi\big)}{sin\,\varphi}) \in \mathbb{C}^4\}, \tag{3.61}$$

where $\epsilon = cos\,\theta + i(sin\,\theta cos\,\varphi)$, $\sigma = sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi cos\,\delta + i(sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi sin\,\delta) = sin\,\theta sin\,\varphi e^{i\delta}$ *and* $sin\varphi \neq 0$.

The proofs parallel those of lemma 2.6 and proposition 2.9. Moreover, we have the next proposition

Proposition 3.7 *Consider the action of* G *on* $\mathbb{H}^7$*. Then it holds that the singularities relative to* $\mathcal{M}^7(\Omega)$ *comes from the intersection of* $N(\Omega)$ *with the following strata on* $\mathbb{H}^7$*:*

$$1)\quad \overline{S}^{123} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$2)\quad \overline{S}_3^{12} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$3)\quad \overline{S}_2^{13} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$4)\quad \overline{S}_1^{23} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$5)\quad \overline{S}_{23}^{1} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \widetilde{w}_2 & \widetilde{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$6)\quad \overline{S}_{13}^{2} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \widetilde{w}_4 & \widetilde{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&7) \quad \overline{S}^3_{12} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \widetilde{w}_6 & \widetilde{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}, \\
&8) \quad \overline{S}_{123} := \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|cc} 0 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 & z_7 \\ 0 & \overset{\approx}{w}_2 & \overset{\approx}{w}_3 & \overset{\approx}{w}_4 & \overset{\approx}{w}_5 & \overset{\approx}{w}_6 & \overset{\approx}{w}_7 \end{array} \right) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

where $(\widetilde{w}_{2\alpha}, \widetilde{w}_{2\alpha+1}) := (\frac{e^{i\delta}\left(-z_{2\alpha+1}+iz_{2\alpha}\cos\varphi\right)}{sin\,\varphi}, \frac{e^{i\delta}\left(z_{2\alpha}+iz_{2\alpha+1}\cos\varphi\right)}{sin\,\varphi})\}$ *and* $(\overset{\approx}{w}_{2\alpha}, \overset{\approx}{w}_{2\alpha+1}) := (\frac{e^{i\delta}\left(-z_{2\alpha+1}-iz_{2\alpha}\cos\varphi\right)}{sin\,\varphi}, \frac{e^{i\delta}\left(z_{2\alpha}-iz_{2\alpha+1}\cos\varphi\right)}{sin\,\varphi})\}$ *with* $sin\varphi \neq 0$.

Remark 3.4 By substituting the condition $e^{i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}$ in equations (3.59), as solutions we get exactly $\{(z_{2\alpha}, z_{2\alpha+1}, \widetilde{w}_{2\alpha}, \widetilde{w}_{2\alpha+1})\}$. Instead, by substituting in the equations (3.59) the condition $e^{-i\theta_\alpha} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}$, as solutions we obtain $\{(z_{2\alpha}, z_{2\alpha+1}, \overset{\approx}{w}_{2\alpha}, \overset{\approx}{w}_{2\alpha+1})\}$. Then, by using together the relations (3.60) and the fixed point equations (2.11) in [9], it follows that the fixed point equations for the points in the strata (3.62) become

$$\begin{cases} e^{i(\theta_1 \pm \theta_2)} = 1, \\ e^{i(\theta_1 \pm \theta_3)} = 1, \\ e^{\pm i\theta_1} = Re(\epsilon) + i\sqrt{Im(\epsilon)^2 + |\sigma|^2}, \\ iRe(\epsilon) + j\sigma = \frac{sin\,\theta_1 (u_3\overline{u}_2 - u_2\overline{u}_3)}{|u_2|^2 + |u_3|^2}, \end{cases} \tag{3.63}$$

and the determinat associated to the subsystem of the first two equations coincides with one of the determinants $\square^{1\pm2}_{1\pm3}$. $\square$

Now, by using the computations we have done in chapter 2 with respect to the 3−Sasakian manifold $\mathcal{M}^7(\Theta)$, we can compute the moment map equations for the subgroups T^2_Ω and $Sp(1)$ on the strata listed in (3.62). Then we get:

Proposition 3.8 *Let us consider the* $G-$*strata* $\overline{S}$ *of* $\mathbb{H}^7$ *listed in (3.62). Then, each of the singular* $G-$*strata* $\widetilde{S} = \overline{S} \cap N(\Omega) \subset N(\Omega)$ *gives rise a singular point on the* 3− *Sasakian orbifold* $M^7(\Omega)$.

Proof. Note that the strata $\overline{S}_3^{12}$, $\overline{S}_2^{13}$, $\overline{S}_4^{23}$, $\overline{S}_{23}^{1}$, $\overline{S}_{13}^{2}$ and $\overline{S}_{12}^{3}$ can be treated in the same way. Then, without loss of generality, we deal with the case of $\overline{S}_3^{12}$ and we get

$$Sp(1) \;\Rightarrow\; \begin{cases} 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} Im(z_{2\alpha}\overline{z}_{2\alpha+1}) + cos\,\varphi\big(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}(|z_{2\alpha}|^2+|z_{2\alpha+1}|^2)\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2}\big((z_{2\alpha})^2+(z_{2\alpha+1})^2)\big) = 0, \\ (z_6)^2+(z_7)^2 = 0. \\ cos\,\varphi = \frac{Im(z_6\overline{z}_7)}{|z_6|^2+|z_7|^2}. \end{cases} \tag{3.64}$$

The moment map equations of the $2-$torus T_Ω^2 become

$$T_\Omega^2 \;\Rightarrow\; \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} p_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha+1}z_{2\alpha}) + (|z_{2\alpha}|^2+|z_{2\alpha+1}|^2)cos\,\varphi\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} q_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha+1}z_{2\alpha}) + (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2+|z_{2\alpha+1}|^2)cos\,\varphi\big) = 0, \\ Im(z_6\overline{z}_7) + (|z_6|^2+|z_7|^2)cos\,\varphi = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.65}$$

and

$$T_\Omega^2 \;\Rightarrow\; \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} p_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha})^2+(z_{2\alpha+1})^2\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} q_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha})^2+(z_{2\alpha+1})^2\big) = 0, \\ (z_6)^2+(z_7)^2 = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.66}$$

For each of the two system of equations in (3.65) and (3.66), consider the subsystem given by the first three equations. These two subsystems have the same matrix of coefficients, whose determinant is $\Delta_{12} \neq 0$. Then

$$2Im(z_{2\alpha}\overline{z}_{2\alpha+1}) + (|z_{2\alpha}|^2+|z_{2\alpha+1}|^2)cos\,\varphi = 0, \quad \alpha \in \{1,2,3\} \tag{3.67}$$

that is

$$cos\,\varphi = \frac{2Im(z_{2\alpha}\overline{z}_{2\alpha+1})}{|z_{2\alpha}|^2+|z_{2\alpha+1}|^2}, \tag{3.68}$$

and

$$(z_{2\alpha})^2+(z_{2\alpha+1})^2 = 0, \tag{3.69}$$

where $\alpha \in \{1,2,3\}$. In particular it holds that $dim\,\big(\big(\overline{S}_3^{12} \cap N(\Omega)\big)/G\big) = 0$, that is, the stratum $\overline{S}_3^{12}$ yields one singular point on the orbifolds $\mathcal{M}^7(\Omega)$. In order to study the cases $\overline{S}^{123}$, $\overline{S}_{123}$, we can consider just one of them. Consider $\overline{S}^{123}$. Then the fixed point equations become

$$Sp(1) \;\Rightarrow\; \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\big(2Im(z_{2\alpha}\overline{z}_{2\alpha+1}) + cos\,\varphi(|z_{2\alpha}|^2 + |z_{2\alpha+1}|^2)\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3}\big((z_{2\alpha})^2 + (z_{2\alpha+1})^2)\big) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.70}$$

For the T^3_Ω in (2.118) becomes

$$T^3_\Omega \;\Rightarrow\; \begin{cases} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} p_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha+1}z_{2\alpha}) + (|z_{2\alpha}|^2 + |z_{2\alpha+1}|^2)cos\,\varphi\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} q_\alpha\big(2Im(\overline{z}_{2\alpha+1}z_{2\alpha}) + (|z_{2\alpha-1}|^2 + |z_{2\alpha+1}|^2)cos\,\varphi\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} p_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha})^2 + (z_{2\alpha+1})^2\big) = 0, \\ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} q_\alpha\big((z_{2\alpha})^2 + (z_{2\alpha+1})^2\big) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.71}$$

Also in this case $dim\,\big(\big(\overline{S}^{123} \cap N(\Omega)\big)/G\big) = 0$, that is, each of the strata $\overline{S}^{123}$ and $\overline{S}_{123}$ yields a singular point on the orbifolds $\mathcal{M}^7(\Omega)$. $\Box$

3.3 Directions of future research

Here, we give a list of the next natural steps in order to improve this work:

(a) First, it would be interesting to compute some topological invariants,

(b) describe locally some of these metrics as solutions of the $SU(\infty)$ Toda lattice equation [31],

(c) give a classification of this new orbifold's family as the one in the toric case.

Bibliography

[1] Atiyah, M.F., Hitchin, N.J. and Singer, I.M.: *Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A., Vol. 362, 425-461 (1978)

[2] Besse, A.: *Einstein Manifolds.*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer Verlag, Berlin, (1984)

[3] Bielawski, R.: *Complete hyperKähler* $4n-$*manifolds with a local tri-Hamiltonian* $\mathbb{R}^n - action$, Math. Ann., Vol.314, 505-528 (1999)

[4] Boyer, C. P. and Galicki, K.: *The twistor space of a* $3-$*Sasakian manifold.*, Internat. J. Math., vol. 8, 595-610 (1997)

[5] Boyer, C. P. and Galicki, K.: On Sasakian-Einstein geometry., Internat. J. Math., vol. 11, 873-909 (2000)

[6] Boyer, C. P., Galicki, K. and Mann, B.M.: *The Geometry and topology of* $3-$*Sasakian manifolds.*, J. Reine Angew. Math., vol. 455, 183-220 (1994)

[7] Boyer, C. P., Galicki, K. and Mann, B.M.: *Hypercomplex structures on Stiefel manifolds.*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., vol. 14, 81-105 (1996)

[8] Boyer, C. P., Galicki, K. and Mann, B. M.: *Hypercomplex structures from* $3-$*Sasakian structures.*, J. Reine Angew. Math., vol. 501, 115-141 (1998)

[9] Boyer, C. P., Galicki, K. and Piccinni P.: $3-$*Sasakian geometry, nilpotents orbits, and exeptional quotients.*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., Vol. 21, 85-110 (2002)

[10] Boyer, C. P., Galicki, K., Mann, B.M. and Rees, E.G.: *Compact* $3-$*Sasakian* $7-$*manifolds with arbitrary second Betti number.*, Invent. Math., vol. 131, 321-344 (1998)

[11] Calderbank, D. and Singer, M.: *Toric self dual Einstein metrics on compact manifolds.*, Duke Math. J., 237-258 (2006)

[12] Dancer, A. and Swann, A.: *The Geometry of singular quaternionic Kähler quotients.*, Internat. J. Math., vol. 8, 595-610 (1997)

[13] Galicki, K.: *A Generalization of the momentum mapping construction for quaternionic Kähler manifolds.*, Comm. Math. Phys., Vol. 108, 117-138 (1987)

[14] Galicki, K. and Lawson, Jr., ,H.B.: *Quaternionic reduction and quaternionic orbifolds.*, Math. Ann., Vol. 282, 1-21 (1988)

[15] Galicki, K. and Nitta, T.: *Nonzero scalar curvature generalizations of the ALE hyper-Kähler metrics.*, J. Math. Phys., Vol. 33, no.5, 1765-1771 (1992)

[16] Harvey, R. and Lawson, Jr., ,H.B.: *Calibrated geometries.*, Acta Math., Vol. 148, 47-157 (1982)

[17] Hitchin, N.J.: *On compact four-dimensional Eintein manifolds.*, J. Diff. Geom., Vol. 9, 435-442 (1974)

[18] Hitchin, N.J.: *A new family of Einstein metrics, Manifolds and Geometry. (Pisa 1993)*, Sympos. Math.,XXXVI, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 190-222 (1996)

[19] Kobak, P.Z. and Swann, A.: *Quaternionic geometry of a nilpotent vaiety.*, Math. Ann., Vol. 297, 747-763 (1993)

[20] Kobak, P.Z. and Swann, A.: *Classical nilpotents orbits as hyperKähler quotients.*, Internat. J. Math., vol. 7, 193-210 (1996)

[21] Kobak, P.Z. and Swann, A.: *Exceptional hyperKähler reduction.*, Twistor Newsl., Vol. 44, 23-26 (1998)

[22] Kobak, P.Z. and Swann, A.: *HyperKähler potential in cohomogeneity two.*, J. Reine Angew. Math., Vol. 531, 121-139 (2001)

[23] Le Brun, C.: *Quaternion Kähler manifolds and conformal geometry.*, Math. Ann., Vol. 284, no. 3, 353-376 (1989)

[24] Le Brun, C. and Wang M. (eds): *Surveys in differential geometry: Essays on Einstein manifolds.*, Suppl. IV to J. Diff. Geom., Int. Press (1999)

[25] Ornea, L. and Piccinni, P.: *Cayley* $4-$*frames and a quaternion Kähler reduction related to Spin(7).*, Contemp. Math, Vol. 288, 401-405 (2001)

[26] Ornea, L. and Piccinni, P.: *On some moment maps and induced Hopf bundles on the quaternionic projective Space.*, Internat. J. Math., Vol. 11, 341-353 (2000)

[27] Przanowski, M.: *Killing vector fields in self-dual, Euclidian Einstein spaces with* $\Lambda \neq 0$*.*, J. Math. Phys., Vol. 32, no. 4, 1004-1010 (1991)

[28] Salamon, S.M.: *Quaternionic Kähler manifolds.*, Invent. Math., Vol. 67, 143-171 (1982)

[29] Salamon, S.M.: *Riemannain geometry and holonomy groups.*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics series 201, Longman, Harlow Essex, (1989)

[30] Swann A.: *HyperKähler and quaternionic Kähler geometry.*, Math. Ann., Vol. 289, 421-450 (1991)

[31] Tod K.P.: *The* $SU(\infty)$*-Toda field equation four-dimensional metrics.*, Geometry and Physics(Aarhus, 1995), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 184, Dekker, New York, 307-312 (1997)